A short bifunctional element operates to positively or negatively regulate ESAG9 expression in different developmental forms of Trypanosoma brucei
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Summary
In their mammalian host trypanosomes generate ‘stumpy’ forms from proliferative ‘slender’ forms as an adaptation for transmission to their tsetse fly vector. This transition is characterised by the repression of many genes while quiescent stumpy forms accumulate during each wave of parasitaemia. However, a subset of genes are upregulated either as an adaptation for transmission or to sustain infection chronicity. Among this group are ESAG9 proteins, whose genes were originally identified as a component of some telomeric variant surface glycoprotein gene expression sites, although many members of this diverse family are also transcribed elsewhere in the genome. ESAG9 genes are among the most highly regulated genes in transmissible stumpy forms, encoding a group of secreted proteins of cryptic function. To understand their developmental silencing in slender forms and activation in stumpy forms, the post-transcriptional control signals for a well conserved ESAG9 gene have been mapped. This identified a precise RNA sequence element of 34 nucleotides that contributes to gene expression silencing in slender forms but also acts positively, activating gene expression in stumpy forms. We predict that this bifunctional RNA sequence element is targeted by competing negative and positive regulatory factors in distinct developmental forms of the parasite. Analysis of the 3’UTR regulatory regions flanking the highly diverse ESAG9 family reveals that the linear regulatory sequence is not highly conserved, suggesting that RNA structure is important for interactions with regulatory proteins.
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Introduction
African trypanosomes are parasites of sub-Saharan Africa that survive in the bloodstream of their mammalian hosts through their expression of a variable surface glycoprotein (VSG) coat (Rudenko, 2011). In order to evade immunity, this coat can be changed through the expression of different representatives of a large repertoire of vsg genes, that are expressed from telomeric expression sites, only one of which is active at any one time. The activity of vsg expression sites is controlled at the level of transcription, this being apparently linked to their physical association with a sub-nuclear expression site body (Navarro and Gull, 2001; Navarro et al., 2007), which ensures monoallelic expression (Borst, 2002). In the tsetse fly vector, responsible for the transmission of trypanosomes, the VSG coat is replaced by a coat of procyclin molecules, this also being linked to the activation of the procyclin gene promoter and the inactivation of the vsg gene expression site promoter (Landeira and Navarro, 2007). In both the case of vsg and procyclin gene expression, transcription is unusual in being driven by RNA polymerase I (Günzl et al., 2003; Rudenko et al., 1989), this being made possible because all trypanosome mRNAs are capped by trans-splicing of an RNA polymerase II transcribed leader sequence (Clayton, 2002; Kooter et al., 1987; Zomerdijk et al., 1991).

Although vsg and procyclin mRNAs are the major products of their respective transcription units, other genes are also co-transcribed in each locus. Most notably, the vsg expression site contains a number of expression site associated genes (ESAG) positioned between the upstream vsg promoter and telomeric vsg gene (Cully et al., 1985; Kooter et al., 1987; Pays et al., 1989). The function of these is largely unknown, although ESAG6 and 7 (positioned closest to the expression site promoter) encode a heterodimeric transferrin receptor (Salmon et al., 1994), ESAG4 encodes an adenylate cyclase activity (Paindavoine et al., 1992) and, exclusively in Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense, SRA encodes a gene that enables resistance to the trypanolytic component of human serum (Pays et al., 2001; Xong et al., 1998). Although expression site associated genes are defined by their co-association with the vsg gene, several ESAG gene families also have representatives positioned outside expression sites. These are transcribed as part of RNA-polymerase-II-driven polycistrionic arrays that generate the mRNAs for all non-expression site protein coding genes. These genes are often termed GRESAGs (gene related to ESAGs) (Pays et al., 2001). Although positioned outside vsg expression sites, GRESAGs can also be functional; members of the ESAG4 family have a function in both cytokinesis and early establishment of trypanosome infections in the mammalian host, for example (Salmon et al., 2012a; Salmon et al., 2012b).
One unusual ESAG family is the ESAG9 gene family (Florent et al., 1991). These genes are highly diverse and rarely found as components of conventional vsg expression sites, most copies being located within polycistronic transcription units positioned at chromosomal internal and sub-telomeric regions (Barnwell et al., 2010; Hertz-Fowler et al., 2008). The protein products of ESAG9 genes are of unknown function, although they are secreted by bloodstream form parasites, potentially to assist infection chronicity or transmission (Barnwell et al., 2010). Most interestingly, however, ESAG9 genes show developmental expression in the mammalian bloodstream, being expressed only upon development of the bloodstream parasites toward stumpy forms (Barnwell et al., 2010; Jensen et al., 2009), transmission stages that accumulate at the peak of each wave of parasitaemia (MacGregor et al., 2012). This differentiation event occurs in response to a density sensing mechanism that the parasites use to monitor the parasitaemia and thereby ensure the production of transmission stages (Reuner et al., 1997; Vassella et al., 1997). This serves both to prevent the parasite from overwhelming the host and also to prepare the parasite for transmission. These developmental processes involve a form of quorum sensing in which a parasite-derived signal (stumpy induction factor) is detected, this stimulating the cell cycle arrest of parasites, and their morphological progression from proliferative slender forms, through intermediate forms to stumpy forms (Fig. 1A) (MacGregor et al., 2011; MacGregor et al., 2012). These events occur via a defined developmental pathway in the bloodstream, some aspects of which can be mimicked in vitro using cell permeable cAMP or AMP analogues (Laxman et al., 2006; MacGregor and Matthews, 2012; Vassella et al., 1997). In vivo, the upregulation of ESAG9 transcripts is one of the earliest events during stumpy formation, with these genes being among the most highly expressed mRNAs during the transition from intermediate to stumpy cells. This involves the elevated expression of many different ESAG9 family members and so is not restricted to expression associated copies of the gene (Barnwell et al., 2010). Hence, the ESAG9 genes provide an example of a stringently and coordinately regulated gene family that is developmentally expressed in response to the parasites’ quorum-sensing signal.

