Vertebrate Spt2 is a novel nucleolar histone chaperone that assists in ribosomal DNA transcription
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Summary
In eukaryotes, transcription occurs in the chromatin context with the assistance of histone-binding proteins, such as chromatin/nucleosome remodeling factors and histone chaperones. However, it is unclear how each remodeling factor or histone chaperone functions in transcription. Here, we identify a novel histone-binding protein, Spt2, in higher eukaryotes. Recombinant human Spt2 binds to histones and DNA, and promotes nucleosome assembly in vitro. Spt2 accumulates in nucleoli and interacts with RNA polymerase I in chicken DT40 cells, suggesting its involvement in ribosomal RNA transcription. Consistently, Spt2-deficient chicken DT40 cells are sensitive to RNA polymerase I inhibitors and exhibit decreased transcription activity, as shown by a transcription run-on assay. Domain analyses of Spt2 revealed that the C-terminal region, containing the region homologous to yeast Spt2, is responsible for histone binding, while the central region is essential for nucleolar localization and DNA binding. Based on these results, we conclude that vertebrate Spt2 is a novel histone chaperone with a separate DNA-binding domain that facilitates ribosomal DNA transcription through chromatin remodeling during transcription.
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Introduction
In eukaryotes, genomic DNA is tightly packaged into chromatin, in which the four core histones, H2A, H2B, H3 and H4, form nucleosomes as the fundamental repeating unit of eukaryotic chromosomes (Wolffe, 1998). Nucleosomes cover almost the entire chromosome, including the promoters and coding regions of genes, and restrict the DNA binding of proteins that are required for transcription, replication, recombination, and repair of DNA in chromosomes. Therefore, nucleosomes are dynamically disassembled and reassembled with the aid of histone binding proteins, such as chromatin/nucleosome remodeling factors and histone chaperones, to facilitate the expression of genomic DNA.

Biochemical studies revealed that nucleosomes actually impede the initiation and elongation of transcription in vitro (Knezetic and Luse, 1986; Lorch et al., 1987; Izban and Luse, 1991). However, surprisingly, the rate of transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II in cells is about 1–4 kb/minute, which is roughly comparable to the rate of in vitro transcription with naked DNA (Singh and Padgett, 2009; Ardehali and Lis, 2009). This discrepancy may be reconciled by postulating the existence of chromatin/nucleosome remodeling factors, histone chaperones, and post-translational modifications of histones, which relieve the nucleosomal barrier in cells. Consistently, various chromatin/nucleosome remodeling factors and histone chaperones, together with histone modifications, have been identified, and their abilities to overcome the nucleosomal barrier during transcription have been characterized (Petesch and Lis, 2012). Chromatin/nucleosome remodeling factors and histone modifications are also required for RNA-polymerase-I-mediated ribosomal DNA (rDNA) transcription, probably to relieve the nucleosomal barrier in nucleoli (Chen and Pikaard, 1997; Percipalle et al., 2006; McStay and Grummt, 2008; Vintermist et al., 2011).

The major chromatin/nucleosome remodeling factors are composed of multiple protein complexes, and contain an ATP-hydrolyzing motor subunit as the active center for nucleosome eviction or sliding (Peterson, 2000; Alkhatib and Landry, 2011). Histone chaperones have been suggested to function with or without ATP-dependent chromatin and nucleosome remodeling factors during the assembly and disassembly of nucleosomes (Petesch and Lis, 2012). Unlike the major chromatin/nucleosome remodeling factors, histone chaperones do not require the energy of ATP hydrolysis (Avvakumov et al., 2011).

Among the histone chaperones, FACT (Orphanides et al., 1998; Belotserkovskaya et al., 2003; Saunders et al., 2003; Mason and Struhl, 2003) and Spt6 (Hartzog et al., 1998; Andrulis et al., 2000; Ardehali et al., 2009; Ivanovska et al., 2011) are known to associate with RNA polymerase II, and facilitate transcription elongation in chromatin. FACT also functions in
histone exchange during the repair of DNA double strand breaks (Heo et al., 2008). Another histone chaperone, Asf1, contributes to transcription processes (Schwabish and Struhl, 2006). These previous studies provided important insights for the functions of histone chaperones in the regulation of gene expression in cells. However, it is still unclear how each histone chaperone acts in transcription, because only a few histone chaperones that are directly involved in chromatin transcription have been reported so far.

To clarify the functional roles of histone-binding proteins, we performed a proteome analysis of histone binding proteins with HeLa cell extracts, and found several histone binding proteins, including an acidic histone chaperone, sNASP (Osakabe et al., 2010). In this study, we describe the functions of a novel histone-binding protein, Spt2. Human Spt2 contains a short region homologous to Saccharomyces cerevisiae Spt2 (Spt2-like motif) in its C-terminus (Winston et al., 1984; Sternberg et al., 1987). Biochemical analyses revealed that human Spt2 possesses histone binding, DNA binding, and nucleosome assembly activities in vitro. In addition, we demonstrated that Spt2 accumulates in nucleoli, and interacts with RNA polymerase I in chicken DT40 cells. To examine the function of Spt2 in vivo, we created Spt2-deficient DT40 cells. In addition, the rate of transcription was markedly decreased in the Spt2-deficient DT40 cells, based on a run-on assay. Considering these results, we concluded that vertebrate Spt2 is a novel histone chaperone that functions in chromatin dynamics during the RNA-polymerase-I-dependent transcription processes in nucleoli.

