A complex containing LPP and α-actinin mediates TGFβ-induced migration and invasion of ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cells
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Summary
Transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) is a potent modifier of the malignant phenotype in ErbB2-expressing breast cancers. We demonstrate that epithelial-derived breast cancer cells, which undergo a TGFβ-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), engage signaling molecules that normally facilitate cellular migration and invasion of mesenchymal cells. We identify lipoma preferred partner (LPP) as an indispensable regulator of TGFβ-induced migration and invasion of ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cells. We show that LPP re-localizes to focal adhesion complexes upon TGFβ stimulation and is a critical determinant in TGFβ-mediated focal adhesion turnover. Finally, we have determined that the interaction between LPP and α-actinin, an actin cross-linking protein, is necessary for TGFβ-induced migration and invasion of ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cells. Thus, our data reveal that LPP, which is normally operative in cells of mesenchymal origin, can be co-opted by breast cancer cells during an EMT to promote their migration and invasion.
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Introduction
Transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) promotes breast cancer cell metastasis to multiple sites, including the bone and lungs (Deckers et al., 2006; Kang et al., 2005; Mourskaia et al., 2009; Muraoka et al., 2003; Muraoka-Cook et al., 2004; Padua et al., 2008; Safina et al., 2011; Siegel et al., 2003; Yin et al., 1999). During breast cancer progression, TGFβ signaling enhances cell migration and invasion by modulating the expression and/or secretion of extracellular matrix components, proteases and adhesion molecules (Barcellos-Hoff and Akhurst, 2009; Padua and Massague, 2009; Safina et al., 2008; Wiercinska et al., 2011). While TGFβ is not itself oncogenic, cell-based and animal models have demonstrated its role in enhancing the aggressiveness of late-stage breast tumors (Barcellos-Hoff and Akhurst, 2009; Bierie and Moses, 2009; Derynck et al., 2001; Padua and Massague, 2009). Recently, TGFβ has been shown to modulate the mode by which breast cancer cells migrate and invade, with high levels of TGFβ signaling associated with single cell motility and blockade of this pathway resulting in cohesive breast cancer migration (Giampieri et al., 2009).

The cooperation between TGFβ and ErbB2 signaling pathways has been investigated in multiple cell-based models. These studies demonstrate that TGFβ can modulate the actin cytoskeleton and enhance cell migration of ErbB2 overexpressing breast cancer cells (Northey et al., 2008; Seton-Rogers et al., 2004; Ueda et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009).

Furthermore, studies in transgenic mouse models have shown that TGFβ can promote the formation of lung metastases by ErbB2-expressing mammary tumors (Muraoka et al., 2003; Siegel et al., 2003). Expression of a soluble ligand trap composed of the extracellular domain of the TGFβ type II receptor fused to the Fc portion of human IgG (Fc:TBRII) impedes the ability of ErbB2 induced mammary tumors to metastasize to the lung (Muraoka et al., 2002). Together, these data re-enforce the importance of interactions between the TGFβ and ErbB2 pathways in promoting breast cancer metastasis (Chow et al., 2011).

While TGFβ exerts an anti-proliferative effect on normal mammary epithelial cells and acts as a tumor suppressor, TGFβ can induce certain breast cancer cells to undergo an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and promote tumor cell viability and migration (Derynck et al., 2001; Galliher and Schiemann, 2006; Muraoka et al., 2002; Rahimi and Leof, 2007; Safina et al., 2009; Wendt et al., 2010). TGFβ induces an EMT in epithelial cells, in part, through its ability to induce degradation of Par6 and disrupt tight-junctional complexes to enhance cell migration and metastasis (Ozdamar et al., 2005; Viloria-Petit et al., 2009). In addition, TGFβ signaling initiates a transcriptional program, involving snail and slug, which involves the upregulation of mesenchymal markers and the simultaneous repression of epithelial markers (Moustakas and Heldin, 2007; Xu et al., 2009). Through an EMT, breast tumor derived cells acquire new morphological changes and gene expression patterns characteristic
of the mesenchymal lineage, which promote their migration, intravasation and extravasation and ultimately enhances the ability of breast cancer cells to metastasize (Hardy et al., 2010). Furthermore, breast cancers that exhibit features of an EMT acquire stem cell-like characteristics, are highly aggressive, are resistant to therapy, and are refractory to tumor suppressive processes such as apoptosis and senescence (Ansielau et al., 2008; Mani et al., 2008; Thiery et al., 2009; Tomaskovic-Crook et al., 2009). Another interesting consequence of an EMT stems from observations that TGFβ signaling responses are cell type dependent and as such, TGFβ can engage effectors in mesenchymal cells that are otherwise not involved in epithelial cell physiology (Alabert et al., 2006; Wilkes and Leof, 2006). Thus, an EMT may result in unique signaling pathways that can be engaged by TGFβ to promote breast cancer migration and invasion.

Lipoma preferred partner (LPP) belongs to the zyxin family of LIM domain proteins, whose family members mediate cell migration, actin cytoskeletal remodeling and tumorigenesis (Hirota et al., 2000; Pratt et al., 2005; Willier et al., 2011; Yi and Beckerle, 1998). Studies of LPP in smooth muscle cells (SMC), where it is highly expressed, have demonstrated its role in promoting cell migration, adhesion and the formation of lamellipodial extensions (Gorenne et al., 2003; Grunewald et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2007; Majesky, 2006; Petit et al., 2003; Vervenne et al., 2008). Overexpression of LPP enhances EGF-stimulated migration of vascular SMCs, whereas LPP-null mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) exhibit reduced cell migration, further highlighting LPP’s participation in regulating cell motility (Gorenne et al., 2003; Vervenne et al., 2009). LPP interacts with numerous proteins that are localized to cell-cell contacts and focal adhesions, such as α-actinin, vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP), scrib, palladin and LIM and SH3 domain protein 1 (LASP-1), all of which function to modulate the actin cytoskeleton and have been implicated in human cancers (Gorenne et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2007; Keicher et al., 2004; Li et al., 2003; Petit et al., 2005; Vervenne et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2009). Nonetheless, the role of LPP in promoting breast cancer metastasis has yet to be elucidated. In this study, we demonstrate that LPP is required for TGFβ-induced cell migration, cell invasion and focal adhesion turnover in ErbB2-expressing mammary tumor cells that undergo an EMT in response to TGFβ.

Results

LPP is required for TGFβ-induced migration and invasion of ErbB2-expressing cells that undergo an EMT

Previous studies have demonstrated that LPP enhances the migratory characteristics of mesenchymal cells (Gorenne et al., 2006; Gorenne et al., 2003; Jin et al., 2007). We have shown that ErbB2-transformed breast cancer cells (NMuMG-ErbB2) exhibit enhanced TGFβ-induced migration and invasion, concomitant with their ability to undergo an EMT (Northe et al., 2008). Therefore, we reasoned that LPP may contribute to the migratory phenotype of these cells during the EMT process. To test this, we transiently reduced LPP levels in NMuMG-ErbB2 cells using siRNAs. In agreement with our previous results (Northe et al., 2008), TGFβ treatment induced a 2.5-fold average increase in the migration and a 2.6-fold average increase in the invasion of three independent NMuMG-ErbB2 tumor explants that were transfected with control siRNAs (Fig. 1A,B). In contrast, diminished LPP expression ablated TGFβ-induced migration and invasion in all three NMuMG-ErbB2 explant populations (Fig. 1A,B). Immunoblot analysis confirmed a significant reduction in LPP levels in cells transfected with LPP-targeting siRNAs compared to cells transfected with control siRNAs (Fig. 1C).

