Scrib regulates HGF-mediated epithelial morphogenesis and is stabilized by Sgt1–HSP90
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Summary
Scribble was originally identified as a Drosophila protein that regulates epithelial polarity and formation of the basolateral surface. The mammalian orthologue, Scrib, is evolutionarily conserved, but does not appear to be necessary for apical-basolateral epithelial polarity. Instead, it is implicated in the regulation of cell survival, protein trafficking, adhesion and migration. A key issue is to understand the molecular pathway by which Scrib participates in these processes. We have investigated Scrib using a three-dimensional epithelial cell culture system. We show a novel association between the leucine-rich repeat domain of Scrib and the co-chaperone Sgt1 and demonstrate that these proteins are necessary for epithelial morphogenesis and tubulogenesis following hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) stimulation. The molecular chaperone HSP90 is also required for Sgt1 association with Scrib, and both Sgt1 and HSP90 are needed to demonstrate that these proteins are necessary for epithelial morphogenesis and tubulogenesis following hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) stimulation. The molecular chaperone HSP90 is also required for Sgt1 association with Scrib, and both Sgt1 and HSP90 are needed to ensure proper Scrib protein levels. Furthermore, reduced Scrib stability, following inhibition of Sgt1–HSP90, lowers the cellular abundance of the Scrib–βPix–PAK complex. Inhibition of any member of this complex, Scrib, βPix or PAK, is sufficient to block HGF-mediated epithelial morphogenesis. The identification of Scrib as an Sgt1–HSP90 client protein required for three-dimensional cell migration suggests that chaperone-mediated regulation of polarity protein stability and homeostasis is an unappreciated mechanism underlying dynamic rearrangements during morphogenesis.
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Introduction
The proper formation and maintenance of epithelial tissues is of central importance to human development and disease. Epithelial cells are characterized by a polarized cellular architecture that is critical to their function. This polarity is defined by distinct apical and basolateral membrane domains separated by intercellular tight junctions. A great deal of research has focused on identifying the molecular components and pathways essential to the establishment and proper maintenance of epithelial polarity. One such component, the Drosophila protein Scribble (Scrib in mammals), was originally identified as having a tumor-suppressor-like function in preventing overgrowth of imaginal tissues (Bilder et al., 2000; Bilder and Perrimon, 2000). Drosophila Scribble is localized to the basolateral membrane in epithelial cells and is thought to function as a molecular scaffold to help assign basolateral membrane identity; mutation of Scribble causes a loss of epithelial polarity. Puzzlingly, in mammals, loss of Scrib does not cause obvious defects in epithelial polarity establishment, though Scrib has been shown to have other critical roles in epithelia (reviewed by Bilder, 2004; Humbert et al., 2008; Nelson, 2009). A central issue is to understand both the molecular pathways regulating Scrib as well as the downstream mechanisms of Scrib function.

Scrib is a member of the LAP (leucine-rich repeat and PDZ) family of proteins. The leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain of Scrib is critical to Scrib function and membrane targeting (Albertson et al., 2004; Zeitler et al., 2004). Despite the fundamental importance of the LRR domain to Scrib function, the molecular mechanisms of this domain remain relatively unknown (Kallay et al., 2006; Legouis et al., 2003). In contrast, the PDZ domain region of Scrib is required for the physical association of at least nine other proteins including the Rho-family guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) βPix/ARHGEF7 (Audebert et al., 2004; Humbert et al., 2008; Nelson, 2009). A Scrib–βPix complex was shown to be essential for Scrib-dependent recruitment of Rac1 and Cdc42 to the leading edge of migrating cells, oriented directional migration, vesicle trafficking in neurons, receptor recycling in thyroid cells and inhibition of mammary tumorigenesis (Audebert et al., 2004; Dow et al., 2007; Lahuna et al., 2005; Nola et al., 2008; Osmani et al., 2006; Zhan et al., 2008). Additionally, βPix can interact with p21-activated kinase (PAK) and facilitate the formation of a tripartite complex with Scrib that is necessary for migration of two-dimensional (2D)-cultured cells (Nola et al., 2008). A study in Drosophila also demonstrated a reciprocal requirement between Scrib and PAK for their proper localization (Bahri et al., 2010). It remains unclear how widespread a requirement PAK signaling is for proper Scrib function, but it has been reported that reduction of Scrib can affect a number of signaling pathways other than PAK, depending on the cellular context (reviewed in Humbert et al., 2008).

A number of studies have highlighted critically important mechanisms regulating cellular Scrib protein levels including: stabilization by the intermediate filament cytoskeleton, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, cleavage by caspases and transcriptional...
repression through microRNAs (Nakagawa and Huibregtse, 2000; Phua et al., 2009; Sone et al., 2008; Vaira et al., 2012). One important additional mechanism by which cells can regulate the stability and maturation of certain proteins is through the use of molecular chaperones. A chaperone-mediated role in Scrib stabilization and function is currently unknown.

Sgt1 (suppressor of G2 allele of Skp1) is a chaperone with conserved functions in the regulation of kinetochore complex assembly and innate immunity (Davies and Kaplan, 2010; Kadota et al., 2010; Kitagawa et al., 1999; Steensgaard et al., 2004). Sgt1 (also called Sustl) interacts with the chaperone protein HSP90 and this has led to a model of Sgt1 acting as a co-chaperone by linking HSP90 to a subset of client proteins (Catlett and Kaplan, 2006). Sgt1 seems to be preferentially associated with a number of proteins containing LRR domains and may regulate their stability and/or the conformational activation of LRR protein complexes (Austin et al., 2002; Azevedo et al., 2002; da Silva Correia et al., 2007; Dubacq et al., 2002; Mayor et al., 2007; Stuttman et al., 2008). Intriguingly, mutation of *Drosophila* Sgt1 was recently demonstrated to result in reduced cortical levels of Scribble in neuroblasts (Andersen et al., 2012). The molecular mechanism for this function of Sgt1 is unknown.