Results

Identification of human Spt2 as a histone-binding protein

To identify the proteins interacting with the histone H3/H4 complex, we prepared Affi-gel 10 beads conjugated with the H3/ H4 complex, and the human proteins bound to the H3/H4 beads were isolated from the HeLa cell extracts and analyzed by high-sensitivity mass spectrometry. In both the cytoplasmic and chromatin fractions of the cell extracts, we detected several known histone-binding proteins, including MCM2, MCM4, MCM6, MCM7 (Ishimi et al., 1996), SUPT16H (Spt16; FACT140) (Orphanides et al., 1998), SSRP1 (FACT80) (Orphanides et al., 1998), SUPT6H (Spt6) (Bortvin and Winston, 1996), and NASP (Wang et al., 2008; Osakabe et al., 2010). MCM2-4-6-7 is a subcomplex of the MCM2-7 hexamer, which functions as a helicase in replication initiation (Bochman and Schwacha, 2009). SUPT16H and SSRP1 form the FACT complex, which functions as a histone chaperone during transcription, replication and repair (Winkler and Luger, 2011). SUPT6H and NASP are also known as histone chaperones that directly bind to core histones (Bortvin and Winston, 1996; Wang et al., 2008; Osakabe et al., 2010). Thus, our pull-down experiments with the H3/H4 beads were proved to be useful to identify histone-binding proteins in HeLa cell extracts.

Among the various potential histone-binding proteins, we found a protein that encodes a product of the human SPTY2D1 gene (NCBI: 144108). This protein is basic (pI=9.79) and composed of 685 amino acid residues, with a short sequence homologous to the S. cerevisiae Spt2 protein (Spt2-like domain) in the C-terminal region (Fig. 1A). Since we did not find any other known motifs in this protein and any other proteins harboring the Spt2-like domain in the human protein database, we refer to this protein as hsSpt2. Mutations of yeast Spt2 suppress the mutations caused by Ty and δ insertions in the 5′ non-coding region of the HIS4 gene locus (Winston et al., 1984). Additional analyses suggested that yeast Spt2 may function in the remodeling and/or maintenance of chromatin structure during transcription (Peterson et al., 1991; Nourani et al., 2006; Thebault et al., 2011).

Spt2-like domain of hsSpt2 is responsible for histone binding

To investigate the function of hsSpt2, we expressed and purified hsSpt2 as a recombinant protein (Fig. 1A,B) and tested its histone-binding activity. Purified hsSpt2 was incubated with either the histone H3/H4 complex or the histone H2A/H2B complex, and complex formation was analyzed by 5% non-denaturing PAGE and Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining. As shown in Fig. 1C,D, hsSpt2 binds to both the H2A/H2B and H3/ H4 complexes. To identify the histone-binding domain of hsSpt2, we prepared two hsSpt2 deletion mutants, hsSpt2(1-570) and hsSpt2(571-685) (Fig. 1A,B). hsSpt2(571-685) contains the acidic Spt2-like domain (Fig. 1A). We performed a pull-down assay, using the Ni-NTA beads with the His6-tagged H3/H4 complex. As shown in Fig. 1E, full-length hsSpt2 was efficiently captured by the Ni-NTA beads in the presence of His6-tagged H3/ H4 complex, but not in the absence of His6-tagged H3/H4 (lane 4), which functions as a helicase in replication initiation (Bochman and Schwacha, 2009). SUPT16H and SSRP1 form the FACT complex, which functions as a histone chaperone during transcription, replication and repair (Winkler and Luger, 2011). SUPT6H and NASP are also known as histone chaperones that directly bind to core histones (Bortvin and Winston, 1996; Wang et al., 2008; Osakabe et al., 2010). Thus, our pull-down experiments with the H3/H4 beads were proved to be useful to identify histone-binding proteins in HeLa cell extracts.

hsSpt2 preferentially binds to branched DNA

We next analyzed the DNA-binding activity of hsSpt2 with various kinds of DNA. For this experiment, we prepared Holliday junction DNA, Y-form DNA, and double-stranded DNA, by annealing oligonucleotides (Iwasaki et al., 1992) and purifying them by native PAGE (Horigoshi et al., 2010). Each of these specifically structured DNAs and single-stranded DNAs was incubated with hsSpt2, and the DNA–protein complexes were analyzed by 8% non-denaturing PAGE and SYBR Gold staining. As shown in Fig. 2A, hsSpt2 bound to single-stranded, double-stranded, Y-form and Holliday junction DNA. A competitive DNA-binding experiment revealed that hsSpt2 preferentially bound to branched DNAs (i.e. the Y-form and Holliday junction DNAs), over single-stranded and double-stranded DNA (Fig. 2B,C). Interestingly, hsSpt2(1-570), which lacked the Spt2-like domain, was completely proficient in the DNA-binding activity and preferred branched DNAs (Fig. 2D, lanes 1–10). In contrast, hsSpt2(571-685) did not bind to the DNAs (Fig. 2D, lanes 11–15). Therefore, we concluded that the acidic Spt2-like domain binds to histones, but is not involved in DNA binding.

hsSpt2 has nucleosome assembly activity

The histone-binding and DNA-binding activities of hsSpt2 prompted us to test its nucleosome assembly activity. Nucleosome assembly can be assessed by the increased number of negative supercoils on DNA, when nucleosomes are formed on closed circular DNA in the presence of topoisomerase I (Osakabe et al., 2010) (Fig. 3A). hsSpt2 significantly induced supercoils into closed circular DNA in the presence of histones H2A, H2B,
H3, and H4, in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3B, lanes 4–6). In contrast, hsSpt2 alone in the absence of histones did not induce supercoils into the DNA (Fig. 3B, lane 7). Based on these results, we concluded that hsSpt2 possesses nucleosome-assembly activity in vitro.