To interrogate a more general role for LPP in mediating TGFβ responses within ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cells, we first analyzed the human HER2-positive HCC1954 breast cancer cell line. Transient knockdown of LPP was sufficient to ablate the TGFβ-induced increase in migration (Fig. 1D) and invasion (Fig. 1E) seen in HCC1954 cells transfected with control siRNAs. Immunoblot analyses revealed endogenous LPP levels in control siRNA-transfected cells, which were efficiently reduced with LPP-targeting siRNAs (Fig. 1F). HCC1954 cells
acquire mesenchymal marker expression (fibronectin, α-SMA and vimentin) following TGFβ stimulation (supplementary material Fig. S1A). However, we did not observe loss of E-cadherin expression in response to TGFβ. These results were not growth inhibited by TGFβ (supplementary material Fig. S1B), but are responsive to TGFβ signaling as demonstrated by Smad2 phosphorylation (supplementary material Fig. S1C). These results were further extended by investigating the requirement of LPP in mediating the migration and invasion of an additional murine breast cancer cell line. Transfection of LPP siRNA into breast cancer cells explanted from MMTV/NIC (Neu/ErbB2-IRES-Cre) transgenic mice (Ursini-Siegel et al., 2008) abrogated TGFβ-induced cell migration and invasion (supplementary material Fig. S2A,B). Immunoblot analyses of cell lysates derived from NIC cells revealed a clear reduction in LPP levels by siRNA-mediated knockdown (supplementary material Fig. S2C). NIC cells undergo an EMT, as demonstrated by the loss of epithelial marker E-cadherin and the gain of mesenchymal markers (vimentin and fibronectin) in response to TGFβ stimulation (supplementary material Fig. S2D). Finally, NIC cells were modestly growth inhibited following TGFβ treatment (supplementary material Fig. S2E) and exhibited increased Smad2 phosphorylation in response to this cytokine (supplementary material Fig. S2F). Together, these data support an important role for LPP in enhancing the TGFβ-induced migration and invasion in both mouse and human ErbB2-expressing breast cancer models that undergo TGFβ-mediated EMT.

In contrast, TGFβ-stimulated migration of ErbB2-positive human SKBr3 breast cancer cells is independent of LPP (supplementary material Fig. S3A,B). SKBr3 cells are non-invasive, either in the basal state or following TGFβ stimulation, precluding us from examining a role for LPP in this context (data not shown). Interestingly, SKBr3 cells do not undergo a TGFβ-stimulated EMT as assessed by comparable expression levels of epithelial markers (claudin-3, occludin) and mesenchymal markers (snail, vimentin) before and after TGFβ treatment (supplementary material Fig. S3C). SKBr3 cells harbor a deletion of E-cadherin (Piercecell et al., 1995), which precludes assessment of this epithelial marker in response to TGFβ stimulation. TGFβ failed to induce a growth arrest response in SKBr3 cells (supplementary material Fig. S3D). Despite these negative results, TGFβ stimulation of these cells resulted in Smad2 phosphorylation, revealing that SKBr3 cells are indeed responsive to TGFβ treatment (supplementary material Fig. S3E). These data indicate that LPP-mediated migration and invasion of breast cancer cells requires increased cellular plasticity and the acquisition of a mesenchymal phenotype in response to TGFβ.

**TGFβ induces LPP localization to focal adhesions in breast cancer cells, which requires signaling from the ErbB2 receptor**

LPP is known to localize to focal adhesions in smooth muscle cells where it promotes the migratory properties of these mesenchymally derived cells (Gorena et al., 2003; Grunewald et al., 2009; Majesky, 2006; Petit et al., 2003; Vervenne et al., 2008). Therefore, we examined the sub-cellular localization of LPP in breast tumor explants expressing activated ErbB2 [NMuMG-ErbB2(NT)] or an attenuated ErbB2 receptor, which lacks the C-terminal autophosphorylation sites [NMuMG-ErbB2(NYPD)]. Our previous work has demonstrated that the C-terminal autophosphorylation sites of ErbB2 are required for TGFβ to increase breast cancer cell migration and invasion (Northey et al., 2008). Interestingly, following TGFβ treatment, LPP localization increased to include ~95% of vinculin-positive focal adhesions in NMuMG-ErbB2(NT) cells (Fig. 2A; Table 1). In contrast, LPP localization to vinculin-positive focal adhesions decreased (both percentage colocalization and staining intensity) in TGFβ-stimulated NMuMG-ErbB2(NYPD) cells (Fig. 2B; Table 1). Moreover, TGFβ stimulation also resulted in the localization of LPP to focal adhesions in the HCC1954 (Fig. 2C; Table 1) and NIC (data not shown) breast cancer cell models, which correlates with the ability of TGFβ to enhance the migratory and invasive phenotypes of these cells. The requirement of ErbB2-mediated signaling for TGFβ-induced focal adhesion localization to focal adhesions is reinforced by the observation that LPP fails to relocalize to focal adhesions following TGFβ treatment of parental NMuMG cells that lack ErbB2 expression (supplementary material Fig. S4). These data suggest that increased localization of LPP to focal adhesions following stimulation.

**Fig. 2. LPP co-localizes to focal adhesions in response to TGFβ stimulation.** (A–C) NMuMG-ErbB2(NT), NMuMG-ErbB2(NYPD) and HCC1954 cells were plated onto glass coverslips and treated with or without TGFβ for 24 hours. A wound was made in each monolayer and cells were cultured for an additional 6 hours. Cells were then fixed and stained with antibodies directed against LPP and vinculin, followed by Alexa Fluor dye conjugated secondary antibodies. DAPI was used to stain the nucleus. Representative images are shown. White arrows point to focal adhesions. Scale bars: 20 μm in A and B; 20 μm in C.
Table 1. LPP colocalization to focal adhesions in response to TGFβ stimulation in ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NMuMG explant</th>
<th>% of adhesions with LPP</th>
<th>P values</th>
<th>Average I_{LPP}/I_{vinculin} ratio in LPP-positive adhesions</th>
<th>P values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ErbB2 (NT)</td>
<td>−TGFβ: 69.4±7.8</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.64±0.09</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+TGFβ: 94.3±12.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.17±0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErbB2 (NYPD)</td>
<td>−TGFβ: 82.1±12.3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.58±0.12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+TGFβ: 65.7±5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.73±0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage colocalization of LPP and vinculin was calculated by creating a binary mask of vinculin-positive adhesions using Integrated Morphometry Analysis (IMA). The mask was then applied to determine the number of vinculin adhesions that also contain LPP-positive staining.