In this study, we have identified a novel association between the poorly understood LRR domain of Scrib and Sgt1. We propose that this interaction is required to recruit HSP90 to Scrib and that together Sgt1 and HSP90 serve a crucial function in regulating Scrib protein stability. Reduction of Scrib, Sgt1 or HSP90 causes defects in a three-dimensional (3D) model of HGF-mediated epithelial morphogenesis. Furthermore, we provide evidence that βPix and PAK are downstream effectors of Scrib in this process.

Results

**Sgt1 is associated with the leucine-rich repeat region of Scrib**

Using full-length human Scrib, we performed a BLAST protein homology search to identify Scrib homologs in *S. cerevisiae*. This approach revealed that the LRR domain of Scrib, but not other regions of the protein, has significant homology to several proteins. Specifically, the LRR domain of Scrib shares the greatest sequence similarity with the LRR region of yeast adenyl cyclase, Cyr1p/Cdc35p. We reasoned that the LRR domains of these two proteins might have similar functions mediated through conserved protein–protein interactions. In an attempt to understand these functions and identify new mammalian proteins that bind to the LRR domain of Scrib, we employed a candidate approach based on proteins known to interact specifically with the LRR domain of Cyr1p. One such protein is *S. cerevisiae* Sgt1, a co-chaperone-like protein that, in addition to regulating Cyr1p function, has a role in kinetochore assembly. Mammalian Sgt1 has been shown to participate in innate immunity and kinetochore assembly (Kadota et al., 2010; Steensgaard et al., 2004). Very little is known about mammalian Sgt1 function outside of these processes.

Using two separate Scrib antibodies, we immunoprecipitated endogenous Scrib from equal amounts of MDCK cell lysate. The immunoprecipitates (IPs) were then subjected to western blot analysis to detect Sgt1. Notably, endogenous Sgt1 was detected in both Scrib IP samples, but not in the IPs from two distinct control antibodies (Fig. 1A). A protein closely related to Sgt1, CacyBP/SIP, was not detected in Scrib IPs further supporting the specificity of the interaction between Scrib and Sgt1 (not shown). Additionally, we expressed a GFP tagged, human Sgt1 fusion protein in MDCK cells and subjected cell lysates to immunoprecipitation with an anti-GFP antibody. Sergeant fusion proteins in MDCK cells that co-precipitated with Scrib were detected by western blot analysis and examined for the presence of Scrib and Sgt1. Rabbit immunoglobulin heavy chain is also visible when using an anti-rabbit-HRP secondary antibody. The amount of Sgt1 protein immunoprecipitated by the Scrib C-20 antibody was determined to be 0.86% (±0.03%) of total Sgt1 protein levels. (B) GFP immunoprecipitation of mock and GFP-Sgt1-transfected MDCK cells. IPs were blotted to verify equal amounts of Scrib were present in the starting TCL. Scrib was only detectable in the IPs from GFP-Sgt1-expressing cells. (C) Illustration of the GFP fusion proteins that were expressed in MDCK cells to map the domain requirements for Sgt1 association. (D) Anti-GFP immunoprecipitation of the fusion proteins shown in B. IPs were blotted to detect the presence of precipitated GFP fusion proteins and Sgt1. Equal volumes of TCL were also blotted to show equal amounts of Sgt1 were available in the starting material. Using an antibody directed against GFP, we observed similar amounts of GFP-PDZ and GFP-LRR in IPs. Full-length GFP-Scrib appeared to be expressed at a lower level and a number of smaller GFP proteins were detected. These bands are probably degradation products from GFP-Scrib.

![Fig. 1. Sgt1 forms a complex with the LRR domain of Scrib.](image)

(A) Equal amounts of the same MDCK total cell lysate (TCL) were subjected to immunoprecipitation with two control antibodies (MMP13 and HA) and two anti-Scrib antibodies. IPs were subjected to SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis and examined for the presence of Scrib and Sgt1. Rabbit immunoglobulin heavy chain is also visible when using an anti-rabbit-HRP secondary antibody. The amount of Sgt1 protein immunoprecipitated by the Scrib C-20 antibody was determined to be 0.86% (±0.03%) of total Sgt1 protein levels. (B) GFP immunoprecipitation of mock and GFP-Sgt1-transfected MDCK cells. IPs were blotted to verify equal amounts of Scrib were present in the starting TCL. Scrib was only detectable in the IPs from GFP-Sgt1-expressing cells. (C) Illustration of the GFP fusion proteins that were expressed in MDCK cells to map the domain requirements for Sgt1 association. (D) Anti-GFP immunoprecipitation of the fusion proteins shown in B. IPs were blotted to detect the presence of precipitated GFP fusion proteins and Sgt1. Equal volumes of TCL were also blotted to show equal amounts of Sgt1 were available in the starting material. Using an antibody directed against GFP, we observed similar amounts of GFP-PDZ and GFP-LRR in IPs. Full-length GFP-Scrib appeared to be expressed at a lower level and a number of smaller GFP proteins were detected. These bands are probably degradation products from GFP-Scrib.