In contrast to differential gene regulation between bloodstream and tsetse midgut forms, developmental gene expression in stumpy forms presents some interesting challenges to the parasite. Firstly, stumpy forms are quiescent such that stumpy expressed molecules must escape the translational repression enforced on most genes (Brecht and Parsons, 1998; P. Capewell, S. M., A. Ivens, P. MacGregor, K. Fenn, P. Walrad, F. Bringaud, T. Smith and K. R. M., unpublished data). Secondly, stumpy-enriched gene expression is exclusively the product of a developmental signalling pathway that is parasite intrinsic, contrasting with the wide-ranging environmental signals that govern development upon entry to the tsetse fly (e.g. temperature, glucose levels, pH etc.) (Schwede et al., 2011). Finally, genes that are activated in stumpy forms must also be held silent in slender forms, and this repression must be alleviated upon development in the bloodstream. For these reasons, we have sought to understand developmental gene expression in the mammalian bloodstream, particularly focusing on the small set of genes enriched in stumpy forms. To date, one stumpy enriched molecule has been characterised – PAD1, a carboxylate transporter protein important in the perception of the differentiation signal as stumpy forms enter the tsetse fly (Dean et al., 2009). For this molecule, an analysis of the regulatory signals confirmed the importance of its 3’UTR, but sequences important in developmental regulation were difficult to precisely define, being complex and dispersed (MacGregor and Matthews, 2012).

Here, we have exploited the striking developmental control of the co-regulated ESAG9 gene family to better understand developmental regulation in the mammalian bloodstream. This has identified a highly defined short regulatory RNA motif that ensures ESAG9 gene silencing in slender forms. Moreover, the same element is responsive to cAMP analogues that intersect with the stumpy induction pathway and also to SIF itself, such that it promotes gene expression in stumpy forms. This identifies this bifunctional RNA element as among the most precisely defined post-transcriptional regulatory elements identified in trypanosomes to date and the first well characterised element operating in the disease and transmission relevant bloodstream forms of the parasite.

Results

The ESAG9-EQ 3’UTR contributes to gene expression silencing in slender forms

In order to analyse ESAG9 gene regulation, we used as a model T. brucei developmental control 2295

Fig. 1. The biological and experimental basis of this study. (A) Representation of the events accompanying the differentiation from slender to stumpy forms during trypanosome development in the mammalian bloodstream. (B) Schematic diagram of the subcloning strategy for 3’UTR analysis with a CAT reporter gene assay, showing the region of the CAT449 vector with the chloramphenicol acetyl transferase (CAT), phleomycin resistance (BLE3), and β-tubulin genes. The aldolase (ALD) 5’UTR and truncated 3’UTR (AALD) flank the CAT gene, with the AALD 3’UTR conferring constitutive expression (Mayho et al., 2006) since it is not developmentally regulated (Biebinger et al., 1997). Replacement of the 3’UTR with an experimental UTR used the BamHI and BbsI restriction enzyme sites. The 3’UTR deletion series (350 nt, 300 nt, 250 nt 3’UTR sequences) were generated with PCRs using forward primers designed to create ~50 nt sequential deletions along the UTR from the 5’ end. The diagram is not to scale.
and 84% amino acid similarity to ESAG9u and ESAG9c (Florent et al., 1991), respectively), and also highly related to an expression site linked copy in T. brucei s427 identified by TAR cloning (Young et al., 2008) (88% similarity). This gene was also confirmed as being stumpy-enriched in different strains of T. brucei (Barnwell et al., 2010), with Digital SAGE analysis indicating fivefold higher mRNA expression in stumpy forms than in slender forms (data not shown). Initially, a CAT reporter gene assay approach was used to assess the contributions of the ESAG9 3’UTR sequence to the control of gene expression (Fig. 1B). This approach has previously been used successfully to map 3’UTR control elements of COX genes (Mayho et al., 2006) and PADI (MacGregor and Matthews, 2012). The nearest gene annotated downstream of ESAG9-EQ in the T. b. brucei TREU 927/4 genome sequence is Tb927.5.4630, generating an intergenic region of 3057 bp. Since this provided too large a region for further manipulation, only 400 nucleotides (nt) downstream of the ESAG9-EQ gene was included for analysis, this extending beyond the mapped polyadenylation site for the ESAG9-EQ transcript (positioned at ~380 nt relative to the stop codon; K. M. and S. M., unpublished observations). This generated the construct ‘ESAG9-EQ 3’UTR full length’, which was transfected into monomorphic bloodstream form T. brucei Lister 427 in parallel with the same construct bearing the truncated aldolase 3’UTR as a control (ΔALD; unmodified vector; Fig. 1B). Monomorphic lines are laboratory-adapted forms that have lost the ability to produce stumpy forms but which are much more readily cultured and transfected than pleomorphic lines that can generate stumpy forms. For this and all subsequent analyses, at least two independent cell lines were analysed for each construct, and protein assays were carried out in triplicate.