The signal intensity of LPP relative to vinculin was calculated by isolating LPP adhesions that colocalized to vinculin adhesions. The average total intensity of LPP and vinculin adhesions was measured. The intensity ratio was obtained by dividing the average total intensity of LPP adhesions by the average total intensity of vinculin adhesions. The total number of objects quantified for each cell line is as follows: NT−β=1170, NT−β=1440, NYPD−β=1505, NYPD+β=1625, HCC1954−β=2139, HCC1954+β=3671.

TGFβ treatment requires proper signaling downstream of ErbB2 and contributes to TGFβ-induced increases in migration and invasion.

**Focal adhesion targeting of LPP is required for TGFβ-induced migration and invasion of ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cells**

We next established an inducible knockdown system using shRNAs targeting the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of LPP. In this way, we are able to diminish the expression of endogenous LPP and re-express versions of LPP that allow us to define the functional domains of LPP that contribute to these processes. In complete agreement with our siRNA results (Fig. 1), we were able to show that doxycycline-induced knockdown of LPP, using two independent shRNAs, resulted in the complete loss of TGFβ-induced migration (supplementary material Fig. S5A) and invasion (supplementary material Fig. S5B) compared to cells that were not treated with doxycycline or those harboring a control shRNA. Immunoblot analysis confirmed doxycycline inducible knockdowns of LPP with both independent shRNAs and that ErbB2 levels remained similar in all of the cells, regardless of the LPP expression status (supplementary material Fig. S5C).

With this system in place, we next asked whether the focal adhesion targeting ability of LPP was required for its ability to promote TGFβ-induced migration and invasion of ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cells. To accomplish this, we generated an EGFP fusion protein with either wild-type LPP (LPP-WT) or a mutant form of LPP that harbors mutations in the first LIM domain (LPP-mLIM1) (Fig. 3A). Previous studies have shown that mutations engineered into the LIM domains of LPP abrogate the ability of this protein to target to focal adhesions (Petit et al., 2003). Immunoblot analysis revealed that endogenous LPP was efficiently reduced in cells following doxyclcine treatment and expression of the EGFP-LPP-WT and EGFP-LPP-mLIM1 proteins was readily detected in cells as a slower migrating species, due to the GFP fusion (Fig. 3B). Expression of the EGFP-LPP fusion proteins was confirmed using antibodies against either the GFP or the LPP portion of the fusion protein. Finally, the ErbB2 levels remained uniform across

![Fig. 3. LPP targeting to focal adhesions is critical for TGFβ-induced migration and invasion of ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cells.](image-url)
the panel of NMuMG-ErbB2 cells (Fig. 3B). As expected, knockdown of endogenous LPP (VC) resulted in the complete ablation of TGFβ-induced migration (Fig. 3C) and invasion (Fig. 3D). Expression of the EGFP-LPP(WT) fusion protein, but not the EGFP-LPP-mLIM1 mutant, rescued the migration and invasion of NMuMG-ErbB2 cells in response to TGFβ treatment (Fig. 3C,D).

To ensure that the observed effects on migration and invasion that result from LPP loss were not secondary to other TGFβ-induced responses, we examined proliferation and induction of an EMT (supplementary material Fig. S6C) in response to TGFβ stimulation, we examined proliferation and induction of an EMT in response to TGFβ. We observed no differences in proliferation (supplementary material Fig. S6A), induction of Smad2 phosphorylation (supplementary material Fig. S6B) or an EMT (supplementary material Fig. S6C) in response to TGFβ treatment. Moreover, we confirmed that an intact Lim1 domain in LPP is required for localization to vinculin-positive focal adhesions. We previously demonstrated that co-activation of ErbB2(NT) and downstream of ErbB2 and TGFβ pathways results in the formation of smaller and more numerous focal adhesions, whereas breast cancer cells expressing a signaling defective ErbB2 receptor (NYPD) possessed fewer and larger focal adhesions (Northey et al., 2008). We hypothesize that TGFβ and ErbB2 signaling cooperate to enhance focal adhesion turnover and enhanced migration and invasion. To test this, we transfected cells with paxillin-GFP to label focal adhesion complexes and employed fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching (FRAP) to quantitatively assess the kinetics of focal adhesion turnover. High fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching is indicative of dynamic focal adhesions that are being rapidly turned over. We demonstrate that 60% of the fluorescent signal was recovered after 60 seconds following laser ablation in ErbB2(NT) cells under basal conditions, which increased significantly to 91% following TGFβ stimulation (Fig. 4A). In contrast, 79% fluorescence recovery was observed after 60 seconds in ErbB2(NYPD) cells in the absence of TGFβ, which was reduced to 71% recovery following addition of TGFβ (Fig. 4A). When the T1/2 measurements (time that 50% of fluorescent signal is recovered) were examined, ErbB2(NT) cells exhibited a T1/2 = 45.4 seconds in the absence of TGFβ, which decreased to T1/2 = 20.8 seconds following TGFβ treatment. In contrast, ErbB2(NYPD) expressing cells exhibited a T1/2 = 22.4 seconds without TGFβ treatment, which increased to T1/2 = 37.8 seconds following stimulation with TGFβ.

We further demonstrate that LPP is important for TGFβ-induced focal adhesion turnover. ErbB2(NT) cells retaining endogenous LPP expression (−Dox) exhibited 50% fluorescence recovery after 60 seconds in the absence of TGFβ stimulation, which increased to 99% following addition of TGFβ (Fig. 4B). In contrast, reduced LPP levels (+Dox) in ErbB2(NT) cells underwent a similar rate of fluorescence recovery (65–70%) irrespective of TGFβ signaling (Fig. 4B). An examination of the T1/2 values revealed that the presence of LPP was required for TGFβ to reduce the T1/2 = 37.7 seconds (−Dox, −β) to T1/2 = 17.8 seconds (−Dox, +β) in ErbB2(NT) expressing cells. In contrast, no TGFβ induced decreases in the T1/2 were observed when endogenous LPP levels were reduced following doxycycline treatment of ErbB2(NT) cells (+Dox, −β; T1/2 = 22.2 seconds; +Dox, +β; T1/2 = 22.2 seconds). These data support a role for LPP in promoting focal adhesion turnover downstream of the ErbB2 and TGFβ pathways.

**LPP functions to promote focal adhesion turnover downstream of ErbB2 and TGFβ signaling**

We previously demonstrated that co-activation of ErbB2(NT) and TGFβ signaling pathways results in the formation of smaller and more numerous focal adhesions, whereas breast cancer cells expressing a signaling defective ErbB2 receptor (NYPD) possessed fewer and larger focal adhesions (Northey et al., 2008). We hypothesize that TGFβ and ErbB2 signaling cooperate to enhance focal adhesion turnover and enhanced migration and invasion. To test this, we transfected cells with paxillin-GFP to label focal adhesion complexes and employed fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching (FRAP) to quantitatively assess the kinetics of focal adhesion turnover. High fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching is indicative of dynamic focal adhesions that are being rapidly turned over. We demonstrate that 60% of the fluorescent signal was recovered after 60 seconds following laser ablation in ErbB2(NT) cells under basal conditions, which increased significantly to 91% following TGFβ stimulation (Fig. 4A). In contrast, 79% fluorescence recovery was observed after 60 seconds in ErbB2(NYPD) cells in the absence of TGFβ, which was reduced to 71% recovery following addition of TGFβ (Fig. 4A). When the T1/2 measurements (time that 50% of fluorescent signal is recovered) were examined, ErbB2(NT) cells exhibited a T1/2 = 45.4 seconds in the absence of TGFβ, which decreased to T1/2 = 20.8 seconds following TGFβ treatment. In contrast, ErbB2(NYPD) expressing cells exhibited a T1/2 = 22.4 seconds without TGFβ treatment, which increased to T1/2 = 37.8 seconds following stimulation with TGFβ.