Using two separate Scrib antibodies, we immunoprecipitated endogenous Scrib from equal amounts of MDCK cell lysate. The immunoprecipitates (IPs) were then subjected to western blot analysis to detect Sgt1. Notably, endogenous Sgt1 was detected in both Scrib IP samples, but not in the IPs from two distinct control antibodies (Fig. 1A). A protein closely related to Sgt1, CacyBP/SIP, was not detected in Scrib IPs further supporting the specificity of the interaction between Scrib and Sgt1 (not shown). Additionally, we expressed a GFP tagged, human Sgt1 fusion protein in MDCK cells and subjected cell lysates to immunoprecipitation with an anti-GFP antibody. Sergeant was observed specifically in the immunoprecipitates from GFP–Sgt1-expressing cells, but not in immunoprecipitates from mock-transfected cells (Fig. 1B). We conclude that Scrib and Sgt1 form a complex in MDCK cells.
A pool of Scrib and Sgt1 is localized to intermediate filaments
After determining that Scrib and Sgt1 co-immunoprecipitate, we investigated whether the two proteins shared a similar subcellular distribution. Immunofluorescent staining of Sgt1 in subconfluent MDCK cells grown on a 2D surface revealed a broad cytoplasmic distribution as well as staining at the midbody similar to what has been previously described (not shown) (Martins et al., 2009; Steensgaard et al., 2004). Despite this broad distribution, Sgt1 could clearly be seen enriched along a filamentous network (Fig. 2A). It has recently been reported that a pool of Scrib localizes to intermediate filaments (Phua et al., 2009). We examined whether Sgt1 shared this colocalization with the intermediate filament cytoskeleton by co-staining subconfluent MDCK cells with Sgt1 and vimentin antibodies. Sgt1 showed colocalization with vimentin filaments and this colocalization could be observed more clearly by pre-permeabilizing cells before fixation (Fig. 2A). Scrib showed a similar, albeit more punctate, enrichment on vimentin filaments in agreement with the earlier study (Fig. 2A) (Phua et al., 2009). When we expressed human Scrib fused to the fluorescent protein Venus, this filamentous staining was enhanced and the fusion protein was clearly colocalized with Sgt1 in subconfluent MDCK cells (Fig. 2A).

We, and others, have shown that when MDCK and other epithelial cells are grown as 3D cysts in a thick gel of extracellular matrix material, such as Matrigel, the cells more closely resemble epithelial cells in vivo (Bryant and Mostov, 2008). When we localized Scrib in 3D Matrigel cultures, Scrib was strongly localized along the plasma membrane and at cell-cell junctions with a smaller pool of intracellular Scrib also detectable (Fig. 2B). Sgt1 did not share the plasma membrane distribution of Scrib, but could be seen partially colocalized with the intracellular pool of Scrib (Fig. 2B). Although we lacked the fine resolution imaging in our 3D cultures to visualize individual vimentin intermediate filaments, we observed vimentin-rich clusters closely associated with the pool of colocalized Scrib and Sgt1 (Fig. 2B). Similar to what has been shown for Scrib localization with vimentin (Phua et al., 2009), we saw less colocalization between Scrib, Sgt1 and vimentin in well-polarized cells (not shown). This could indicate that the Scrib–Sgt1 interaction is preferentially occurring during epithelial rearrangements such as migration. RNAi reduction of Scrib or Sgt1 did not noticeably affect the ability of the other to localize with vimentin in MDCK cells (not shown). This data fits well with previous work demonstrating that the PDZ domain region of Scrib was necessary and sufficient for Scrib localization to intermediate filaments (Phua et al., 2009), and our observation that the Sgt1–Scrib association occurs independently of the PDZ domain. Together, our data show that Sgt1 is localized with a pool of Scrib, not at the plasma membrane, but closely associated with the vimentin intermediate filament cytoskeleton.

RNAi of Scrib or Sgt1 decreased cyst extension formation in response to HGF
To examine the functional role of Scrib and Sgt1 in MDCK cells, we generated lentiviral shRNAs targeting two unique regions of both canine Scrib and Sgt1 (Fig. 3A) (Qin et al., 2005). Scrib and Sgt1 lentiviral shRNA-infected cells, grown in Matrigel, formed...
Multiple comparison test. Scale bars: 50 μm (B) and 100 μm (C).

3D cysts and properly localized the apical membrane protein gp135/podocalyxin to the apical/luminal surface of cysts (Fig. 3B). The adherens junction associated protein β-catenin was also localized correctly to the basolateral surface of cysts, indicating that some degree of adherens-junction-mediated cell–cell adhesion remained intact in Scrib- and Sgt1-RNAi-treated cells. The apical actin network of Scrib- and Sgt1-RNAi-treated cells was also localized correctly to the basolateral surface of cysts, indicating that some degree of adherens-junction-mediated cell–cell adhesion remained intact in Scrib- and Sgt1-RNAi-treated cells.

Scrib is a client of the Sgt1–HSP90 chaperone complex

Sgt1 displays properties of a chaperone in both its kinetochore assembly role and innate immune receptor function. Moreover, Sgt1 functions as an HSP90 co-chaperone in these processes. No mammalian Sgt1-dependent HSP90 client protein has yet been identified outside of kinetochore assembly and innate immunity. To investigate whether Scrib could be an Sgt1–HSP90 client, we first examined total Scrib protein levels by western blot following Sgt1 RNAi. Normalized Scrib protein levels were significantly reduced by up to 41% following Sgt1 shRNA treatment relative to control-shRNA-treated cells (Fig. 4A). In addition to being statistically significant, this level of Scrib protein reduction is similar to what has been observed for other Sgt1 client proteins following Sgt1RNAi (Davies and Kaplan, 2010).