Spt2-deficient DT40 cells are sensitive to actinomycin D and mitomycin C, which covalently bind to DNA and inhibit RNA polymerase

To clarify the function of Spt2, we created Spt2-deficient chicken DT40 cells and characterized their phenotype. Chicken Spt2 (ggSpt2) shares significant sequence homology with hsSpt2 over the entire protein (supplementary material Fig. S1A). We created gene disruption constructs to replace exons 3–4 of the ggSpt2 gene with drug resistance genes (supplementary material Fig. S1B), and sequentially introduced these constructs into DT40 cells. We disrupted both alleles of the ggSpt2 gene in DT40 cells by homologous recombination (supplementary material Fig. S1C), and two clones with the \( \text{ggSpt2}^{--/--} \) allele were obtained (supplementary material Fig. S1B–D). We found that ggSpt2 is not essential for cell viability, since the \( \text{ggSpt2}^{--/--} \) cells grew normally under standard culture conditions (Fig. 4A; supplementary material Fig. S2A).

However, the Spt2-deficient DT40 cells exhibited severe growth defects, as compared to the wild-type cells, in the presence of 10 nM actinomycin D (a selective inhibitor of RNA polymerase I at \( <100 \) nM; Perry and Kelley, 1970) and 7.5 nM mitomycin C (a potent DNA crosslinking agent), but not 100 nM hydroxyurea (a DNA synthesis inhibitor) or 80 nM anisomycin (a protein synthesis inhibitor) (Fig. 4). The cell mortality by apoptosis significantly increased in the presence of either actinomycin D or mitomycin C (supplementary material Fig. S2B,C; Fig. S3). The sensitivity to the low concentration of actinomycin D is consistent with the possible function of Spt2 in rDNA transcription. Although mitomycin C is known to preferentially crosslink the CG sequence and inhibit DNA
Gold staining. The samples were fractionated by electrophoresis on an 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel in 0.5 M TBE buffer. Lanes 3 and 7 indicate negative control experiments without hsSpt2 in the presence of core histones and without core histones in the presence of hsSpt2, respectively. The amounts of hsSpt2 were 0 µM (lanes 1–3), 0.1 µM (lane 4), 0.2 µM (lanes 5, 9, and 13), 0.3 µM (lanes 6, 10, and 14), and 0.6 µM (lane 7). The samples were then fractionated on an 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel in 0.5 M TBE buffer. The hsSpt2 concentrations were 0 µM (lanes 1, 5, 9 and 13), 0.1 µM (lanes 2, 6, 10 and 14), 0.2 µM (lanes 3, 7, 11 and 15), and 0.3 µM (lanes 4, 8, 12 and 16). The DNA and its complexes were visualized by SYBR Gold staining. (B) Competitive DNA binding experiments with single-stranded DNA, double-stranded DNA, Y-form DNA and Holliday junction DNA. Increasing amounts of hsSpt2 were incubated with the DNA mixture, containing four DNA substrates (3 µM each), at 37°C for 15 minutes. The hsSpt2 concentrations were 0 µM (lane 1), 0.05 µM (lane 2), 0.1 µM (lane 3), 0.2 µM (lane 4), 0.3 µM (lane 5), 0.45 µM (lane 6) and 0.6 µM (lane 7). The samples were fractionated by electrophoresis on an 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel in 0.5x TBE buffer, and visualized by SYBR Gold staining. (C) Graphical representation of the experiments shown in B. The amounts of the complexes formed were estimated from the residual free DNA substrates. Averages of three independent experiments are presented with the standard deviation values. (D) Competitive DNA binding experiments for hsSpt2(1-570) and hsSpt2(571-685) with single-stranded DNA, double-stranded DNA, Y-form DNA and Holliday junction DNA. Increasing amounts of hsSpt2 were incubated with the DNA mixture, containing the four DNA substrates (3 µM each) at 37°C for 15 minutes. The hsSpt2 concentrations were 0 µM (lanes 1), 0.05 µM (lane 2), 0.1 µM (lane 3), 0.2 µM (lane 4), 0.3 µM (lane 5), 0.45 µM (lane 6) and 0.6 µM (lane 7). The samples were fractionated by electrophoresis on an 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel in 0.5x TBE buffer, and visualized by SYBR Gold staining.

Fig. 3. Nucleosome assembly activity of hsSpt2. (A) Representation of the supercoiling assay. (B) Open circular φX174 DNA (10 ng/µl), prepared by wheat germ topoisomerase I treatment (lane 2), was incubated with hsSpt2 (lanes 4–6) in the presence of core histones. The reaction products were analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis in 1x TAE buffer. Lanes 3 and 7 indicate negative control experiments without hsSpt2 in the presence of core histones and without core histones in the presence of hsSpt2, respectively. The amounts of hsSpt2 were 0 µM (lanes 1–3), 0.1 µM (lane 4), 0.2 µM (lane 5) and 0.4 µM (lanes 6 and 7).

with the localization and co-immunoprecipitation data, strongly supports the idea that vertebrate Spt2 functions during transcription processes in nucleoli.