We further demonstrate that LPP is important for TGFβ-induced focal adhesion turnover. ErbB2(NT) cells retaining endogenous LPP expression (−Dox) exhibited 50% fluorescence recovery after 60 seconds in the absence of TGFβ stimulation, which increased to 99% following addition of TGFβ (Fig. 4B). In contrast, reduced LPP levels (+Dox) in ErbB2(NT) cells underwent a similar rate of fluorescence recovery (65–70%) irrespective of TGFβ signaling (Fig. 4B). An examination of the T1/2 values revealed that the presence of LPP was required for TGFβ to reduce the T1/2 = 37.7 seconds (−Dox, −β) to T1/2 = 17.8 seconds (−Dox, +β) in ErbB2(NT) expressing cells. In contrast, no TGFβ induced decreases in the T1/2 were observed when endogenous LPP levels were reduced following doxycycline treatment of ErbB2(NT) cells (+Dox, −β; T1/2 = 22.2 seconds; +Dox, +β; T1/2 = 22.2 seconds). These data support a role for LPP in promoting focal adhesion turnover downstream of the ErbB2 and TGFβ pathways.

**α-Actinin fails to localize to stress fibers in cells that lack focal adhesion localized LPP**

LPP is known to interact with numerous focal adhesion associated proteins, including palladin, LASP1, scrib and α-actinin (Grunewald et al., 2009). We first examined whether TGFβ treatment of ErbB2(NT) or ErbB2(NYPD) cells revealed any differences in protein expression that might point to the particular importance of one of these LPP binding partners.

![Fig. 4. LPP promotes focal adhesion turnover. (A) NMuMG-ErbB2 NT and NYPD cells were transfected with paxillin-GFP and plated onto glass bottom culture dishes coated with fibronectin. Cells were stimulated with or without TGFβ for 24 hours prior to fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching (FRAP) analysis. The raw traces and fitted exponential recovery curves are shown and represent the average of 12–19 adhesions at the leading edge from each cell population. The final percentage recovery at 60 seconds (mean±s.e.m.) is also plotted (NT−β, 60.1±6.2%; NT+β, 90.6±10.1%; NYPD−β, 79.1±6.6%; NYPD+β, 70.7±3.8%). *P<0.004. (B) NMuMG-ErbB2-NT cells expressing a LPP targeting siRNA under the control of a doxycycline (Dox)-inducible system were stimulated with or without doxycycline for 72 hours prior to being transfected with paxillin-GFP. Cells were plated onto glass bottom dishes coated with fibronectin and stimulated with or without TGFβ for 24 hours, and then subjected to FRAP analysis. The raw traces and fitted exponential recovery curves are shown and represent the average of 18–28 adhesions from each cell population. The final percentage recovery at 60 seconds (mean±s.e.m.) is plotted (−Dox−β, 49.8±5.4%; −Dox+β, 98.9±10.2%; +Dox−β, 70.5±3.7%; +Dox+β, 65.3±4.4%). *P<0.001.
Immunoblot analyses revealed that palladin expression is very low in both ErbB2(NT) and ErbB2(NYPD) cells in the absence of TGF\(\beta\) and is robustly upregulated following TGF\(\beta\) stimulation in both cell types (supplementary material Fig. S8A). In contrast, LASP1 and scrib are uniformly expressed in both cell populations regardless of TGF\(\beta\) engagement (supplementary material Fig. S8A). Finally, TGF\(\beta\) is also modestly induced following TGF\(\beta\) treatment in both ErbB2(NT) and ErbB2(NYPD) cells (supplementary material Fig. S8A); however, to a much lesser extent than palladin. Together, these results fail to reveal differences in the expression of LPP interacting partners that might explain the observed phenotypes.

We next examined the localization of LPP interacting partners in both ErbB2(NT) cells and ErbB2(NYPD) cells. We failed to see any differences in the TGF\(\beta\)-induced localization of palladin, scrib or LASP1 in ErbB2(NT) versus ErbB2(NYPD) cells (supplementary material Fig. S8B). In contrast, we demonstrate that \(\alpha\)-actinin is localized to the cell periphery in untreated ErbB2(NT) cells and re-localizes to stress fibers upon TGF\(\beta\) stimulation. While \(\alpha\)-actinin also localizes to the cell periphery in ErbB2(NYPD) cells, it fails to localize to stress fibers following TGF\(\beta\) addition (supplementary material Fig. S9).

To conclusively link \(\alpha\)-actinin redistribution to LPP, we examined the localization of \(\alpha\)-actinin in ErbB2(NT) cells with or without LPP. As expected, \(\alpha\)-actinin moved from the cell periphery to stress fibers following TGF\(\beta\) stimulation in cells retaining endogenous LPP (VC, –Dox). However, reduced LPP expression (VC, +Dox) impaired \(\alpha\)-actinin re-localization to stress fibers (Fig. 5A). To further correlate \(\alpha\)-actinin redistribution to the ability of LPP to localize to focal adhesions, we employed the EGFP-LPP-mlLIM1 mutant that is defective in focal adhesion targeting. We first demonstrated that wild-type LPP (EGFP-LPP-WT) is sufficient to restore re-localization of \(\alpha\)-actinin from the cell periphery to stress fibers in ErbB2(NT) cells with reduced endogenous LPP levels (Fig. 5B). In contrast, rescue with the EGFP-LPP-mlLIM1 mutant, which cannot target to focal adhesions, fails to localize \(\alpha\)-actinin to stress fibers (Fig. 5C).

\(\alpha\)-actinin is the critical LPP binding partner involved in TGF\(\beta\)-induced migration and invasion of ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cells

The \(\alpha\)-actinin binding domain within LPP has previously been defined (Li et al., 2003; Petit et al., 2003) (Fig. 6A). To specifically assess the role of \(\alpha\)-actinin in mediating LPP-induced migration and invasion downstream of TGF\(\beta\) and ErbB2, we generated a mutant of LPP that cannot bind \(\alpha\)-actinin (LPP-ABD). We confirmed that, in the context of endogenous LPP knockdown, we could express similar levels of both the EGFP-LPP(WT) and EGFP-LPP(ABD) fusion proteins using both LPP and GFP-specific antibodies (Fig. 6B). Importantly, ErbB2 levels remained unchanged in any of the engineered cell populations (Fig. 6B). We demonstrated that an intact \(\alpha\)-actinin binding domain is required for LPP to rescue TGF\(\beta\)-induced migration (Fig. 6C) and invasion (Fig. 6D) of breast cancer cells with diminished levels of endogenous LPP. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments using anti-GFP antibodies confirmed that the EGFP-LPP-mlLIM1 mutant was impaired in its ability to associate with \(\alpha\)-actinin relative to EGFP-LPP(WT) (supplementary material Fig. S10A).