To determine if Scrib is also an HSP90 client, we used the well-characterized, high-specificity HSP90 inhibitor 17-(allylamino)-17demethoxygeldanamycin (17-AAG) to disrupt HSP90 function and examined the effect on Scrib levels
(Trepel et al., 2010). Following 16 hours of 17-AAG treatment, we observed a significant reduction in Scrib protein levels relative to that of control cell levels (Fig. 4B). Consequently, Scrib stability appears to require both the chaperone HSP90 and co-chaperone Sgt1 in MDCK cells.

Previous work has shown that HSP90 activity is required for the formation of Sgt1–HSP90 client protein complexes and client stabilization; loss of complex formation precedes destabilization of client proteins (Mayor et al., 2007). To determine what effect HSP90 function has on the association of Scrib and Sgt1, we treated Sgt1-transfected MDCK cells with 17-AAG for 2 hours to block HSP90 activity. This short duration of 17-AAG treatment had little effect on the overall levels of Scrib protein. However, when we examined GFP–Sgt1 IPs from 17-AAG-treated cells, we observed a marked decrease in the amount of both Scrib and HSP90 that was able to associate with GFP–Sgt1 (Fig. 4C). This result indicates that, similar to what has been observed for other Sgt1–HSP90 client proteins, HSP90 activity is required for the formation of an Sgt1–HSP90–Scrib complex.

To more carefully assess whether loss of the Sgt1–Scrib interaction following HSP90 inhibition might be a critical step preceding the destabilization of Scrib protein levels, we performed a timecourse where we simultaneously examined Scrib protein levels and the Scrib–Sgt1 association following addition of 0.5 μM 17-AAG. We detected a reduction in the amount of Sgt1 in Scrib IPs at the first timepoint of 1 hour and saw this reduction continue until it reached a maximum by hours 2 and 3 (Fig. 4D).

Quantification of the Sgt1/Scrib ratio in Scrib IPs after 2 hour 17-AAG treatment confirmed that HSP90 activity is critical for the Scrib–Sgt1 interaction (Fig. 4E). Scrib total protein levels remained fairly constant until the 3rd hour of treatment when they began to decrease (Fig. 4D). These results confirmed that loss of the Sgt1–Scrib association preceded Scrib protein destabilization; additionally, the kinetics of these two events looked strikingly similar to those seen for another Sgt1–HSP90 client protein, NALP3, following HSP90 activity inhibition (Mayor et al., 2007). This data, combined with the observation that RNAi reduction of Sgt1 leads to Scrib destabilization, suggest that the reduced Scrib stability observed following 17-AAG treatment is not likely an indirect or non-specific effect of inhibitor treatment on Scrib stability, but instead is a direct consequence of the failure to form the Sgt1–HSP90 chaperoned Scrib complex.

We conclude that Scrib is an Sgt1–HSP90 client protein. If HSP90 functions together with Sgt1 to stabilize Scrib protein levels, we would predict that inhibition of HSP90 following 17-AAG treatment should block HGF-mediated extension formation. We therefore simultaneously treated MDCK cysts with 17-AAG and HGF and assayed extension formation. Although many cysts had a disorganized structure and were positive for the apoptotic marker cleaved caspase-3 staining after this extended 48 hour treatment, we scored extension formation on apparently healthy cysts that were negative for cleaved caspase-3 staining. In these cysts, we saw a significant reduction in extension formation relative to untreated control cysts (Fig. 4F). The ability of 17-AAG to block
HGF-mediated extension formations in our assay agrees with the literature demonstrating a requirement for HSP90 in HGF-mediated 2D cell migration (Xie et al., 2005). Although HSP90 is known to target a broad spectrum of clients that could be required for extension formation, the identification of Scrib as an Sgt1–HSP90 client provides a potential contributing mechanism for the effects of 17-AAG on HGF-mediated epithelial morphogenesis.

**Scrib forms a complex with PAK and Sgt1–HSP90 regulates the availability of that complex**

To understand how Scrib might be mechanistically linked to the regulation of cyst extension formation, we decided to investigate potential Scrib effectors in this process. The PDZ domain of Scrib has previously been shown to form a complex with the Rho-family GEF βPix/ARHGEF7 and this association enables Scrib to form a tripartite complex with p21-activated kinase (PAK) (Nola et al., 2008). Both βPix and PAK also have well-established roles in regulating aspects of cell migration (Frank and Hansen, 2008). We reasoned that Scrib, βPix and PAK could be forming a complex involved in the regulation of extension formation. We first asked whether Scrib and activated PAK were localized in extensions in a manner analogous to their colocalization at the leading edge of 2D migrating cells. Indeed, extensive immunofluorescent colocalization of Scrib and a phosphorylated form of PAK (pPAK), thought to indicate the activated state, was observed at actin-rich areas in extensions (Fig. 5A). This close association of Scrib and pPAK in extensions argues that a Scrib–βPix–PAK complex is likely to play a role in extensions.

To more directly determine whether Scrib formed a biochemical complex with βPix or PAK in MDCK cells we performed IPs with Scrib. We were able to detect the presence of βPix and PAK in Scrib IPs from MDCK cell lysates but not in IPs of an HA control antibody (supplementary material Fig. S2A). Although we did not detect a reproducible increase in the amount of Sgt1, βPix and PAK in Scrib IPs following HGF treatment (Fig. 5C; supplementary material Fig. S2A), the number of cells responding to HGF by producing extensions and the fraction of total cellular Scrib in these extensions is small; consequently, it may be difficult to detect any increased association by IP. Together, these data demonstrate that a Scrib–βPix–PAK complex is a likely effector of HGF signaling during morphogenetic rearrangements and tubulogenesis.