Central region of Spt2 is largely responsible for targeting to nucleoli

GFP-fused ggSpt2 significantly accumulated in DT40 nucleoli (Fig. 5A). We then expressed ten different ggSPT2 deletion mutants as GFP-fused proteins in DT40 cells, and examined their localizations (Fig. 6; supplementary material Fig. S4). Interestingly, ggSpt2(1-576), lacking the Spt2-like domain, efficiently localized in nucleoli (Fig. 6A,C), indicating that the Spt2-like domain is not required for the nucleolar localization, although it is essential for histone binding (Fig. 4E). In contrast, ggSpt2(577-690), containing only the Spt2-like domain, did not accumulate in nucleoli (Fig. 6B,C). These data indicated that the histone binding domain is separated from the nucleolar targeting domain.

To obtain further domain information, we tested eight other deletion mutants. For the C-terminally deleted mutants, ggSpt2(1-412) and ggSpt2(1-311) accumulated in nucleoli with 2-fold higher signal intensities, as compared to the other nuclear regions (Fig. 6C; supplementary material Fig. S4B,C). However, ggSpt2(1-192) and ggSpt2(1-100) did not specifically accumulate in nucleoli (Fig. 6C; supplementary material Fig. S4D,E). For the N-terminally deleted mutants, no clear nucleolar accumulation was observed with ggSpt2(413-690) (Fig. 6C; supplementary material Fig. S4F) and ggSpt2(577-690) (Fig. 6B,C), and

Fig. 2. DNA-binding activity of hsSpt2. (A) hsSpt2 binds single-stranded DNA, double-stranded DNA, Y-form DNA and Holliday junction DNA. Each DNA substrate (3 µM) was incubated with hsSpt2 at 37°C for 15 minutes. The samples were then fractionated on an 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel in 0.5x TBE buffer. The hsSpt2 concentrations were 0 µM (lanes 1, 5, 9 and 13), 0.1 µM (lanes 2, 6, 10 and 14), 0.2 µM (lanes 3, 7, 11 and 15), and 0.3 µM (lanes 4, 8, 12 and 16). The DNA and its complexes were visualized by SYBR Gold staining. (B) Competitive DNA binding experiments with single-stranded DNA, double-stranded DNA, Y-form DNA and Holliday junction DNA. Increasing amounts of hsSpt2 were incubated with the DNA mixture, containing the four DNA substrates (3 µM each), at 37°C for 15 minutes. The hsSpt2 concentrations were 0 µM (lane 1), 0.05 µM (lane 2), 0.1 µM (lane 3), 0.2 µM (lane 4), 0.3 µM (lane 5), 0.45 µM (lane 6) and 0.6 µM (lane 7). The samples were fractionated by electrophoresis on an 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel in 0.5x TBE buffer, and visualized by SYBR Gold staining. (C) Graphic representation of the experiments shown in B. The amounts of the complexes formed were estimated from the residual free DNA substrates. Averages of three independent experiments are presented with the standard deviation values. (D) Competitive DNA binding experiments for hsSpt2(1-570) and hsSpt2(571-685) with single-stranded DNA, double-stranded DNA, Y-form DNA and Holliday junction DNA. Increasing amounts of hsSpt2 were incubated with the DNA mixture, containing the four DNA substrates (3 µM each) at 37°C for 15 minutes. The hsSpt2 concentrations were 0 µM (lanes 1, 5, 9 and 13), 0.05 µM (lane 2), 0.1 µM (lane 3), 0.2 µM (lane 4), 0.3 µM (lane 5), 0.45 µM (lane 6) and 0.6 µM (lane 7). The samples were fractionated by electrophoresis on an 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel in 0.5x TBE buffer, and visualized by SYBR Gold staining.
moderate nucleolar accumulation was detected with ggSpt2(312-690) (Fig. 6C; supplementary material Fig. S4G). In contrast, ggSpt2(193-690) and ggSpt2(101-690) clearly accumulated in nucleoli (Fig. 6C; supplementary material Fig. S3H,I). Considering these localization data, we concluded that the region including amino acid residues 193–412 of ggSpt2 is largely responsible for targeting to nucleoli.

Transcriptional activity is impaired in Spt2-deficient cells
We next performed a transcription run-on assay, to directly address whether vertebrate Spt2 is involved in transcription. In this assay, the cells were permeabilized, and the engaged RNA polymerases were allowed to continue transcription in the presence of 32P-labeled nucleotides. The radioactivity in the acid-insoluble RNA was then measured. We found that the amounts of transcripts generated by the run-on reaction were significantly reduced in the Spt2-deficient DT40 cells, as compared to wild-type cells (Fig. 7A; supplementary material Fig. S5A). Importantly, the exogenous expression of ggSpt2 in the Spt2-deficient DT40 cells restored the transcription rate to the wild-type level (Fig. 7A), indicating that Spt2 is actually involved in the transcription process in cells.