We next asked whether the inability of LPP to interact with \(\alpha\)-actinin would affect LPP targeting to focal adhesions. Using the EGFP-LPP(WT) and EGFP-LPP(ABD) fusion proteins, we demonstrate that LPP does not require interaction with \(\alpha\)-actinin to localize to focal adhesions (supplementary material Fig. S10B). However, the ability of \(\alpha\)-actinin to redistribute from the cell periphery to stress fibers requires an intact \(\alpha\)-actinin binding domain in LPP (Fig. 7).

To ensure that the phenotypes we have observed with the EGFP-LPP(ABD) mutant are not secondary to alterations in other TGF\(\beta\) responses, we compared TGF\(\beta\) effects on proliferation, Smad2 phosphorylation and EMT in cells lacking LPP and those expressing LPP(WT) or LPP(ABD). We observed no differences in the doubling times for these cells in response to TGF\(\beta\) treatment (supplementary material Fig. S11A) and detected the same degree of TGF\(\beta\)-induced Smad2 phosphorylation in all populations (supplementary material Fig. S11B). Finally, loss of LPP or expression of LPP(ABD) had no adverse effects on the ability of TGF\(\beta\) to induce an EMT in ErbB2(NT) breast cancer cells (supplementary material Fig. S11C). Taken together, these data demonstrate that the ability of
LPP to promote TGFβ-induced migration and invasion requires its interaction with α-actinin at focal adhesions.

Discussion
Numerous cell-based and transgenic mouse models demonstrate that ErbB2 and TGFβ signaling cooperatively promote breast cancer cell aggressiveness (Muraoka et al., 2002; Muraoka et al., 2003; Northey et al., 2008; Seton-Rogers et al., 2004; Siegel et al., 2003; Ueda et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009). Nonetheless, the mechanisms underlying the synergy between these two pathways remain unclear. In the present study, we demonstrate using both transient and stable knockdown approaches that LPP is indispensable for mediating the migratory and invasive abilities of ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cells in response to TGFβ. The requirement for LPP to promote these aggressive cellular behaviors may be associated with the ability of breast cancer cells to undergo TGFβ-induced EMT. This observation is in agreement with several studies that demonstrate a role for LPP in modulating cytoskeletal dynamics and promoting cell migration in fibroblasts or smooth muscle cells, in which it is highly expressed (Gorenne et al., 2003; Grunewald et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2007; Majesky, 2006; Petit et al., 2003; Vervenne et al., 2009; Vervenne et al., 2008). Hence, our data argue that breast cancer cells, which possess the ability to transition from an epithelial to a mesenchymal-like phenotype, can engage mediators that normally modulate migration in cells of mesenchymal origin.

LPP has been characterized as a protein that can translocate from sites of cell adhesion into the nucleus (Grunewald et al., 2009; Petit et al., 2003). Once in the nucleus, LPP has been shown to act as a transcription factor through domains located in the LIM and proline rich regions of the protein (Petit et al., 2000; Petit et al., 2003). LPP has also been shown to act as a transcriptional co-activator of Ets family transcription factors, such as PEA3 and ER81 (Guo et al., 2006). In this context, it is possible that LPP can co-operate with PEA3 to enhance the expression of target genes that have been implicated in breast cancer progression, invasion and metastasis (Guo et al., 2006). Recently, it has been shown that an EMT results in the re-localization of LPP from sites of cell–cell contact to focal adhesions. In response to extracellular regulated signals transmitted via signaling networks at the focal adhesion, LPP translocates to the nucleus to modulate ETV5 transcriptional activity (Colas et al., 2012). While our study confirms that LPP is re-localized to focal adhesions during an EMT, we failed to observe TGFβ-induced LPP nuclear localization in any of the breast cancer cell lines employed in this study. Thus, we believe
that LPP primarily functions to regulate the actin cytoskeleton during focal adhesion formation, leading to increased cell migration.

LPP is uniquely positioned to regulate the actin cytoskeleton during cell migration due to its ability to target to focal adhesions (Petit et al., 2003). LPP augments the EGF-induced migration of vascular smooth muscle cells (Gorenne et al., 2003), whereas loss of LPP expression results in diminished fibroblast migration (Gorenne et al., 2006). Interestingly, we show that signaling initiated from the activated ErbB2 receptor is necessary for the re-localization of LPP to focal adhesions in response to TGFβ.

The importance of LPP targeting to focal adhesions is evident from the fact that a LPP mutant, which can no longer target to focal adhesions, fails to rescue TGFβ-induced migration and invasion. Our study is the first to functionally implicate LPP as a mediator capable of enhancing the TGFβ-induced focal adhesion turnover of ErbB2 expressing mammary tumor cells.

LPP may exert its pro-migratory effects via its ability to bind α-actinin (Hansen and Beckerle, 2008; Li et al., 2003). We demonstrate that loss of α-actinin binding to LPP has the same effect as the complete loss of LPP, suggesting that α-actinin is the major downstream mediator through which LPP functions to enhance the migration and invasion of ErbB2 expressing breast cancer cells in response to TGFβ. The role of α-actinin in promoting cell migration, tumorigenesis and metastasis has been described in various human cancers, including breast cancer (Otey and Carpen, 2004; Sjoblom et al., 2008). Previous studies have argued for a role of α-actinin at the leading edge of ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cells that were stimulated with TGFβ (Wang et al., 2006). However, our data suggests that in breast cancer cells undergoing a TGFβ-induced EMT, α-actinin is localized to focal adhesions/stress fibers by LPP, which can then serve a critical role in regulating focal adhesion stability and turnover. Indeed, α-actinin is a molecular scaffold that links actin filaments and focal adhesions (Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2009). Furthermore, knockdown of α-actinin leads to the formation of stable adhesions (Choi et al., 2008), underscoring its role in regulating focal adhesion turnover and disassembly.

One mechanism by which α-actinin can influence focal adhesion turnover involves the engagement of calpains to destabilize focal adhesions, which in turn leads to their disassembly. Calpains are a family of proteases that have been shown to cleave proteins within adhesion complexes, such as: α-actinin, vinculin, FAK, talin, β-containing integrins and paxillin (Franco and Huttenlocher, 2005). It has been shown that α-actinin, which is localized along stress fibers, can interact directly with MEKK1 and subsequently modulate the activity of calpain. Thus, an α-actinin/MEKK1/calpain pathway can be engaged that results in the disassembly of focal adhesion complexes, which can promote cell migration (Christerson et al., 1999; Cuevas et al., 2003; Franco and Huttenlocher, 2005; Otey and Carpen, 2004). Interestingly, it has been previously demonstrated that calpain activity is required for the disassembly of vinculin and zyxin containing focal adhesions (Bhatt et al., 2002). Furthermore, inhibition of calpains prevented localization of α-actinin to focal adhesion sites and resulted in its accumulation at the cell periphery (Bhatt et al., 2002). Therefore, it is conceivable that TGFβ stimulation targets LPP to focal adhesions and promotes α-actinin re-localization from the cell periphery to stress fibers, resulting in calpain-mediated focal adhesion turnover. Whether MEKK1-mediated calpain activation leads to subsequent focal adhesion turnover will require further investigation. This is plausible given previous studies that link TGFβ stimulation to MEKK1 in the process of cell migration, cytoskeletal reorganization and tissue remodeling in both epithelial cells and fibroblasts (Liu et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2003).