We next investigated the role of Sgt1–HSP90 on the Scrib–βPix–PAK complex. Sgt1 may affect HGF-mediated extension formations by directly facilitating the formation of this tripartite complex. Alternatively, Sgt1 could indirectly regulate the complex by stabilizing Scrib protein levels. We reasoned that a 2 hour 17-AAG treatment that disrupts the Scrib–Sgt1 association, but does not decrease Scrib protein levels, might also lead to decreased association between Scrib and PAK. Interestingly, despite the loss of the Scrib–Sgt1 interaction after 2 hour 17-AAG treatment, we observed unchanged PAK levels in Scrib IPs relative to untreated cells (Fig. 5B). This result indicates that Sgt1–HSP90 does not directly facilitate the Scrib–PAK interaction. We then examined what would happen to Scrib–PAK complex formation following a 16 hour 17-AAG treatment where we observed reduced Scrib protein levels. As expected, 16 hour treatment with 17-AAG reduced Scrib protein levels and consequently, we immunoprecipitated less Scrib from cell lysates (Fig. 5C). Importantly, we observed reduced PAK in these Scrib immunoprecipitates. This demonstrates that, although Sgt1–HSP90 does not directly facilitate the association of Scrib with PAK, loss of Scrib stability following HSP90 inhibition affects the overall abundance of Scrib and Scrib–PAK complex available to the cell.

![Image](image-url)
Scrib and Sgt1 regulate PAK localization

Scrib has been implicated in the regulation of a number of signaling proteins including: aPKC, JNK, ERK, and PAK (Humbert et al., 2008; Leong et al., 2009; Nola et al., 2008). Previous work has also demonstrated that ERK is required for HGF-mediated extension formation and tubulogenesis of MDCK cells cultured in 3D (O’Brien et al., 2004). To determine if the extension formation defects we saw in Scrib and Sgt1 RNAi cells could be due to defects in any of these signaling pathways downstream of HGF, we examined total cell lysates from 3D-cultured MDCK cells. Significantly, we saw no change in the HGF regulated activation of ERK or JNK in the Scrib and Sgt1 RNAi cells relative to cells expressing a control shRNA (Fig. 6A). Similarly, we saw no difference in the activation/phosphorylation profiles for aPKC and Akt from Scrib and Sgt1 RNAi 3D cyst total cell lysates relative to control lysates (Fig. 6A). Together, these results suggest that failure to activate ERK, JNK, aPKC or PI3K signaling is unlikely to explain the extension defects we see and point to an alternative pathway for Scrib and Sgt1 in the regulation of HGF signaling.

Due to the observed association between Scrib and PAK in MDCK cells, we next examined pPAK in Scrib and Sgt1 RNAi cysts. PAK can be regulated by a number of signaling pathways and the spatiotemporal regulation of its activity is critical to its functions (Bokoch, 2003; Kumar et al., 2009). Consequently, we stained cysts with an antibody that specifically recognized phosphorylation of threonine 423/402 on Pak1/2 to gain spatially important information on PAK activity. Staining of control shRNA cysts revealed both junctional and apical pPAK (Fig. 6B). When we stained Scrib and Sgt1 RNAi cysts, this apical and junctional staining remained; however, unlike control cysts, we observed increased basal membrane accumulation of pPAK (Fig. 6B,C). We further quantified distribution of pPAK along a line drawn through the middle of the cell and running from the apical to the basal surface, as shown in Fig. 6B,C. The signal from basal-localized pPAK (or for comparison actin) is indicated by the red arrow in each panel. Quantification of multiple cysts following shRNA treatment is shown in Fig. 6D and confirms that loss of Scrib or Sgt1 causes an accumulation of pPAK at the basal membrane. Due to the lack of extensions in Scrib and Sgt1 RNAi cysts, it was not possible to determine if pPAK was correctly localized in these actin-rich structures following HGF treatment. Taken together with our previous observation that Scrib forms a complex with βPix and PAK, the data suggest that Scrib and Sgt1 are required for the proper localization of a pool of pPAK in MDCK cysts.

PAK and βPix are required for cyst extension formation

If the Scrib–βPix–PAK complex is indeed required for HGF-mediated extension formation, inhibition of βPix and PAK should cause the same defects in this process that were observed following Scrib RNAi. We first investigated what would happen when we inhibited PAK kinase activity. To test this, we employed the use of a previously established MDCK cell line expressing a full-length, kinase-dead PAK1(K299R) protein (Zegers et al., 2003). This protein functions as a dominant