To determine how the Spt2 deficiency caused the transcription reduction, we performed run-on assays in the presence of various RNA polymerase inhibitors. As compared to the wild-type cells, the transcription reduction was still observed in the Spt2-deficient DT40 cells in the presence of 10 μg/ml α-amanitin (RNA polymerase II and III inhibitor; Fig. 7B; supplementary material Fig. S5B). In contrast, the transcription levels were similar in the wild-type and Spt2-deficient DT40 cells in the presence of 80 nM actinomycin D (RNA polymerase I inhibitor; supplementary material Fig. S5C). These data indicated that Spt2 functions in RNA-polymerase-I-mediated transcription.

We next tested whether the DNA- and histone-binding activities of Spt2 are required for the RNA-polymerase-I-mediated transcription.
transcription. We performed the run-on assays with Spt2-deficient cells expressing either ggSpt2(1-576; defective in histone binding) or ggSpt2(577-690; defective in DNA binding) (Figs 1, 2). As shown in Fig. 7C, neither ggSpt2(1-576) nor ggSpt2(577-690) restored the transcription to the normal level in the Spt2-deficient cells, suggesting that both the histone-binding and DNA-binding activities are required for the function assisting in RNA polymerase I transcription. In conclusion, Spt2 localizes to nucleoli, and is involved in facilitating proper transcription by RNA polymerase I. Although the histone-binding domain is not involved in nucleolar localization, it is required for transcription.

Discussion
In eukaryotes, histone-binding proteins perform multiple functions in the regulation of genomic DNA, such as replication, repair, recombination, and transcription, to relieve
the inhibitory effects of nucleosomes. Extensive studies on the abilities of RNA polymerases to surmount nucleosomes have been performed (Clark and Felsenfeld, 1992; Studitsky et al., 1994; Studitsky et al., 1995; Studitsky et al., 1997; Bondarenko et al., 2006). Chromatin/nucleosome remodeling factors and histone chaperones have been identified as the machinery that relieves the nucleosome barrier during transcription processes (Petesch and Lis, 2012). Chromatin and nucleosome remodeling factors actively promote nucleosome sliding, using energy from ATP hydrolysis (Peterson, 2000; Alkhatab and Landry, 2011). In contrast, histone chaperones are usually acidic, and passively function in the nucleosome assembly and disassembly processes by binding to basic histones, without the energy of ATP hydrolysis (Avvakumov et al., 2011). The members of this ATP-independent nucleosome assembly/disassembly family are emerging as essential players in gene expression. However, it is still unclear how each nucleosome assembly/disassembly factor acts in transcriptional regulation.

In this study, we found the novel vertebrate histone-binding protein Spt2, which promotes nucleosome assembly in vitro. Unlike the known acidic histone chaperones, hsSpt2 is basic (pI=9.79). However, our deletion analysis revealed that the region encompassing the C-terminal 115 amino acid residues (containing the Spt2-like domain), which is acidic (pI=4.71), is responsible for the histone-binding activity of hsSpt2, suggesting that the histone-binding mechanism of hsSpt2 may be similar to that of other acidic histone chaperones.

In our proteome analyses of histone binding proteins, we also identified known acidic histone chaperones, including NASP, NAP1L1 (Nap1), and NAP1L4 (Nap2); however, these acidic histone chaperones were predominantly found in the cytoplasmic fraction. These findings are consistent with the idea that ‘histone chaperones’ may not be stably incorporated into chromatin. In contrast, hsSpt2 was present only in the chromatin fraction. Interestingly, we found that the Spt2-like domain, which is responsible for histone binding, is not required for both the DNA-binding and nucleolar-targeting activities, suggesting that the nucleolar (chromatin) targeting of Spt2 depends on its DNA-binding activity, but not on its histone-binding activity. Among the different forms of DNA tested, hsSpt2 binds preferentially to branched DNAs, such as the Y-form and Holliday junction DNAs, which may be correlated with the enrichment of cruciform/Holliday-structured DNA in nucleoli (Ward et al., 1991). The acidic histone chaperones usually lack DNA-binding activity. Therefore, hsSpt2 is a unique histone chaperone with a separate DNA-binding domain.

We found that Spt2 interacts with RNA polymerase I, and that the Spt2-deficient DT40 cells are sensitive to the RNA polymerase I inhibitors actinomycin D and mitomycin C. In addition, the rate of transcription governed by RNA polymerase I is clearly decreased in the Spt2-deficient DT40 cells, as compared to wild-type cells. These in vivo results suggested that vertebrate Spt2 functions with active RNA polymerase I, in a manner reminiscent of the yeast *S. cerevisiae* Spt2 (scSpt2), which genetically interacts with RNA polymerase II (Peterson et al., 1991). The DNA binding and nucleolar targeting domains in vertebrates may have been acquired during evolution, and the functions may have become specialized to rDNA transcription. scSpt2 reportedly functions with transcription elongation factors, in addition to RNA polymerase (Nourani et al., 2006). Genome-wide chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments revealed that scSpt2 is predominantly associated with the coding regions of genes, and promotes nucleosome assembly in a transcription-dependent manner, probably behind the transcribing RNA polymerase (Nourani et al., 2006; Thebault et al., 2011). Therefore, vertebrate Spt2 may share some functions with yeast Spt2, although the sequence similarity between yeast Spt2 and vertebrate Spt2 is restricted.

