The polarity protein Scribble positions DLC3 at adherens junctions to regulate Rho signaling
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ABSTRACT

The spatial regulation of cellular Rho signaling by GAP proteins is still poorly understood. By performing mass spectrometry, we here identify the polarity protein Scribble as a scaffold for the RhoGAP protein DLC3 (also known as StarD8) at cell–cell adhesions. This mutually dependent interaction is mediated by the PDZ domains of Scribble and a PDZ ligand (PDZL) motif in DLC3. Both Scribble depletion and PDZL deletion abrogated DLC3 junctional localization. Using a RhoA biosensor and a targeted GAP domain, we demonstrate that DLC3 activity locally regulates RhoA–ROCK signaling at and Scribble localization to adherens junctions, and is required for their functional integrity. In a 3D model of cyst development, we furthermore show that DLC3 depletion impairs polarized morphogenesis, phenocopying the effects observed upon Scribble knockdown. We thus propose a new function for Scribble in Rho regulation that entails positioning of DLC3 GAP activity at cell junctions in polarized epithelial cells.
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INTRODUCTION

The establishment and maintenance of apical-basal cell polarity is essential for the normal function and integrity of highly organized epithelia. In polarized epithelial cells, proteins and lipids are asymmetrically distributed between an apical membrane compartment defined by the Par and Crumbs complexes and a basolateral membrane domain characterized by the Scribble complex (Halaoui and McCaffrey, 2015; Iden and Collard, 2008). Adherens junctions mediate strong cell–cell adhesions, whereas tight junctions act as permeability barriers to maintain the asymmetric distribution of molecules and the spatial organization of signaling pathways. Loss of polarity occurs at an early stage of epithelial cancers. Thus, understanding of the molecular mechanisms that regulate epithelial cell polarity will provide deeper insights into the different steps of tumor progression (Ellenbroek et al., 2012; Halaoui and McCaffrey, 2015).

In Drosophila, the Scribble (Scrib) polarity protein, together with Discs large (Dlg) and Lethal giant larvae (Lgl), is required for the maintenance of apical-basolateral polarity, whereas in mammalian cells the dominant function of Scribble appears to be within the planar polarity pathway (Humbert et al., 2008; Montcouquiol et al., 2003; Murdoch et al., 2003). Scribble acts as a tumor suppressor in Drosophila and mammalian cells, and its loss cooperates with oncogenic Ras signaling in cell transformation (Bilder and Perrimon, 2000; Dow et al., 2003, 2008; Pagliarini and Xu, 2003). Scribble is a multidomain scaffold protein containing 16 leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) and four PSD-95, ZO-1 and Discs large (PDZ) domains as platforms for protein–protein interactions (Albertson et al., 2004; Humbert et al., 2008). Loss of Scribble expression has been reported in breast and colorectal cancer, and is associated with disrupted epithelial cell polarity and disorganized tissue architecture including abnormal E-cadherin localization (Gardiol et al., 2006; Navarro et al., 2005). This can be explained in part by the role of Scribble in stabilizing the interaction of E-cadherin and p120-catenin at adherens junctions (Lohia et al., 2012). The correct membrane localization of Scribble is essential for its functions because mislocalized Scribble impairs polarized morphogenesis and fails to inhibit Ras-induced cell invasion of MCF10A breast epithelial cells (Elsum and Humbert, 2013; Zhan et al., 2008).

Cell polarization requires spatially restricted cytoskeleton remodeling, which is mediated by the cooperation of polarity proteins and small GTPases of the Rho family (Iden and Collard, 2008; Ngok et al., 2014). Rho GTPases, including RhoA, Rac and Cdc42 as the best-characterized members, function as key regulators of actin and microtubule dynamics and are involved in the control of cell polarity, adhesion and migration (Jaffe and Hall, 2005). Rho proteins act as binary switches cycling between an active GTP-bound and an inactive GDP-bound state. This is regulated by guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), which promote the exchange of GDP for GTP to activate the GTPase and induce signaling of downstream effectors. GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) enhance the intrinsic GTPase activity of the Rho proteins, returning them into the inactive form (Bos et al., 2007; Vigil et al., 2010).

The establishment of antagonistic Rac and RhoA activity gradients along the apical-basal axis is required for junction formation and maturation (Mack and Georgiou, 2014). Rac activation, for example, is controlled by the GEF protein Tiam, which itself is activated by the basolateral scaffold β2-Syntrophin and inhibited by apical Par3 (Mack et al., 2012; Mertens et al., 2005; Nishimura et al., 2005). Scribble contributes to spatially controlled Rho activation by targeting β-Pix (also known as ARHGEF7), a GEF for Rac and Cdc42 to adherens junctions to form a signaling complex with PAK2 regulating normal epithelial morphogenesis (Frank et al., 2012). Moreover, the Scribble–β-Pix complex controls the activation of PAK proteins and Rac at the leading edge of migrating cells (Nola et al., 2008). During the maturation of adherens junctions, active Rac promotes the association of p190RhoGAPa (ARHGAP35) with cadherin-bound...
p120-catenin, thereby suppressing RhoA activation (Noren et al., 2003; Wildenberg et al., 2006). However, studies using FRET biosensors have revealed that RhoA is active in the periphery of contacting cells during the initial phase of cell–cell adhesion (Yamada and Nelson, 2007). Recently, p114RhoGEF (also known as ARHGEF18) was identified as the first junction-associated regulator of Rho signaling at the apical membrane regulating junction assembly and epithelial morphogenesis (Terry et al., 2011), whereas the RhoGEF Ect2 is recruited to zonula adherens to control RhoA-mediated actomyosin dynamics and junction integrity (Ratheesh et al., 2012).