---

**Fig. 6. RNAi of Scrib or Sgt1 leads to mislocalization of pPAK (T423).** (A) Total cell lysates were harvested from MDCK cysts following treatment with HGF for the indicated times (in hours). Lysates were then run on SDS-PAGE gels and subjected to western blot analysis for the indicated proteins. (B) Immunofluorescence staining of MDCK control shRNA or Scrib and Sgt1 shRNA cysts with an antibody that recognizes phosphorylation of Pak1/2 at position Thr423/402 (red). Arrows are drawn through cyst walls at the location where images were subjected to linescan analysis. (C) Linescan analysis using ImageJ software to plot the intensity of actin staining (green line) and pPAK staining (red line) along the apico-basal axis of a cell in the cyst wall shown by arrows in B. Red arrow indicates the location of the basal membrane of cells. The signal intensity peak for actin identifies the apical surface of individual cells in the cyst. (D) Intensity of pPAK staining at the basal surface was quantified using linescans through numerous cysts and plotting as a scatter plot. Scale bar: 10 μm.
negative (DN) and has inducible expression under the control of a
tetracycline-responsive promoter using the Tet-off system. Using
this system, we grew control MDCK cysts in the presence of
doxycycline (+Dox) or DN-PAK-expressing cysts in the absence of
doxycycline (−Dox). Immunofluorescence staining and
western blot analysis was performed to confirm the expression
of the HA-tagged DN-PAK mutant protein (Fig. 7A,C).
Following HGF treatment of cyst cultures, +Dox control cysts
had numerous extensions after 48 hours (Fig. 7A,C). In contrast,
DN-PAK-expressing cells had a statistically significant reduction
in the number of extensions following HGF treatment
(Fig. 7A,D). To confirm that this phenotype was not due to a
clonal variation in this cell line, we tested a second DN-PAK
clone and obtained similar results (not shown). These results
reveal a requirement for PAK kinase activity during HGF
signaling in 3D-cultured MDCK cells. Interestingly, in addition
to a punctate intracellular distribution, we observed strong
localization of DN-PAK along the basal surface of cysts
(Fig. 7B). This localization was very similar to the
mislocalization of pPAK we saw following Scrib and Sgt1
RNAi. Indeed, pPAK also strongly accumulated along the basal
surface and colocalized with DN-PAK in these cysts (Fig. 7B).
Together, these data indicate that disruption of the Scrib-βPix–
PAK complex, either through loss of Scrib or inhibition of PAK
kinase activity, can trap a pool of pPAK at the basal surface
where it is presumably unable to carry out a critical function such
as actin or focal adhesion remodeling.

Finally, it has been shown that βPix is required for the
formation of the Scrib-βPix–PAK complex during 2D cell
migration (Nola et al., 2008). Since reduction in the abundance
of this complex through Scrib or Sgt1 RNAi blocks HGF-mediated
extension formation, we predicted that reduction of βPix would
also cause extension formation defects. To test this, we generated
two lentiviral shRNAs that effectively targeted βPix for RNAi
(supplementary material Fig. S3A). Similar to Scrib RNAi cysts,
βPix RNAi cysts grown in Matrigel had normal polarity
(supplementary material Fig. S3B). When we treated βPix
RNAi cysts with HGF, we saw a significant decrease in the
number of cysts able to form extensions (Fig. 7E,F). To confirm
that Scrib had reduced association with PAK in these cells, we
performed Scrib IPs in control or βPix-shRNA-treated cells. As
expected, we saw reduced PAK levels in Scrib IPs from βPix

**Fig. 7. PAK and βPix are required for HGF-mediated cyst extension formation.** (A) Tet-off-regulated expression of an HA-tagged DN-PAK (K299R) kinase-
dead construct. Cysts were cultured for 5 days in Matrigel and in the presence or absence of doxycycline (+− Dox) to regulate expression. Cysts were treated with 50
ng/ml HGF for 48 hours to induce extensions. Anti-HA immunofluorescence staining (red) of fixed cysts was performed to monitor DN-PAK expression, and
fluorescently labeled phalloidin (green) was used to visualize actin. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst (blue). (B) Magnification of DN-PAK-expressing cysts showing
basal localization of DN-PAK. pPAK colocalizes at the basal surface with DN-PAK, but not in control cysts (arrows). Merged images also show actin, in white,
marking the apical surface. L, lumen. (C) Dox-regulated expression of DN-PAK was also monitored by western blot analysis and anti-GAPDH was used as a cell
lysate loading control. (D) The percentage of cysts with one or more extensions in the presence or absence of Dox was quantified. (E,F) 4-day-old cysts of control
cells or cells infected with βPix lentiviral shRNA were induced with HGF (E) and the ability of cysts to form extensions was quantified (F). Dunnett’s multiple
comparison test was used. (G) IPs of Scrib in control- or βPix-shRNA-treated cells. Less PAK is associated with Scrib following βPix RNAi. **P<0.01,
***P<0.001. Scale bars: 10 μm.
shRNA cells (Fig. 7G). These experiments lead us to conclude that a Scrib–βPix–PAK complex is required for epithelial morphogenesis and that inhibition of this complex via a number of different ways, including RNAi of Scrib, Sgt1 and βPix or inhibition of PAK kinase activity, can lead to defects in this process.

**Discussion**

The evolutionarily conserved polarity protein Scrib plays a role in a number of fundamental cellular processes. Essential to Scrib function are the LRR domain and PDZ domains that are thought to mediate protein–protein interactions and presumably help Scrib scaffold larger molecular complexes. Despite the essential requirement of the LRR domain to Scrib function and localization, little is known about the molecular mechanism by which it functions or the protein interactions associated with it. Here we have uncovered a role for Scrib in the regulation of HGF-mediated epithelial morphogenesis. Central to the function of Scrib in this process is the co-chaperone protein Sgt1. Our data highlight a crucial and unrecognized function of the LRR domain in Scrib stability and homeostasis mediated through Sgt1 and HSP90. Loss of Sgt1–HSP90 limits the abundance of Scrib to form a complex with βPix and PAK. This Scrib–βPix–PAK complex is subsequently required to produce extensions in response to HGF (model shown in supplementary material Fig. S4).