Nucleoli contain clusters of rDNA repeats. Nucleosomes are largely depleted from the rDNA coding sequences, due to robust transcription by RNA polymerase I (French et al., 2003), but are present on the intergenic sequence (IGS) and internal transcription spacer regions between the coding sequences of rDNAs (Zentner et al., 2011). In yeast, however, the coding region of the rDNA is fully covered by nucleosomes (Jones et al., 2007). Even if the coding region of rDNA lacks nucleosomes, the dynamic balance of nucleosome assembly and disassembly may still be important in the initiation and/or elongation of transcription in nucleoli. Spt2 may bind to naked DNA to promote the assembly and/or disassembly of nucleosomes on rDNA. The reduced rate of RNA polymerase I transcription in Spt2-knockout DT40 cells suggested that Spt2 may play a positive role in rDNA transcription, by removing nucleosomes in front of a polymerase and/or assembling them behind it. Although nucleosomes generally reduce the transcription rate, the transient assembly of nucleosomes behind a polymerase may regulate the torsional stress of DNA or prevent damage to naked DNA, which may in turn facilitate transcription. Consistently, Spt2-knockout DT40 cells show higher sensitivity to DNA intercalating and crosslinking reagents (actinomycin D and mitomycin C), which stall RNA polymerase.

Alternatively, the transcription-coupled nucleosome assembly activity may function to regulate the number of transcriptionally active rDNAs, by establishing the transcriptionally repressed chromatin structure after RNA polymerase passage on the rDNA repeats. Consistent with this idea, the number of active and repressed rDNAs is constantly balanced in nucleoli (Conconi et al., 1989; French et al., 2003; Strohner et al., 2004; Santoro and Grummt, 2005). In this context, the DNA-binding activity of Spt2 may be required to promote nucleosome assembly on the naked DNA region behind an active RNA polymerase, in a similar manner to the histone H3.3-specific chaperone HIRA complex, which may directly bind to naked DNA and associate with an active RNA polymerase (Ray-Gallet et al., 2011).

So far, two nucleolar histone chaperones have been reported in mammals: B23/Nucleophosmin/numatin/NPM1 (Okuwaki et al., 2001) and nucleolin (Angelov et al., 2006). In addition, yeast FKBP has been shown to possess histone chaperone activity and regulate ribosomal gene silencing (Kuzuhara and Horikoshi, 2004; Xiao et al., 2006). These three nucleolar proteins can be classified into a group of acidic histone chaperones. The features of Spt2 differ from those of the acidic histone chaperones, because it is a basic protein, it directly binds to DNA through a distinct domain other than the Spt2-like chaperone domain, and it binds to RNA polymerase I. Therefore, we believe that vertebrate Spt2 is a representative of a new class of nucleolar histone chaperones, which associate with chromatin by their DNA-binding activity and function as nucleosome assembly/disassembly factors in the regulation of rDNA transcription.
Materials and Methods

Purification of recombinant hsSpt2 and deletion mutants hsSpt2(1-570) and hsSpt2(571-685)

The DNA fragment encoding hsSpt2, hsSpt2(1-570), or hsSpt2(571-685) was ligated into the Ndel and BamHI sites of the pET15b vector (Novagen), in which the thrombin protease recognition sequence was replaced by the PreScission protease recognition sequence (GE Healthcare Biosciences). Freshly transformed E. coli BL21(DE3) cells, bearing the hsSpt2, hsSpt2(1-570), or hsSpt2(571-685) expression vector and an expression vector for the minor tRNAs (Codon+ JPR, Stratagene), were grown on LB plates containing ampicillin (100 μg/ml) and chloramphenicol (35 μg/ml) at 37°C. After a 16-hour incubation, 5–20 colonies on the LB plates were collected and inoculated into LB medium (10 l) containing ampicillin (100 μg/ml) and chloramphenicol (35 μg/ml), and the cultures were incubated at 30°C. When the cell density reached an OD600=0.4, 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside was added to induce the expression of hsSpt2, hsSpt2(1-570), or hsSpt2(571-685) as the N-terminally His6 tagged protein (His6-hsSpt2, His6-hsSpt2(1-570), or His6-hsSpt2(571-685)), and the cultures were fractionated on a 100 ml linear gradient of 250–350 mM NaCl and 5–150 mM sodium phosphate (pH 8.0), containing 2 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol, and 500 mM NaCl, and disrupted by sonication. The cells producing His6-hsSpt2, His6-hsSpt2(1-570), or His6-hsSpt2(571-685) were harvested, resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0), containing 2 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol, and 500 mM NaCl, and incubated at 30°C for 150 minutes, was added to the reaction mixture, and the reactions were incubated for 1 hour at 23°C. After the incubation, the samples were fractionated by 5% non-denaturing PAGE in 0.5× TBE buffer (45 mM Tris base, 45 mM boric acid, and 1 mM EDTA). The electrophoreses were conversely connected, and the samples were electrophoresed at 10.4 V/cm. The bands were visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining.
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Ni-NTA bead pull-down assay

Purified His6-tagged H3.1/H4 (200 pmol) was mixed with hsSpt2(1-570), or hsSpt2(571-685) (200 pmol) in 500 μl of 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0), containing 150 mM NaCl and 15 mM imidazole. The Ni-NTA agarose beads (3 μl, 50% slurry) were added to the reaction mixture, and the samples were then incubated for 1 hour at 4°C with rotation. After the incubation, the Ni-NTA agarose beads with His6-tagged H3.1/H4 were pelleted and washed three times with 500 μl of 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0), containing 1 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, and 1 mM dithiothreitol. The products were analyzed by 8% non-denaturing PAGE in 0.5× TBE buffer at 6.25 V/cm for 2 hours, and were visualized by SYBR Gold (Invitrogen) staining.