In comparison to the ΔALD construct, the ESAG9-EQ 3’UTR full length construct showed reduced CAT protein (26 ± 1.7% of ΔALD, which was normalised to 100% in all experiments; Fig. 2A) and mRNA expression (34.5% of ΔALD; Fig. 2A,B). This indicated that the ESAG9-EQ 3’UTR repressed CAT expression in monomorphic slender forms. In order to map sequences that might contribute to this repression a deletion series was constructed that progressively deleted, from the CAT gene stop codon, 50–150 nt of the 400 nt ESAG9 intergenic sequence. Each of these sequences was predicted to retain the ESAG9 polyadenylation site. Interestingly, investigation of the deletion series constructs after transfection into T. brucei revealed that the repression seen with the intact 3’UTR was reduced with the 350 nt and 250 nt constructs, where CAT protein expression increased to 47 ± 2.5% and 77 ± 3.2%, respectively. In contrast, repression was retained with the

Fig. 2. Deletion analysis of regulatory regions in the ESAG9-EQ 3’UTR. (A) CAT protein (upper panel) and mRNA (lower panel) expression from the constructs shown, as a percentage of expression from one clone of the ΔALD 3’UTR control. The number of biological replicates (independently derived clones) used was: full-length: 5, 350 nt: 2, 300 nt: 3, 250 nt: 3; each with 1–2 experimental replicates. Values are means ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m.) of biological replicates for the protein expression, and means ± the lower and upper values from two replicates is shown for mRNA expression, these being derived from B). At the left of the graphs are schematic representations of the sequence analysed in each construct, where ‘A’ indicates the polyadenylation site location and the red line indicates the regulatory element location. (B) Northern blot analysis of CAT mRNA, with RNA from the ΔALD vector and two biological replicates of each of the full-length, 350 nt, 300 nt and 250 nt constructs. The lower panel shows the ethidium bromide staining of the rRNA present in each sample, analysed to indicate the loading of each lane. Below the lower blot are values of CAT mRNA abundance following normalisation to rRNA as a percentage of that obtained for the unmodified vector. The upper bands in all lanes represent a bicistronic transcript always detected using this construct (e.g. Mayho et al., 2006).
300 nt construct, since CAT protein expression was only 32% of that seen for the control vector containing the ΔALD 3′UTR (Fig. 2A), similar to that of the intact ESAG9 3′UTR (26%). Fig. 2B shows that for each deletion construct, the RNA expression level followed the same trend as the pattern of protein expression, such that CAT mRNA expression increased with the 350 nt deletion construct (45% the level CAT mRNA of ΔALD), decreased with the 300 nt construct (34%) and increased again with the 250 nt construct (60%). In each case, the sizes of the transcripts observed were consistent with the 3′UTR deletions incorporated into the reporter construct, indicating use of the endogenous polyadenylation site.

These results could indicate that regions that negatively regulate gene expression are deleted with the first 50 nt deletion (350 nt) and final 50 nt deletion (250 nt), with an additional region that positively regulates expression being deleted within the second 50 nt deletion (300 nt). Alternatively, the same outcome could be generated if a single negative regulatory secondary structure was disrupted in the 350 nt and 250 nt deletion, but able to form in the 300 nt deletion.

Therefore, Sfold analysis (Ding et al., 2004) was carried out to identify potential structures within the ESAG9 3′UTR and its deletion mutants.

The Sfold ensemble centroid RNA structure prediction for the intact 3′UTR is provided in Fig. 3A, whereas the other deletion mutants are shown in supplementary material Fig. S2. Interestingly, the two constructs that confer repression of CAT gene expression, the full length 3′UTR and 300 nt 3′UTR, are predicted to possess a stem-loop structure (boxed in Fig. 3A; supplementary material Fig. S2) that is absent from the other two ensemble centroid structures, either because it is not predicted to form (350 nt 3′UTR construct) or because some of the sequence forming the structure has been deleted (250 nt 3′UTR construct). Although such predictions are speculative they provided a framework for further investigation of regulatory sequences within the ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR. Hereafter, the sequence contained within the boxed region contributing to the potential structure is referred to as the ESAG9-EQ regulatory element (‘e’).

Is ESAG9 3′UTR ‘e’ responsible for the repression of gene expression in monomorphic slender forms?

To assess whether the ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR potential regulatory element contributed to the repression of CAT reporter gene expression, this 34 nt sequence was deleted from the full-length 3′UTR sequence, removing nt 132–164. Initially, the predicted structure of the deleted 3′UTR sequence was analysed by Sfold, the resulting centroid structure demonstrating that the overall folding prediction for the remaining 3′UTR sequence was similar to that of the intact 3′UTR with the exception of the removed regulatory element (Fig. 3B). The resulting deletion construct (ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR ‘eD’) was therefore transfected into monomorphic cells and level of CAT reporter protein and RNA analysed in independent clonal lines.