An exciting new concept is emerging, which argues that force-dependent mechanisms play a prominent role in modulating focal adhesion dynamics (Grashoff et al., 2010; Wolfenson et al., 2011). While focal adhesions are sites of contact between the cytoskeleton and the ECM, they also act as mechanosensors which respond to tensional changes to the microenvironment. Together, they convert chemical and mechanical stimuli into biological responses that alter cellular behavior. Several studies suggest potential roles for α-actinin in mediating the cellular response to changes in extracellular matrix elasticity. Early in vitro studies of α-actinin in 2D rafts of F-actin revealed that this protein does not simply fulfill the role of a rigid spacer between actin filaments, but rather could serve as a tension sensor between the ECM and the actin cytoskeleton (Hampton et al., 2007). Recent evidence is now emerging to support this hypothesis. Glioblastoma cells, which have reduced α-actinin levels, exhibit diminished migration as a result of their inability to adapt to changes in the ECM elasticity and a failure to generate maximal cell–ECM tractional forces (Sen et al., 2009). In addition to the effects of actomyosin contractility forces on focal adhesion assembly and turnover, it has recently been demonstrated that the network of radial stress fibers, which relies on α-actinin function, also controls focal adhesion maturation (Oakes et al., 2012). Members of the zyxin family are emerging as mediators of mechanotransduction, which can influence focal adhesion turnover in response to mechanical cues (Lele et al., 2006). While a role for LPP in modulating force-dependent cellular behavior is only just starting to be elucidated, many studies have shown that zyxin is targeted to focal adhesions in a force-dependent manner (Hirata et al., 2008; Lele et al., 2006) Furthermore, the LIM domains of zyxin are necessary and sufficient for its localization to adhesion complexes under these conditions (Uemura et al., 2011). Interestingly, we have shown that the LIM1 domain of LPP is indispensable for its focal adhesion targeting ability. We also show that LPP functions require the action of α-actinin, which is heavily implicated in force-mediated focal adhesion maturation and disassembly as well. Hence, it is conceivable that LPP is recruited to force-bearing adhesional complexes through its LIM1 domain, which recruits α-actinin as a mechanism to facilitate focal adhesion turnover and disassembly downstream of TGFβ and ErbB2 signaling.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

The NMuMG-derived cell populations and explants were maintained as previously described (Northey et al., 2008). When indicated, cells were treated with 1 μg/ml doxycycline (Cat. no.: D9891, Sigma–Aldrich) for 72–96 hours prior to further experimentation. The NIC cell line was established from a primary mammary tumor-derived from the MMTV/NIC transgenic mouse model (Ursini-Siegel et al., 2008) and maintained in DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS and 1X MEGS (Cat. no.: S-015-5, Invitrogen). HCC1554 and SKBr3 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and maintained in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS following ATCC guidelines. All cell populations were cultured with 2 ng/ml of TGF-β1 (Cat. no.: HZ-1011, Humanzyme) for the indicated times.

Retroviral production was performed using Retro-X Universal Packaging System according to manufacturer’s protocol (Cat. no.: 631530, Clontech). NMuMG cells were then incubated with polybrene (8 μg/ml) and a 50:50 mix of virus containing media/fresh cell media for 24 hours for retroviral infection.
Migration and invasion assays
NMuMG-derived cell populations and NIC cells, treated with or without TGFβ, were trypsinized and resuspended in serum-free media prior to plating into Boyden chambers. Migration and invasion assays were performed as previously described (Northe et al., 2008). To quantify the migration and invasion data, five images/fields were acquired from each transwell using a camera-equipped microscope and the 10× objective. The pixel count for all images was obtained using Scion Image software (Scion Corporation). The data represents the average of three independent experiments performed in duplicate. NMuMG-ErbB2(NT) explants described in Fig. 1, and NIC cells were plated at a density of 6×10⁴ cells for the migration assays and 9×10⁴ cells for the invasion assays. In all other experiments, 9×10⁴ or 1.5×10⁵ NMuMG cells were used for migration and invasion assays, respectively. HCC1954 and SKBr3 cell migration and invasion were assessed by plating 4×10⁴ cells, resuspended in serum free media, into xCELLigence CIM plates (Cat. no.: 05665825001, Roche Applied Science). The rates of cell migration and invasion were monitored for 24 hours in a RTCA DP Analyzer (Roche Applied Science) and calculated according to manufacturer’s protocol using the xCELLigence RTCA software (Roche Applied Science). The data shown represents the average from three independent experiments performed in duplicate.

siRNA and shRNA-mediated LPP knockdowns
The following siRNA sequences targeting mouse LPP were used: ACG-GCA-UGA-UAG-AUA-AAC-AAG-AAA-GGA-UAG-AUC-UUU-GUA-CAG-ACT. The following siRNA sequences targeting human LPP were used: GGA-AAG-UAG-UUC-AUA-GUA, GCC-AAG-UUA-AAG-CAC-AAA and GCC-AAG-UUA-AAG-AAA. Both mouse and human siRNAs were acquired from IDT (Cat. no.: MRC-RNALN178665.8.1, MRC-RNALN178665.8.3, H3C-RNALN0-05578-12.1, H3C-RNALN0-05578-12.2. H3C-RNALN0-05578-12.3. Integrated DNA Technologies). Cells were transfected with a pool of 2 or 3 siRNAs, each at a concentration of 2 nM, or a control non-targeting siRNA (AGU-UAA-UAG-CGU-AUA-AUA) using INTERFERin transfection reagent (Cat. no.: 409-50, Polyplus transfection) according to manufacturer’s protocol. To confirm efficient LPP knockdown, total cell lysates were collected at the end point of each migration/invasion assay and immunoblotted for LPP.


Fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching (FRAP)
NMuMG-ErbB2 NT and NYPD cells were transfected with Paxillin-GFP (a gift from Dr Rick Horwitz, University of Virginia) using Electroporation reagent (Cat. no.: 301427, Qiagen). Cells were then plated onto glass bottom culture dishes coated with 5 µg/cm² of fibronectin (Cat. no.: FC010, Millipore), and stimulated with or without G盒 (GFP) for 24 hours. The cultured dishes were placed into a temperature and humidity controlled chamber attached to a Zeiss LSM510/Axiovert 200 M confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Inc.) for FRAP analysis. Cells were visualized with a plan-Apochromat 63×/1.4 NA objective and 1 to 5 adhesions at the leading edge of the cells, as marked by Paxillin-GFP, were photo-bleached using an argon laser for 15 cycles at full laser power. The fluorescence recovery was analyzed for an additional 10 cycles. The raw fluorescence values collected were normalized against a non-bleached adhesion and expressed as a percentage of recovery. The normalized data was then fitted to an exponential recovery curve using ImageJ software (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

Proliferation assay
To complete proliferation assays, 1.5×10⁵ HCC 1954 cells, 5×10⁵ NMuMG-ErbB2 derived cells, 1×10⁴ NIC tumor-derived cells and 2×10⁶ SKBr3 cells were plated into xCELLigence E-plates (Cat. no.: 05469813001, Roche Applied Science) and incubated in the presence or absence of TGFβ. Cell growth was monitored in a RTCA DP Analyzer (Roche Applied Science) for up to 96 hours and the doubling time was calculated using the xCELLigence RTCA software (Roche Applied Science) according to manufacturer’s protocol.