Supercoiling assay for nucleosome assembly

The supercoiling assay (topological assay) was performed according to the method described previously (Okagakabe et al., 2010). H2A/H2B (10 ng/l) and H3.1/H4 (10 ng/l) were preincubated with the indicated amounts of hsSpt2 at 37°C for 15 min. To initiate the reaction, relaxed dX74 DNA (10 ng/l), prepared by an incubation with 1.7 U wheat germ topoisomerase I (Promega) per 100 ng DNA at 37°C for 150 minutes, was added to the reaction mixture, and the reactions were continued in 10 μl of 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0), containing 140 mM NaCl,
2 mM MgCl₂, and 5 mM DTT, at 37°C for 60 minutes. The samples were then incubated at 42°C for 60 minutes, and the proteins were removed by an incubation with 60 μl of a proteinase K solution (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 20 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS, and 0.5 mg/ml proteinase K) at 37°C for 15 minutes, followed by phenol-chloroform extraction. The DNA samples were then analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis in 1× TAE buffer (40 mM Tris acetate and 1 mM EDTA) at 3.3 V/cm for 4 hours, and were visualized by SYBR Gold (Invitrogen) staining.

Establishment of ggSpt2-deficient DT40 cells

DT40 cells were cultured and transfected as described previously (Buerstedde and Takeda, 1991). The amplified ggSpt2 cDNA was used as a probe to isolate genomic DNA clones specific for ggSpt2 from a DT40 genomic DNA library. The genomic DNA clone containing the ggSpt2-coding region was cloned into pBluescript (Stratagene). The ggSpt2 disruption construct was then inserted into the histidinol or puromycin resistance vector, under the control of the β-actin promoter. To select the ggSpt2-disrupted cells, the growth medium was supplemented with histidinol (2 mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich) and puromycin (0.5 μg/ml, Clontech). The ggSpt2 gene disruption was confirmed by a Southern blot analysis of genomic DNA.

Western blot analysis for ggSpt2-deficient DT40 cells

The ggSpt2+/+ and ggSpt2−/− DT40 cells were collected and lysed in 2× SDS gel loading buffer. The samples were fractionated by 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a Hybond-P PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare), using a semi-dry blotting system (BIO CRAFT). The membrane was washed with TBS-T (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 137 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Tween 20), and was blocked with TBS-T containing 0.5% skimmed milk (Nacalai Tesque), following with washing by TBS-T. The membrane was incubated at room temperature with anti-ggSpt2 (301–690 amino acid residues) (1:500) in TBS-T, and then washed three times in TBS-T. The peptide containing amino acid residues 301–690 of ggSpt2, which are highly conserved between ggSpt2 and hsSpt2, was used as the antigen for the ggSpt2 antisera. The ggSpt2(1–576) amino acid residues of ggSpt2, which are highly conserved to a Hybond-P PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare), using a semi-dry blotting system (BIO CRAFT). The membrane was washed with TBS-T (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 137 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Tween 20), and was blocked with TBS-T containing 0.5% skimmed milk (Nacalai Tesque), following with washing by TBS-T. The membrane was incubated with peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:6000; GE Healthcare) in TBS-T, and washed three times with TBS-T. Signals were developed using ECL Western Blotting Detection Reagents (GE Healthcare) and detected using an LAS-4000 image analyzer (Fujifilm).

Cell cycle analysis of ggSpt2-deficient DT40 cells

The ggSpt2+/+ and ggSpt2−/− DT40 cells were cultured in medium containing 100 mM hydroxyurea (Sigma-Aldrich), 80 mM anisomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), 7.5 mM nuclease (C Kyowa Hakko Kirin), or 10 mM actinomycin D (Sigma-Aldrich). To measure cell density, the cells were mixed with a Trypan blue solution (Invitrogen), and the number of live cells excluding the dye was counted.

Immunoprecipitation assay with ggSpt2

The DNA sequences encoding the ggSpt2 deletion mutants, ggSpt2(1–412), ggSpt2(1–311), ggSpt2(1–192), ggSpt2(1–100), ggSpt2(1–690), ggSpt2(413–690), ggSpt2(312–690), ggSpt2(193–690), and ggSpt2(101–690), composed of amino acid residues 1–576, 1–412, 1–311, 1–192, 1–100, 577–690, 413–690, 312–690, 193–690 and 101–690 of ggSpt2, respectively, were ligated into pBluescript (Stratagene). The ggSpt2 disruption construct was then inserted into the histidinol or puromycin resistance vector, under the control of the β-actin promoter. To select the ggSpt2-disrupted cells, the growth medium was supplemented with histidinol (2 mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich) and puromycin (0.5 μg/ml, Clontech). The ggSpt2 gene disruption was confirmed by a Southern blot analysis of genomic DNA.

Sensitivities of the SpT2-deficient DT40 cells to drug treatments

The ggSpt2+/+ and ggSpt2−/− DT40 cells were cultured in medium containing 7.5 mM pterin (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1% Na-citrate and 0.1% Triton X-100, on ice. The cells were washed with PBS, and a terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase-mediated dUTP-biotin nick end labeling (TUNEL) reaction was performed, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (in situ cell death detection kit, fluorescein; Roche). The stained cells were then stained with 10 μg/ml propidium iodide (Sigma) in PBS containing 1% BSA. Flow cytometry was performed with an Epics Altra cytometer (Beckman-Coulter). Fluorescence data were displayed as dot plots by the Altra analysis software (Beckman-Coulter).