Fig. 4 demonstrates that in comparison to the full-length ESAG9 3′UTR, the ΔA construct alleviated some of the repression of CAT expression, such that the level of CAT
protein was 53±5.2% of the ΔALD control, compared with 26±1.7% for the intact ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR. In contrast, when the 34 nt regulatory element was inserted into the 3′UTR of the truncated aldolase 3′UTR (generating construct ‘CAT ΔALD eI’), at a position predicted to conserve its structure, and the overall structure of the remainder of the truncated aldolase 3′UTR, little overall repression of reporter expression was observed (CAT ΔALD eI 90±6% with respect to the ΔALD expression). RNA levels also followed the same trend as protein levels (Fig. 4B).

These experiments indicated that in monomorphic cells the regulatory element contributed to the repression of reporter gene expression in the ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR. However, insertion of this element into another 3′UTR from a constitutively expressed gene did not repress expression.

**Is the ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR responsible for the control of gene expression in ‘stumpy-like’ forms?**

The developmental expression of genes in bloodstream trypanosomes could result from a combination of both repression in slender forms (developmental negative regulation) and/or activation in stumpy forms (developmental positive regulation). We decided to examine the potential for positive regulation. We therefore tested the possibility of positive sequence element responsive to 8-pCPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP, such that the fold change in CAT protein expression upon 8-pCPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP treatment compared to the full-length construct, with a 2.4-fold increase observed. Similarly, when the next 50 nt of 3′UTR sequence was removed, a similar response was seen (2.3-fold change). However, further deletion of the ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR largely abolished the enhanced expression in response to 8-pCPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP, such that the fold change in CAT decreased to 1.5-fold with the 250 nt construct, similar to the ΔALD 3′UTR response (1.3-fold). This indicated that between 100 and 150 nt into the ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR there existed a positive sequence element responsive to 8-pCPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP. Since this region coincided with the regulatory element observed to repress slender form expression, the response of the ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR eΔ (which deletes nt 132–164 in the ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR) construct was also analysed, as was the insertion of the same region into the ΔALD 3′UTR. These analyses demonstrated that while insertion of the regulatory element into the ΔALD 3′UTR had no effect out of context, removal of the
Fig. 5. Response of CAT reporter gene expression to 8-pCPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP treatment for ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR-based constructs. Fold change in CAT protein expression levels between cells treated with 10 µM 8-pCPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP and control cells are shown. Values are means ± s.e.m. of biological replicates; unmodified vector, n=1; full-length and eΔ, n=3; other constructs, n=2. For each cell line there were two experimental replicates, meaning that the CAT ELISA assays were performed with samples taken from two separate 8-pCPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP treatment experiments, except for the unmodified vector clone, which had one biological replicate and five experimental replicates, this line being included in each 8-pCPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP treatment experiment as a control. At the left of the graph are schematic representations of the sequence analysed in each construct, with the polyadenylation site location indicated with ‘A’ and the regulatory element location indicated by the red line.

The ESAG9 3′ UTR regulatory element governs gene regulation in stumpy forms

Although easier to genetically manipulate, monomorphic cells have lost the ability to generate stumpy forms and represent a poor model for gene regulation of trypanosomes in the mammalian bloodstream. Therefore, having identified a region potentially important in gene regulation between slender and stumpy forms, it was important to analyse whether the same regulatory element functioned in pleomorphic cells capable of generating stumpy-like forms. However, the overall magnitude of the effect in both cases was relatively modest, with both repression and enhancement exerting only approximately twofold regulation. Moreover when RNA expression from the different constructs was analysed in different experiments quite variable results were obtained, limiting our ability to usefully interpret the effects of the 8-pCPT-2′-O-Me-cAMP on transcript levels derived from each construct.

The ESAG9 3′ UTR regulatory element is entirely responsible for developmental gene regulation

Interestingly, when the regulatory element sequence was inserted into the ΔALD 3′ UTR (ΔALD eI), although no developmental enhancement of reporter protein was observed upon stumpy formation, the transcript levels from this construct increased 3.8-fold (Fig. 6B). This revealed an uncoupling between mRNA and protein levels when the element was out of its normal context, indicating that other ESAG9 3′ UTR sequences may contribute to translational efficiency when the 34 nt element is intact. These results indicated that the regulatory element acted to repress gene expression in slender forms at the RNA level and strongly activated gene expression at both the RNA level and protein expression levels upon development to stumpy forms. This profile closely agrees with the fivefold elevation of ESAG9-EQ mRNA observed by Digital SAGE transcriptome analysis during the slender–stumpy transition (K. M., unpublished observations). However, when the 34 nt regulatory sequence was deleted, the developmental regulation of the ESAG9-EQ 3′ UTR was almost completely lost, such that RNA induction upon stumpy formation was only 1.3-fold, whereas the reporter protein expression actually decreased below the level in slender forms. Interestingly, when the regulatory element sequence was inserted into the ΔD 3′ UTR (ΔD eI), although no developmental enhancement of reporter protein was observed upon stumpy formation, the transcript levels from this construct increased 3.8-fold (Fig. 6B). These results indicated that the regulatory element acted to repress gene expression in slender forms at the RNA level and strongly activated gene expression at both the RNA and protein levels upon development to stumpy forms.