Co-immunoprecipitation
NMuMG-ErbB2 derived cells were incubated with or without doxycycline for 72 hours, in the presence or absence of TGFβ for 24 hours. Cells were cultured to 80% confluency and lysed in ice cold TNE lysis buffer. Protein lysates were quantitated and 1 mg of total protein was incubated overnight at 4°C in 500 µl of TNE lysis buffer containing an anti-GFP antibody (1:1000; Cat. no.: A10260, Invitrogen) and 20 µl of a 50% Protein G Sepharose bead slurry (Cat. no.: 17-0618-02, GE Healthcare). Following incubation, sepharose beads were pelleted and washed four times in TNE lysis buffer and proteins were eluted with 2× SDS loading buffer (Tris/HCl, glycerol, SDS and β-mercaptoethanol). The supernatant was divided into three equal aliquots for SDS-PAGE.

Construction of LPP mutants
LPP cDNA was purchased from Open Biosystems as an insert in pCMV SPORT6 vector (Cat. no.: MM10113-96478393, Open Biosystems). Full length LPP was shuttled into SphI/Xhol sites of the pGEM7zF vector (Cat. no.: P2251, Promega) with a SalI/Sfil fragment. The vector was then enzymatically digested with PstI/Apal and the product was ligated into EcoRI/Apal of pEGFP-C1 vector (Cat. no.: 6084-1, Clontech) to produce an EGFP-LPP fusion product. Then, EGFP-LPP was PCR amplified into SalI/EcoRI sites of pMSCV vector (Cat. no.: 634401, Clontech), modified to express a blastcidin resistance marker, QuikChange site directed mutagenesis kit (Cat. no.: 210518, Stratagene) was used according to manufacturer’s protocol and primers were designed using the QuikChange Primer Design Program (www.genomics.agilent.com). The EGFP-LPP constructs were retrovirally introduced into NMuMG cells and maintained in 40 µg/ml of blastcidin (Cat. no.: BLA477.100, Bioshop Canada Inc.).
Quantification of LPP and vinculin colocalization

To assess for colocalization, vinculin adhesions were identified and isolated to create a binary object mask. The object mask was then used to identify and quantify LPP localization within vinculin-positive adhesions. More specifically, immunofluorescence confocal images of LPP and vinculin were exported from ZEN imaging software (Carl Zeiss Inc.) into respective raw data single plane 8-bit TIF format images. To facilitate processing, images from channels representing LPP and vinculin staining were then imported into MetaMorph image analysis software (Molecular Devices Inc.) and converted into 16-bit format. To permit accurate identification of vinculin-containing adhesions, noise was removed from vinculin images using a median filter with a 5×5 pixel kernel. Thresholding was then applied to the filtered image to identify and isolate vinculin-positive focal adhesions, while excluding the surrounding cytoplasmic regions. Integrated morphometry analysis (IMA) was used to create a binary object mask of regions of interest corresponding to the adhesions. An area filter of >20 pixels was applied to the identified regions in order to remove small spots corresponding to regions of nonspecific antibody staining or debris in the sample. The binary object mask was then applied to the background corrected LPP and vinculin images and IMA was used to count the number and intensity of vinculin and LPP positive adhesions. Seven to fourteen sets of images containing at least one cell for each cell line were quantified. The total number of adhesions quantified for each cell line is as follows: NT−β−β=1170, NT+β=1440, NVDP−β=1505, NVDP+β=1625. HCC1954−β=β=2139, HCC1954+β=3671.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance values (P values) for migration and invasion assays were obtained by performing a two-sample unequal variance Student’s t-test.
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**Fig. S1.** Characterization of TGFβ responses in HCC1954 human breast cancer cells. (A) Immunoblot analysis of EMT markers in HCC1954 cells treated with TGFβ. Following TGFβ stimulation, HCC1954 cells acquire the expression of mesenchymal markers (fibronectin, vimentin and α-SMA). E-cadherin, ErbB2 and LPP levels are unaffected by TGFβ, and α-tubulin was used as a loading control. (B) HCC1954 cells were grown in the presence or absence of TGFβ for up to 96 hours and the doubling time was calculated from an average of 3 independent experiments. (C) HCC1954 cells were stimulated with TGFβ for 30 minutes and immunoblots for phosphorylated Smad2 and total Smad2/3 levels are shown. An immunoblot for α-tubulin served as a loading control.

**Fig. S2.** LPP is required for TGFβ-induced migration and invasion of NIC breast cancer cells that undergo an EMT. MMTV/NIC primary breast tumor cells were transfected with control (Ctl) or LPP targeting siRNAs (LPP). (A,B) The migration and invasion of untreated or TGFβ stimulated cells were assessed by transwell assay. Data represent 3 independent experiments performed in duplicate. (C) Total cell lysates were collected at assay endpoint and immunoblotted for LPP, ErbB2 and α-tubulin. TGFβ induces significant increases in cell migration (A: *P<0.0003) and invasion (B: *P<1×10⁻⁷) in mammary tumor cell which undergo EMT, and this response is abolished in the absence of LPP. (D) Immunoblot analysis of EMT markers in NIC cells treated with TGFβ. Following TGFβ stimulation, NIC cells lose expression of an epithelial marker (E-cadherin) and acquire the expression of mesenchymal markers (fibronectin and vimentin). ErbB2 levels are unaffected and α-tubulin was used as a loading control. (E) NIC cells were grown in the presence or absence of TGFβ for up to 96 hours and the doubling time was calculated from an average of 4 independent experiments (*P<0.02). (F) NIC cells were stimulated with TGFβ for 30 minutes and immunoblots for phosphorylated Smad2 and total Smad2/3 levels are shown. An immunoblot for α-tubulin served as a loading control.
Fig. S3. LPP is dispensable for TGFβ induced migration and invasion of SKBr3 breast cancer cells that fail to undergo an EMT. SKBr3 cells were transfected with control (Ctl) or LPP targeting siRNAs (LPP). (A) Following 24 hours of stimulation with or without TGFβ, cells were subjected to migration assays using the xCELLigence cell system. The rate of cell migration is shown and represents the average of 3 independent experiments performed in duplicate. TGFβ induces a significant increase in cell migration regardless of LPP expression in SKBr3 cells, which fail to undergo a TGFβ-induced EMT (*P<0.04). (B) LPP knockdown efficiency and ErbB2 levels were assessed by immunoblot analysis of total cell lysates collected at the endpoint of the migration assay and α-tubulin was used as a loading control. (C) Immunoblot analyses reveal that SKBr3 cells retain epithelial markers (claudin 3, occludin) and fail to induce the expression of mesenchymal markers (snail and vimentin) following TGFβ stimulation. Expression of LPP and ErbB2 remain unaffected by TGFβ treatment, and α-tubulin was used as a loading control. (D) SKBr3 cells were cultured in the presence or absence of TGFβ for up to 96 hours and the average doubling time was calculated from 5 independent experiments. (E) Smad2 becomes phosphorylated in SKBr3 cells in response to TGFβ stimulation for 30 minutes, while total Smad2/3 levels remain unchanged. An immunoblot for α-tubulin was used as a loading control.