Scrib has been reported to regulate ERK, JNK and αPKC signaling in other contexts and defects in the regulation of these pathways could explain the lack of HGF responsiveness in Scrib and Sgt1 RNAi cells (Humbert et al., 2008; Leong et al., 2009). Surprisingly, we saw no significant defects in the regulation of these pathways or in PI3 kinase/Akt signaling from both unstimulated and HGF stimulated Scrib or Sgt1 RNAi cells. Instead, we observed defects in the spatiotemporal regulation of PAK. The mislocalization of PAK following Sgt1 or Scrib RNAi as well as the localization of DN-PAK to the basal surface of cysts may reflect an accumulation of PAK at focal adhesions. It has been proposed that PAKs play a role in the dynamic regulation of focal adhesions by mechanisms that depend on its interaction with βPix. Inhibition of PAK function leads to its accumulation at focal adhesions and inhibits focal adhesion turnover (Bokoch, 2003; Frank and Hansen, 2008; Nayal et al., 2006; Zegers et al., 2003). Focal adhesion turnover is crucial for migration and defects in this process could explain the inability of Scrib deficient cysts to form extensions following addition of HGF (Gardel et al., 2010). Alternatively, the mislocalization of PAK to the basal surface might simply reflect an inability to localize PAK to another subcellular location. Additional analysis will be required to more fully understand the downstream consequences of Scrib–βPix–PAK signaling in extension formation.

A growing body of literature has demonstrated that HSP90 regulates diverse cellular functions. It accomplishes this by acting as a molecular chaperone for a wide variety of client proteins not only during times of cellular stress but also as to maintain protein homeostasis (Taipale et al., 2010). One way that HSP90 is thought to chaperone a broad spectrum of client proteins is by acting together with co-chaperones, which guide its recognition of clients and can help modulate HSP90 activity. Sgt1 is such a protein. Prior to this study, no functions or client proteins for mammalian Sgt1 were reported outside of its role in kinetochore complex assembly and innate immune receptor signaling. Although Sgt1 has been reported to chaperone a number of seemingly unrelated cellular clients, proteins containing LRR domains are overrepresented in Sgt1-dependent phenomena and the SGS domain of Sgt1 can directly associate with the LRR domain of a number of proteins (Dubacq et al., 2002; Mayor et al., 2007; Stuttmann et al., 2008). Our demonstration that the LRR domain of Scrib is necessary for Sgt1 complex formation provides additional evidence that Sgt1 may have evolved to preferentially link LRR domain containing proteins to the chaperone activity provided by HSP90.

We show that destabilization of Scrib following inhibition of Sgt1–HSP90 reduces the abundance of Scrib–PAK complexes. It is important to note that our data demonstrate that Sgt1 does not appear to be directly required for association of Scrib and PAK, but rather indirectly affects the ability of this complex to form by reducing the amount of Scrib available for the association. In fact, Sgt1–HSP90 is not likely part of the Scrib–βPix–PAK complex based on our inability to detect βPix or PAK in Sgt1 IPs and the failure of Sgt1 to colocalize with PAK in extensions (not shown). Consequently, Sgt1–HSP90 can be thought of as playing a permissive role in this signaling pathway through the regulation of Scrib levels. The identification of a function for Sgt1 in mammalian cell migration and Scrib stability points to the possibility of additional potential functions and clients for Sgt1 in mammals that remain to be discovered. Although Sgt1–HSP90 could be stabilizing a number of proteins required for migration, the identification of Scrib as an Sgt1–HSP90 client required for migration could also be relevant to the clinically important properties of HSP90 inhibition (Taipale et al., 2010).

Sgt1 and Scribble display subcellular colocalization with the intermediate filament protein vimentin. A recent study concluded that vimentin is responsible for protecting Scribble from ubiquitylation and degradation as well as regulating directional migration of 2D-cultured MDCK cells (Phua et al., 2009). Although we were unable to detect any ubiquitylation of Scribble in MDCK cells, Sgt1–HSP90 may function in concert with intermediate filaments to protect Scribble from ubiquitin-mediated degradation. Intriguingly, Sgt1 has been shown to associate with proteins of both ubiquitylating and de-ubiquitylating complexes (Kitagawa et al., 1999; Sowa et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2008).

The proper regulation of protein stability and homeostasis has emerged as an essential regulatory mechanism for a number of proteins and the pathways they function in (Taipale et al., 2010). Despite the fundamental role for chaperones in a wide variety of cellular processes, little evidence has been presented for their role in the regulation of polarity proteins. Interestingly, another HSP90 co-chaperone, CDC-37, was shown to regulate PAR polarity complex proteins in *C. elegans* (Beers and Kemphues, 2006). More recently, a genetic requirement for Scribb in mediating cortical Scribble localization and LKB1/AMPK signaling in *Drosophila* neuroblasts was reported (Andersen et al., 2012). The authors of this study did not directly look at Scribble stability; however, it is possible that Sgt1 also stabilizes Scribble in *Drosophila* as well. These findings and our current study highlight an unappreciated role for chaperone regulated protein stability on polarity proteins. Undoubtedly, additional examples of polarity protein regulated processes that require chaperoning await discovery; indeed this may be a general mechanism for the regulation of polarity and morphogenesis.
Materials and Methods

Cell culture and reagents

MDCK II cells were grown in MEM supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum, penicillin and streptomycin. For cyst experiments, MDCK cells were trypsinized into a single cell suspension (2×10⁶ cells/ml) containing 2% growth-factor-reduced Matrigel. This suspension was plated into individual chambers of eight-well coverglass chamber slides coated with 6 μl 100% Matrigel and grown for 4–5 days. To induce cyst extension formation, Matrigel grown cysts were washed once with normal growth medium and then stimulated for 24–48 h with 50 mg/ml HGF in 2% growth factor reduced Matrigel.