TUNEL assay

The cells were cultured in medium containing 7.5 mM pterin (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1% Na-citrate and 0.1% Triton X-100, on ice. The cells were washed with PBS, and a terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase-mediated dUTP-biotin nick end labeling (TUNEL) reaction was performed, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (in situ cell death detection kit, fluorescein; Roche). The stained cells were then stained with 10 μg/ml propidium iodide (Sigma) in PBS containing 1% BSA. Flow cytometry was performed with an Epics Altra cytometer (Beckman-Coulter).

Subnuclear localization analysis of ggSpt2

The SpT2-deficient DT40 cells, expressing GFP-ggSpt2, were plated on a glass-bottom dish. The fluorescent images were obtained using a confocal microscope (IX-71; Olympus) with a 100× oil-immersion objective lens.

Subnuclear localization analysis of ggSpt2

The SpT2-deficient DT40 cells, expressing GFP-ggSpt2, were plated on a glass-bottom dish. The fluorescent images were obtained using a confocal microscope (IX-71; Olympus) with a 100× oil-immersion objective lens.
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Fig. S1. Establishment of the ggSpt2−/− DT40 cells.

(A) Schematic representations of hsSpt2 and ggSpt2. Boxes denoted as Spt2 represent regions corresponding to the Spt2-like motif. The numbers indicate amino acid residues.

(B) Restriction maps of the ggSpt2 locus, the gene disruption constructs and the targeted locus. Black boxes indicate the positions of exons, and the targeted constructs that are expected to disrupt the two exons. BamHI and SalI restriction sites are shown. The position of the probe used for Southern hybridization is indicated. The probe detects novel BamHI and SalI digested fragments (3.5 and 4.4 kb), if the targeted integrations of the constructs occur.

(C) Restriction analysis of the targeted integration of the ggSpt2 disruption constructs. Genomic DNA from ggSpt2+/+ DT40 cells, a clone after the first round targeting (+/−, 1st), and a clone after the second round targeting (−/−, 2nd) were digested with BamHI and SalI, and were analyzed by Southern hybridization with the probe indicated in B.

(D) Western blot analyses of ggSpt2−/− DT40 cell extracts by anti-Spt2 antisera. Extracts from equal amounts of cells were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by western blotting.
Fig. S2. FACS analysis of the ggSpt2-/− DT40 cells.
(A) Cell cycle distributions of wild-type and ggSpt2-/− cells, grown under standard culture conditions for 48 hr. (B) Cell cycle distributions of wild-type and ggSpt2-/− cells, grown under standard culture conditions for 48 hr with actinomycin D (10 nM). (C) Cell cycle distributions of wild-type and ggSpt2-/− cells, grown under standard culture conditions for 48 hr with mitomycin C (7.5 nM).
**Fig. S3. TUNEL assay for apoptosis of ggSpt2-/- DT40 cells.**

(A) The cells were cultured in medium with or without 7.5 nM mitomycin C for 48 hours, and then stained with TUNEL (green) and DAPI (blue). The fluorescent images were obtained using a confocal microscope (IX-71; Olympus). (B) Graphic representation of the TUNEL assay. White and black bars indicate the ggSpt2+/+ and ggSpt2-/- DT40 cell lines, respectively.
Fig. S4. Nucleolar targeting activities of the ggSpt2 deletion mutants.
The cells expressing each ggSpt2 deletion mutant were fixed with paraformaldehyde, and were stained with 10 ng/ml DAPI. The fluorescent images were collected using a confocal microscope (IX-71; Olympus) with a 100x oil-immersion objective lens, and the signal intensities were analyzed with the ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health). (A) GFP-fused ggSpt2. (B) GFP-fused ggSpt2(1-412). (C) GFP-fused ggSpt2(1-311). (D) GFP-fused ggSpt2(1-192). (E) GFP-fused ggSpt2(1-100). (F) GFP-fused ggSpt2(413-690). (G) GFP-fused ggSpt2(312-690). (H) GFP-fused ggSpt2(193-690). (I) GFP-fused ggSpt2(101-690).
Fig. S5. Reduced transcription in the Spt2-deficient cells.

Run-on assay. The wild-type and ggSpt2-/− DT40 cells (1.5×10⁷ cells) were collected and permeabilized. After washing, the cells were incubated at 30 °C (0, 5, 15, or 20 min) with 40 µM each ATP, GTP, UTP, CTP, [α-³²P]UTP and 160 µM MgCl₂, in the presence or absence of 10 µg/ml α-amanitin or 0.1 µg/ml actinomycin D. After the incubation, aliquots of the cells were spotted on GF/C filters, which were washed with 5% trichloroacetic acid and rinsed with 70% ethanol. The amounts of [³²P]RNA on the GF/C filter were measured with a scintillation counter. Closed circles represent the positive control experiments with wild type cells. Open circles and open diamonds indicate experiments with two different ggSpt2-/− DT40 cell lines, respectively. n = 3. The mean and SD (error bars) are shown. (A) Control experiments without α-amanitin and actinomycin D. (B) Experiments with α-amanitin in the absence of actinomycin D. (C) Experiments with actinomycin D in the absence of α-amanitin.