Fig. S4. TGFβ stimulation fails to localize LPP to focal adhesions in the absence of ErbB2. NMuMG parental cells were plated onto glass cover slips and cultures in the presence or absence of TGFβ for 24 hours. Cells were fixed and stained with antibodies against vinculin and LPP, followed by Alexa Fluor dye conjugated secondary antibodies. DAPI was used to visualize the nucleus. Representative images are shown. Scale bar: 20 μm for all images.
Fig. S5. Stable knockdown of LPP abrogates TGFβ-induced migration and invasion of ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cells. NMuMG-ErbB2 cells were engineered to express a luciferase targeting control shRNA (Ctl) or 2 independent shRNAs targeting the 3’ UTR of LPP (L#1 and L#2) under the control of a tetracycline inducible system. Cells were incubated with or without doxycycline followed by stimulation with or without TGFβ as indicated. (A,B) Cells were then subjected to migration or invasion assays using Boyden chambers. The data represent the average of 3 independent experiments performed in duplicate (*P<0.002). TGFβ-induced cell migration and invasion was completely abrogated in NMuMG-ErbB2 cells that have stably diminished LPP expression by shRNA. (C) Doxycycline treatment effectively diminished LPP expression in cells expressing a LPP targeting shRNA (L#1 and L#2), as assessed by immunoblot. ErbB2 levels remain unchanged regardless of LPP expression and stimulation with doxycycline or TGFβ and α-tubulin was used as a loading control.
Fig. S6. Loss of LPP does not alter NMuMG-ErbB2 proliferation or influence TGFβ-induced EMT. (A) Cell proliferation was assessed using NMuMG-ErbB2(NT) cells, in which endogenous LPP was diminished by LPP-targeting shRNAs, and an empty vector (VC), GFP tagged wild-type LPP(WT) or GFP tagged LIM1 mutant LPP (mLIM1) was introduced. The doubling times are shown and are representative of 2 independent experiments performed in triplicate. (B) The indicated cell populations were stimulated with TGFβ for 30 minutes and immunoblotting for phospho-Smad2, total Smad2/3 and α-tubulin was performed. (C) NMuMG-ErbB2 cell populations undergo a TGFβ-induced EMT as shown by the loss of an epithelial marker (E-cadherin) and the gain of mesenchymal markers (fibronectin, snail and α-SMA) in response to TGFβ stimulation for 72 hours. The endogenous and exogenously expressed LPP species are indicated and α-tubulin was used as a loading control.
**Fig. S7. The LPP-LimMut fails to target focal adhesions in response to TGFβ.** (A,B) NMuMG-ErbB2 cells lacking endogenous LPP, which express EGFP-LPP-WT or EGFP-LPP-mLIM1 were treated with doxycycline for 72 hours prior to plating onto glass coverslips. Cells were then stimulated with or without TGFβ for 24 hours. A wound was made in the monolayer and cells were cultured for an additional 6 hours. Cells were then fixed and immunofluorescence staining was performed with antibodies directed against GFP to detect exogenously expressed LPP, and vinculin as a marker of focal adhesions. DAPI was used to mark the nucleus. Representative images are shown. Scale bar: 20 μm for all images.
Fig. S8. Expression and localization of LPP binding partners in NMuMG-ErbB2(NT) and NMuMG-ErbB2(NYPD) cells following TGFβ stimulation. (A) Total cell lysates were collected from NMuMG-ErbB2(NT) and NMuMG-ErbB2(NYPD) cells treated with or without TGFβ for 24 hours. Immunoblot analysis was performed to detect expression of palladin, LASP1, scrib and α-actinin. In each panel, immunoblots for α-tubulin served as a loading control. (B) NMuMG-ErbB2(NT) and NMuMG-ErbB2(NYPD) cells were plated onto glass coverslips and cultured in the presence or absence of TGFβ for 24 hours. Cells were fixed and stained with antibodies against palladin, scrib, LASP and α-actinin as indicated, followed by the appropriate Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies. DAPI was used to visualize the nucleus. White arrow on α-actinin images indicate stress fibers. Scale bar: 20 μm for all images.
Fig. S9. α-Actinin relocalizes from the cell periphery onto stress fibers in cells with active ErbB2 signaling following TGFβ stimulation. (A,B) NMuMG-ErbB2(NT) and NMuMG-ErbB2(NYPD) cells were plated onto glass coverslips and stimulated with or without TGFβ for 24 hours. Cells were fixed and stained with antibody against α-actinin followed by Alexa-conjugated phalloidin to visualize F-actin. DAPI was used to mark the nucleus. Yellow arrows on α-actinin images indicate lamellipodia. White arrows on α-actinin images indicate stress fibers. Scale bar: 20 μm for all images.
Fig. S10. An LPP mutant lacking the α-actinin binding domain exhibits diminished binding to α-actinin but still localizes to focal adhesions in response to TGFβ. (A) NMuMG-ErbB2(NT) cell populations were treated with or without doxycycline and cultured in the presence or absence of TGFβ as indicated. Exogenously expressed GFP-LPP(WT) and GFP-LPP (ΔABD) were immunoprecipitated under the indicated conditions with an anti-GFP antibody. The resulting immunoprecipitate was split three ways and immunoblotted for GFP (GFP-LPP), LPP and α-actinin. Control immunoblots using anti-GFP, anti-LPP and anti-α-actinin antibodies were performed on whole cell lysates (WCL). (B) GFP-LPP(WT) and GFP-LPP (ΔABD) expressing cells were plated onto glass coverslips and stimulated with or without TGFβ for 24 hours. A wound was made in the monolayer and cells were cultured for an additional 6 hours. Cells were then fixed and stained with antibodies directed against GFP, to detect exogenously expressed LPP, and vinculin as a marker of focal adhesions. DAPI was used to stain the nucleus. Representative images are shown. Scale bar: 20 μm for all images.
Fig. S11. NMuMG-ErbB2(NT) cells expressing GFP-LPP(ΔABD) do not exhibit altered proliferation, TGFβ-induced signaling or TGFβ-induced EMT. (A) NMuMG-ErbB2(NT) cells with reduced endogenous LPP expression and rescued with VC, GFP-LPP(WT) or GFP -LPP (ΔABD) show similar growth kinetics. Data represent the average doubling time from 2 independent experiments performed in triplicate. (B) NMuMG-ErbB2(NT) cell populations were stimulated with TGFβ for 30 minutes and whole cell lysates immunoblotted for phospho-Smad2, total Smad2/3 and α-tubulin. (C) NMuMG-ErbB2(NT) cell populations undergo TGFβ induced EMT as shown by the loss of an epithelial marker (E-cadherin) and the gain of mesenchymal markers (fibronectin, snail and α-SMA) in response to TGFβ stimulation for 72 hours. The endogenous and exogenously expressed LPP are indicated and α-tubulin was used as a loading control.