Folding analysis and conservation of the ESAG9 gene regulatory element

The ESAG9 gene family comprises at least nine intact genes in the T. brucei genome, and all tested genes have demonstrated stumpy-enriched gene expression (Barnwell et al., 2010). Hence, having defined a precise regulatory element controlling the developmental gene expression of ESAG9-EQ we investigated whether the sequence was highly conserved among the 3′ UTR of...
other ESAG9 family members. Firstly a linear alignment of the different 3′UTR sequences (up to 250 nucleotides downstream of the ESAG9 gene in each) was carried out using CLUSTAL Omega. This revealed that the region of the ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR containing the regulatory element showed 47–91% similarity between family members. Interestingly, the 3′UTR sequence of the T. brucei ESAG9-EQ gene was most similar to the 3′UTR from both of the characterised T. equiperdum ESAG9 gene copies (90% and 91% similarity over the regulatory element for ESAG9u and ESAG9c, respectively; 86% and 90% over the first 250 nucleotides of the 3′UTR; alignments are shown in Fig. 7A), matching their coding region similarity. This suggests that these gene and regulatory sequences have been conserved since the divergence of these species, and have remained more similar to each other than to other ESAG9 gene sequences within the same genome. Apparently therefore, ESAG9 genes and regulatory sequences are not evolving at a very high frequency despite the diversity of this sequence family.

Thereafter, we analysed in more detail the potential for secondary structure within the ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR in the presence or absence of the regulatory region. This was carried out by comparing the minimum folding energy (MFE) of the wild-type or eΔ sequences against 50–100 copies of each sequence permuted in base order, in each case these being constrained in order to maintain the dinucleotide frequencies (supplementary material Table S1). Thereafter, analysis of the difference in MFE between the sequences (MFED) and the position of the native sequence in the distribution of control MFE values (Z-score) provided an indication of its sequence-order-dependent structure and its dependence on the presence of the regulatory element. This analysis revealed that the ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR shows evidence for secondary structure (with an MFED value of around 20% and a Z-score of $<-2$ ($P<0.05$), whereas deletion of the regulatory element abolished this (supplementary material Table S1). This highlighted that it was only this sequence within the 3′UTR that provided support for a predicted secondary structure. Confirming the sequence specificity of the predicted structure in the ESAG9-EQ 3′UTR, a control analysis using antisense copies of sequences (and exploiting G–U asymmetry), showed no evidence for sequence-order-dependent folding.

Although this analysis provided support for a structural element coinciding with the ESAG9-EQ regulatory sequence, using the same analysis for all other ESAG9 family 3′UTRs failed to provide a MFED score consistent with the existence of a conserved secondary structural element (supplementary material Table S1). Therefore, we reanalysed the ESAG9 family 3′UTRs for common secondary structures using multiple structural comparisons through the ‘RNAstructures’ webserver (http://rna.urmc.rochester.edu/RNAstructureWeb/Servers/Predict3/Predict3.html). Analysis of the structures with the greatest conservation between the multiple structural predictions of the ESAG9 family revealed that the regulatory element sequence had a predicted propensity to fold into one or two stem loop structures terminated by a common AAU sequence element at the base of the distal stem (Fig. 7B). Components of the ascending stem and descending stem are highlighted in yellow and purple, respectively). Hence, consensus folding analysis has provided evidence for a structural component to the regulatory element in ESAG9-EQ, although independent analyses of predicted pseudoknots and tertiary structural elements via other algorithms did not provide further support for a generally conserved structure (P. S., unpublished observation).

**Discussion**

To date, the study of most regulated gene expression in trypanosomes has focussed on the differentiation between bloodstream and tsetse midge procyclic forms. During this transition, several examples where 3′UTRs are important to lifecycle stage-dependent control of expression have been identified (reviewed by Schwede et al., 2011). The most
extensively characterised 3′UTRs are those of the procyclin genes. For example, the 3′UTR of EP procyclin contains three regulatory elements; two positive elements (loops I and III) and a negative element (loop II) (Furger et al., 1997). The EP procyclin gene is positively regulated by a small RNA binding protein, \textit{Tb}ZFP3; when overexpressed \textit{Tb}ZFP3 promotes EP procyclin expression, this being dependent upon sequences in the loop II element, such that \textit{Tb}ZFP3 has been proposed to displace a negative regulatory protein (Walrad et al., 2009). Another procyclin isoform, GPEET procyclin, is regulated by glycerol and hypoxia (Vassella et al., 2000), this being mediated by a glycerol response element in its 3′UTR that is absent in EP procyclin mRNAs. Other developmentally regulated mRNAs are also regulated via their 3′UTR, though conserved elements responsible for widespread developmental regulation have proved difficult to identify. For example, the functionally and coordinately regulated nuclear encoded components of the cytochrome oxidase complex are all upregulated upon differentiation to procyclic forms, but show different emphasis on either mRNA stability or translational control, and little evidence for identifiable common regulatory motifs between the distinct mRNAs (Mayho et al., 2006). Overall, therefore, the signals governing developmental expression are largely 3′UTR directed, but consensus regulatory motifs and regulatory proteins have proved elusive.

Here we focussed on a family of co-regulated genes that are developmentally enriched in bloodstream stumpy forms, thereby providing a route to identify regulatory signals important for this transition. Moreover, we anticipated that analysis of the conservation of identified regulatory regions within the co-regulated family members would help to decipher cryptic signals and structures that control expression in a developmental regulon.