Primary antibodies used in this study were: anti-β-catenin anti-Scrib (H-300 and C-20), anti-HA, anti-MMP13 (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies); mouse anti-gp135 (gift from George Ojakian); anti-cleaved caspase 3, anti-ERK1/2, anti-pERK1/2, anti-p56k, anti-Akt, anti-p-Akt; anti-Pak1 (Cell Signalling); rat anti-α tubulin and rabbit anti-Sig1 (Abcam); anti-vimentin (Sigma); anti-GAPDH and anti-β-PIX (Chemicon); anti-HSP90, anti-pAPC, anti-pAPC-κB (BD Biosciences); anti-Pak1/2/3 T423 (for IF, Biosource); anti-GFP (Invitrogen). Secondary antibodies used in this study were: Alexa-Fluor-488-, -555- or -647-conjugated anti-mouse, anti-rat, anti-goat or anti-rabbit antibodies (Molecular Probes). Actin filaments were stained with Alexa-Fluor-488-, -555- or -633-conjugated phalloidin (Molecular Probes). DNA was stained with Hoechst (Molecular Probes). Immunoblotting was achieved using Universal Detection for anti-goat, anti-rabbit or anti-mouse antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch).

GFP::Scrib and GFP::Sgt1 expression constructs were generated by PCR amplification using either full-length mouse Scrib cDNA or full-length human Sgt1 cDNA as templates. PCR products were digested with restriction enzymes and cloned into pEGFP-C1. Constructs were then sequenced verified.

RNA interference

shRNA sense oligos were designed against canine βPIX by the nRNAi freeware program. βPIX shRNAs, 5′-CCGGTGTTGGTGTCAAGATATTTTCTACAGAGAATATTCTCTGCACATTCTTTT-3′; βPIX shRNA2, 5′-CCGGGAGGTGACACTGTGCAGCATTCAAGATACCCCTCTCCTTCTTTT3′. Scrb sense shRNA oligos were based on previously published shRNA sequences (Bahri et al., 2004). 5′-CCGGGAGGTGACACTGTGCAGCATTCAAGATACCCCTCTCCTTCTTTT3′. Sense and antisense oligos were annealed and ligated into the AgeI and EcoRI sites of the lentiviral pLKO.1 TRC cloning vector. Positive clones were sequenced to ensure the shRNA sequences were free of errors.

Virus was produced by cotransferring shRNA vectors and Virapower lentiviral expression constructs into 293FT cells. 48 hours after virus production 15% of the supernatant was used for infection. For viral transduction of MDCK cells, viral supernatant was added directly to non-adhered MDCK cells for 12–24 hours. Following 24 hours of recovery in fresh medium, lentiviral infected cells were selected for the addition of 5 μg/ml puromycin.

DN-PK expression

Expression constructs and cell lines for experiments using HA-tagged kinase-dead PAK1-(K299R) and Myc-tagged kinase-dead PAK1-(R193A, P194A, K299R) have been described previously (Sells et al., 1999; Zegers et al., 2003). For cyst experiments, MDCK cells were trypsinized until it was used for infection. For viral transduction of MDCK cells, viral supernatant was used for infection. For viral transduction of MDCK cells, viral supernatant was used for infection. For viral transduction of MDCK cells, viral supernatant was used for infection. For viral transduction of MDCK cells, viral supernatant was used for infection. For viral transduction of MDCK cells, viral supernatant was used for infection. For viral transduction of MDCK cells, viral supernatant was used for infection. For viral transduction of MDCK cells, viral supernatant was used for infection. For viral transduction of MDCK cells, viral supernatant was used for infection. For viral transduction of MDCK cells, viral supernatant was used for infection.


Fig. S1. Loss of Scrib or Sgt1 increases apoptosis in cysts. (A) Fixed MDCK cysts were stained with actin and cleaved caspase 3 (c-casp 3) as indicated. Nuclei are marked by Hoechst staining and pictured in blue. Localization of Scrib and Sgt1 RNAi cysts have increased cleaved caspase 3 (a marker of apoptosis) positive cells in their luminal space relative to controls. Increased numbers of cleaved caspase 3 positive cells could also be seen for Scrib and Sgt1 shRNA cells grown in 2D culture. (B) Quantification of cleaved caspase 3 staining in 4-5-day-old cysts derived from cells treated with experimental or control shRNAs. Statistically significant: (*)=P <0.05, (**)=P <0.01. Dunnett’s multiple comparison test was used.
Fig. S2. Scrib forms a complex with βPix and PAK. (A) MDCK cells were treated with or without HGF and total cell lysates (TCL) were prepared and subject to immunoprecipitation using a Scrib (C-20) or control HA antibody. IPs were isolated and subject to SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis alongside TCLs for the indicated proteins. IP of Scrib demonstrated a specific interaction with βPix, PAK and Sgt1.
Fig. S3. RNAi of βPix in MDCK cysts. (A) Western blot image of MDCK total cell lysates treated either with a control shRNA or shRNAs directed against βPix. GAPDH is included as a loading control for the lysates. (B) Fixed MDCK cysts were stained with the apical domain marker gp135 and the adherens junction marker beta-catenin (β-cat). Nuclei are marked by Hoechst staining and pictured in blue.
Fig. S4. Model for Scrib complex regulation of epithelial morphogenesis. Sgt1-HSP90 functions to stabilize Scrib via the LRR domain. This enables Scrib to promote HGF-mediated extension formation through βPix and PAK.