Dissection of the 3′UTR of \textit{ESAG9-EQ} identified a highly defined regulatory region of only 34 nt that governed the developmental expression of this gene. Interestingly, the element exhibited both negative and positive regulatory potential, depending on the developmental stage of the parasite. Hence, in slender forms, the element contributed to the repression of \textit{ESAG9-EQ} gene expression, whereas upon development to stumpy forms, the element enabled the strong activation of \textit{ESAG9-EQ} expression. This identified the 34 nt region as a tightly defined bifunctional regulatory element, among the shortest such regions identified to date in trypanosome gene expression. In Fig. 8 we present a model for how this regulatory
element might function. In the simplest scenario, the element might be bound by a negative regulator in slender forms, this being displaced by a positive regulator in stumpy forms. This scenario would resemble the regulation of EP procyclin by the small RNA binding protein TbzFP3, where TbzFP3 apparently counteracts a negative regulator operating upon loop II of the EP procyclin 3'UTR (Walrad et al., 2009). Although the regulatory element within the 3'UTR affects both mRNA levels and protein levels, insertion of the element in isolation into the 3'UTR of a constitutively expressed gene in pleomorphic cells repressed mRNA levels but did not strongly affect protein levels. Hence, the element apparently influences gene expression at more than one level, this being influenced by the context in which it is placed. Other sequences in the ESAG9 3'UTR might, therefore, contribute to translation of the mRNA in the presence of the 34 nt element, for example by engaging or stabilising the association of positive regulators of translation. Since the protein level expression in stumpy forms was considerably higher than in monomorphic forms induced to become stumpy-like by the cell permeable cAMP analogue, we propose that either monomorphic and robust in cells naturally capable of stumpy formation, and such cells are necessary to provide an accurate picture of the regulatory events that prepare the cell for transmission in the mammalian bloodstream.

The developmental co-regulation of ESAG9 transcripts suggested that common regulatory sequences would be uncovered by the dissection of the 3'UTR of one family member. However, linear alignment of all ESAG9 gene 3'UTRs did not reveal stringent identity of the different family members over the key regulatory region identified in the ESAG9-EQ 3'UTR, limiting the value of more detailed mutational analysis. Rather, this suggests that higher order structures contribute to the specific recognition of mRNAs of this gene family. While sfold comparisons and multiple RNA fold alignments highlighted that a potential stem loop region is contained within the 34 nt regulatory section, a strikingly conserved structural pattern was not observed. This highlights that predictive approaches to RNA structure are currently insufficient to uncover the conservation within genes that are highly likely to share interactions with regulatory RNA binding proteins. Nonetheless, the identification of a short and dominant regulatory motif in the ESAG9-EQ 3'UTR provides a powerful ligand to select such regulatory RNA binding proteins from slender and stumpy forms and their identification will allow mapping of the contact sites on the ESAG9 3'UTR from different family members. For this reason, the precise identification of the regulatory element in ESAG9-EQ 3'UTR provides an invaluable reagent to further understanding of developmental gene expression in trypanosomes.

In summary, the regulation of ESAG9-EQ has uncovered the most highly defined regulatory motif yet identified as being important in the developmental control of trypanosome gene expression in the mammalian bloodstream. This element acts as both a negative and positive regulator, dependent on the developmental context of the parasite, indicating that it acts as a downstream target of the quorum-sensing pathway. As signalling pathways in trypanosomes become better characterised, the components of the regulatory network that interact to govern development of the parasite in its mammalian host can be connected. Breaking these networks pharmacologically has obvious potential in manipulating the virulence and transmission potential of the parasite in a disease relevant stage of its life cycle.
Materials and Methods

Trypanosomes

Monomorphic bloodstream form T. brucei strain Lister 427 were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO2 in HMI-9 medium (Hisumi and Hirumi, 1989) containing 20% foetal calf serum (FCS; Fetal Bovine Serum Gold, PAA Laboratories Ltd, Yeovil, Somerset, UK) and penicillin-streptomycin (100 Units/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin; Invitrogen, Life technologies, Paisley, Scotland).

Mice (male, MF1 strain) were infected with pleomorphic trypanosomes (T. brucei AnTat1.1 90/13 strain) by intraperitoneal (IP) injection. If infected for the harvest of a stumpy form population, the mouse was injected (IP) with 250 μl cyclophosphamide (25 mg/ml) the day prior to infection. Infected blood was collected by cardiac puncture and allowed to pass through a diethylaminoethyl (DEAE) cellulose (DE-52, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire) anion exchange column (Lanham and Godfrey, 1970) equilibrated with PBS (pH 7.8). All animal experiments were performed according to UK Home Office approved guidelines as specified under Project licence no. 60/4373.

Subcloning

Reporter constructs used the previously described CAT449 plasmid (Biebinger et al., 1997) containing the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) coding region (Mayho et al., 2006). Digestion with Ncol results in linearisation of the CAT449 vector in the tubulin targeting sequence. Consequently, integration in to the T. brucei genome is through the β-tubulin locus and the CAT reporter gene is transcribed by RNA polymerase II. Investigation of experimental 3'UTRs was made by replacement of the truncated aldolase (AALD) 3'UTR present in the CAT449 vector through BambIII and BbsI restriction sites. This was achieved through PCR amplification of the 3'UTR sequence using genomic DNA from T. brucei as template (primer 1: ESAG9-EQ FL forward; 5'-ccgggtgacatcttacgcttatgca-3'; primer 2: ESAG9-EQ FL reverse; 5'-cccagagaccgctgtgtaactccc-3'). The element deletion (eΔ) 3'UTR sequence was generated by two PCR reactions performed using the full-length 3'UTR sequence PCR product as template; one reaction with primers 1 and 6 (primer 6: element deletion R: 5'-gggggccggcgtttatcctcgcttatgca-3') to amplify the region of the full-length 3'UTR sequence upstream of the element sequence, the other with primers 2 and 7 (primer 7: element deletion; 5'-gggggccggcgtttatcctcgcttatgca-3') to amplify the region of the full-length 3'UTR sequence downstream of the element sequence. To adjourn the two PCR amplicons, XbaI restriction enzyme sites were included in primers 6 and 7. The two PCR amplicons were used in a single ligation reaction with BambIII- and BbsII-digested CAT449 vector. The element insertion (eI) 3'UTR sequence was synthesised by GENEO ART AG (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), in their pMA-T vector with flanking BambIII and BbsI restriction enzyme sites for ligation in to the CAT449 vector. Each amplicon was initially subcloned in to pGEM T-easy vector (Promega UK, Southampton, UK) for sequence verification. The deletion series was created using primer 2 in combination with primer 3 (primer 3: ESAG9-EQ 3'UTR 350 nt F; 5'-ggccgggaacacgctctgtgca-3'; 350 nt construct); primer 2 with primer 4 (primer 4; ESAG9-EQ 3'UTR 300 nt F; 5'-ggccgggaacacgctctgtgca-3'; 300 nt construct) or primer 2 with primer 5 (primer 5; ESAG9-EQ 3'UTR 250 nt F, 5'-ggccgggaacacgctctgtgca-3'; 250 nt construct).

Transfection

Transfection of monomorphic bloodstream form cells was performed as previously (MacGregor and Matthews, 2012) described with 15 μg of Ncol-linearised DNA. 24 hours post-transfection, selection was made with 0.5–2.5 μg/ml phleomycin on serial dilutions of transfected cells to allow clonal selection, with a control of drug-treated parental (non-transfected) cells to confirm drug sensitivity. Transfection of pleomorphic cells was as described previously (MacGregor and Matthews, 2012).

CAT ELISA assay

To determine the level of CAT protein derived from each construct, CAT ELISA assays (Roche Products Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, UK) were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Absorbance values at 405 nm were measured using a BioTek ELx808 ELISA microplate reader and for each assay a CAT standard curve was calculated. Each assay included the same independently derived clone of the unmodified vector, which was used for comparison between assays. This clone was representative of several independently derived cell lines for the same construct (supplementary material Fig. S1).

Northern blot analysis

RNA extraction was performed using a RNeasy RNA purification kit (Qiagen Ltd. Crawley, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions for ‘animal cell spin’ RNA purification. RNA (typically 2.0 μg per sample) was resolved on formaldehyde-agarose gels, transferred to nylon membranes using the upward capillary transfer method and cross-linked on to the membrane at 0.12 kJoules using a UV Crosslinker (Uvitec). Riboprobes were generated using the DIG RNA labelling kit (Roche Products Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For transcript detection CDP-star (Roche Products Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, UK) was used and the signal identified by exposure to X-ray film or using the Chremophore luminiscence of a GBOX (Syngene, Cambridge, UK) for transcript quantification by normalisation to rRNA level.

8-pCPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP treatment

8-(4-Chlorophenylthio)-2'-O-methyladenosine-3',5'-cyclic monophosphate (8-pCPT-2'-O-Me-cAMP; Biolog Life Science Institute, Bremen, Germany) was dissolved in DMSO (DMSO) to a concentration of 10 mM was added to the cell culture (~5x10^6 cells/ml concentration) to a final concentration of 100 μM. As a control, to an identical cell culture, the same volume of DMSO alone was added. Following incubation at 37°C for 48 hours, 5 μl of each culture was used to generate cell lysate samples for use in a CAT ELISA assay and the remaining culture used for RNA extraction and then northern blot analysis (as described above).

Bioinformatics and RNA structure prediction

For basic prediction of RNA secondary structure the 3arna application module of the freely available web-based software package Sfold (Ding et al., 2004) was used with the default settings (http://sfold.wadsworth.org/cgi-bin/index.pl). Multialignment of DNA sequences was performed using ClustalW2 (Multiple Sequence Alignment tool, version 2.1) or Clustal Omega with the default settings (Larkin et al., 2007). For more in-depth analysis, mean folding energies (MFEs) were calculated for 250 base segments of the 3'UTR from each ESAG9 3'UTR using UNAfold (Markham and Zuker, 2005) within the SSE package (Simmonds, 2012). For each sequence, MFE differences from the null expectation (MFEΔ) and Z-scores (Workman and Krogh, 1999) were calculated by parallel submission of 100 control sequences scrambled using the NDR algorithm in SSE that retains biases in dinucleotide frequencies (Simmonds et al., 2004). Results were expressed as MFE differences (MFEΔs; i.e. the percentage difference in MFEs of native and scrambled sequence, calculated as (MFE_{native}/MFE_{scrambled}-1)×100, and as Z-scores (the position of the native sequence in the distribution of control values (Workman and Krogh, 1999)). Alternative approaches for RNA structure prediction in nucleotide sequences aligned by the program MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) were used PFOLD, a stochastic context-free grammar method to identify phylogenetically conserved co-variant sites supportive of an RNA structure model (Knudsen and Hein, 1999), by STRUCTUREDIST in the SSE package that identifies conserved paired and unpaired bases in minimum energy fold (Simmonds, 2012), and a combined minimum energy/covariant site detection method implemented in ALIFOLD (Gruber et al., 2008).
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Figure S1

Upper panel. Relative CAT expression of four independent cell lines transfected with the ΔALD construct. Clone A (“Original clone”) was used in all experiments in this study to provide a comparator for the relative expression of the various ESAG9-EQ 3’UTR constructs. Protein expression is indicated in the left hand panel, with mean ± standard error of two experimental replicates being shown. RNA expression is shown in the right hand panel, with ethidium bromide staining of the rRNA providing a loading control.

Lower panel. Relative CAT protein expression from the various ΔALD cell lines after exposure to cell permeable cAMP. In all cases a small (~1.3 fold) increase in CAT protein expression was observed. Mean ± standard error of experimental replicates are shown (n = 2, except original clone where n = 4).
Figure S2

Sfold predicted structures for the intact ESAG9-EQ 3’UTR or for deletions of it used in this analysis. The predicted structure associated with the ‘regulatory element’ characterised in this study is boxed in the ‘full length’ (‘ESAG9-EQ FL 3’UTR’) and 300nt 3’UTR deletion. The 250nt and 350nt deletion constructs are not predicted to form the structure.
Table S1. Prediction of the probability of secondary structure formation among different ESAG9 3’UTRs using UNAfold (Markham and Zuker, 2005) within the SSE package (Simmonds, 2012). Details of the analysis are provided within the ‘Materials and Methods’. Yellow highlighting shows MFED and Z-score values predictive of a secondary structure in the sequence. Grey shading shows mutant or anti-sense ESAG9-EQ sequences without support for secondary structure, contrasting with the sense intact version.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>Divergence</th>
<th>Native MFE</th>
<th>Control MFE</th>
<th>Control SD</th>
<th>MFED</th>
<th>Z-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ESAG9 EQ</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>-50.1</td>
<td>-42.04</td>
<td>4.0102</td>
<td>0.1917</td>
<td>-2.0099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAG9 K9</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-48.9</td>
<td>-49.283</td>
<td>4.1139</td>
<td>-0.0078</td>
<td>0.0931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAG9 k69</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>-49.2</td>
<td>-44.872</td>
<td>4.3029</td>
<td>0.0965</td>
<td>-1.0058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb927.1.5080</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>-59.9</td>
<td>-54.951</td>
<td>3.774</td>
<td>0.0901</td>
<td>-1.3114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb927.3.5790</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-54.8</td>
<td>-54.29</td>
<td>3.9161</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td>-1.1302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb927.9.7370</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>-36.5</td>
<td>-39.771</td>
<td>3.7807</td>
<td>-0.0822</td>
<td>0.8651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAG9u</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-46.834</td>
<td>3.9262</td>
<td>-0.0178</td>
<td>0.2124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAG9c</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>-46.9</td>
<td>-48.613</td>
<td>3.9979</td>
<td>-0.0352</td>
<td>0.4285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAG9 EQ</td>
<td>A/Sense</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>-30.4</td>
<td>-32.357</td>
<td>3.222</td>
<td>0.0605</td>
<td>0.6074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAG9 K9</td>
<td>A/Sense</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-40.9</td>
<td>-39.953</td>
<td>3.4965</td>
<td>0.0237</td>
<td>-0.2708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAG9 k69</td>
<td>A/Sense</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>-54.4</td>
<td>-47.299</td>
<td>3.9103</td>
<td>0.1501</td>
<td>-1.8161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb927.1.5080</td>
<td>A/Sense</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>-49.2</td>
<td>-44.987</td>
<td>3.9318</td>
<td>0.0937</td>
<td>-1.0716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb927.3.5790</td>
<td>A/Sense</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-37.9</td>
<td>-41.393</td>
<td>3.3743</td>
<td>-0.0844</td>
<td>1.0351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb927.9.7370</td>
<td>A/Sense</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>-42.8</td>
<td>-40.804</td>
<td>3.2919</td>
<td>0.0489</td>
<td>-0.6062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAG9u</td>
<td>A/Sense</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>-28.4</td>
<td>-32.838</td>
<td>3.3341</td>
<td>-0.1352</td>
<td>1.3312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAG9c</td>
<td>A/Sense</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>-35.9</td>
<td>-39.132</td>
<td>3.3805</td>
<td>-0.0826</td>
<td>0.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAG9 EQ ΔE</td>
<td>Sense</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>-39.1</td>
<td>-37.587</td>
<td>3.3569</td>
<td>0.0403</td>
<td>-0.4507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAG9 EQ ΔE</td>
<td>A/Sense</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>-27.2</td>
<td>-28.888</td>
<td>3.1709</td>
<td>-0.0584</td>
<td>0.5323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>