The RhoGAP protein deleted in liver cancer 3 (DLC3), also known as StarD8, is a still poorly characterized member of the DLC family that is downregulated in different types of cancer (Durkin et al., 2007a,b). Similar to DLC1 and DLC2 (also known as StarD13), DLC3 contains an N-terminal sterile α motif (SAM), a C-terminal steroidogenic acute regulatory protein-related lipid transfer (START) domain and a GAP domain that regulates RhoA activity (Braun and Olayioye, 2015; Durkin et al., 2007b; Kawai et al., 2007). In addition to the association with focal adhesions that is common to all DLC isoforms, we have previously reported DLC3 localization to cell–cell contacts and Rab8-positive membranes tubules (Braun et al., 2015; Holeiter et al., 2012; Qian et al., 2007). Given that the focal-adhesion-associated tensin and talin adaptors are the only known DLC3-interacting proteins identified thus far (Li et al., 2011; Qian et al., 2007), it is unclear how DLC3 is recruited to distinct subcellular sites to execute its functions. Here, we identify Scribble as a DLC3-specific binding partner that positions the protein through a unique C-terminal PDZ ligand motif at cell junctions. Our studies provide evidence that DLC3 recruitment is crucial for spatially balanced RhoA–ROCK signaling at adherens junctions and the polarized morphogenesis of epithelial cells in 3D culture.

RESULTS

DLC3 interacts with the basolateral polarity protein Scribble

To identify molecular factors that specify DLC3 localization, we performed mass spectrometry analysis of DLC3-interacting proteins. Flag-tagged wild-type (WT) DLC3 and a DLC3 point mutant (K725E) previously shown to be GAP inactive (Holeiter et al., 2012) were transiently expressed in HEK293T cells and immunopurified from cell lysates, followed by analysis of the samples by nano-liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (nanoLC-MS/MS). For each detected co-immunoprecipitating protein, semi-quantitative intensity-based absolute quantification (iBAQ) values were calculated (see Materials and Methods for details). To exclude the bulk of non-specific binders, we set an arbitrary iBAQ threshold of ≥100,000 and considered only the highest scoring proteins that associated with DLC3 WT and K725E but that were not present in the empty vector control. This analysis yielded 228 proteins (Table S1). In accordance with the role of DLC3 in transferrin receptor trafficking to the endocytic membrane compartment (Braun et al., 2015), several proteins involved in membrane trafficking, including the early endosome marker Rab5 and the transferrin receptor (TfnR) were contained in the list of interacting proteins (Table S1).

Interestingly, 17 proteins were associated with the category ‘cell junction’ as based on GOCC annotation (Table 1). We noted that seven of these proteins contain PDZ domains, which are prominent protein interaction platforms. These PDZ domain proteins included Scribble, Dlg1, GOPC, Lin-7 and α1-, β1- and β2-syntrophin (Table 1). We focused our biological follow-up on the polarity protein Scribble because it localizes to adherens junctions and the basolateral membrane of polarized epithelial cells, and functions as a potential tumor suppressor (Dow et al., 2003; Navarro et al., 2005; Qin et al., 2005).

To first validate the interaction of DLC3 and Scribble biochemically, GFP–Scribble was transiently expressed in HEK293T cells, immunoprecipitated from cell lysates with an anti-GFP antibody and binding of co-expressed Flag-tagged DLC3 was confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig. 1A). In agreement with the mass spectrometry analysis, WT and GAP-inactive DLC3 were bound by Scribble with comparable affinity, indicating that the interaction occurs independently of the GAP activity of DLC3 (Fig. S1). We confirmed the interaction of endogenous DLC3 and Scribble by co-immunoprecipitation of the proteins from HeLa cell lysates using a DLC3-specific antibody (Fig. 1B). Considering the structural similarity of the three DLC proteins, we asked whether Scribble also interacts with DLC1 and DLC2. In Scribble immunoprecipitates, coexpressed DLC1 and DLC2 were not detectable even in long exposures (Fig. 1C and data not shown), indicating predominant interaction with the DLC3 isoform. Scribble contains four PDZ domains (Humbert et al., 2008) that often mediate protein interactions with C-terminal PDZ ligand (PDZL) motifs (x-S/T-x-L/V) (Nourry et al., 2003). Intriguingly, DLC3 contains such a C-terminal PDZL motif (ETKL) that is absent in DLC1 and DLC2 (Fig. 1D).

Table 1. Cell-junction-associated DLC3-binding partners according to GOCC annotation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein name(s)</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>PDZ domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein scribble homolog</td>
<td>SCRIB</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disks large homolog 1</td>
<td>DLG1</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golgi-associated PDZ and coiled-coil motif-containing protein</td>
<td>GOPC</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein lin-7 homolog C, A or B</td>
<td>LIN7C; LIN7A; LIN7B</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-1-syntrophin</td>
<td>SNTA1</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-1-syntrophin</td>
<td>SNTB1</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-2-syntrophin</td>
<td>SNTB2</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiomotin-like protein 2</td>
<td>AMOTL2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclin-dependent kinase 4</td>
<td>CDK4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochrome c1, heme protein, mitochondrial</td>
<td>CYC1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNAJ homolog subfamily A member 3, mitochondrial</td>
<td>DNAJA3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dystrobrevin alpha</td>
<td>DTNA</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dystrobrevin; Dystrobrevin beta</td>
<td>DTNB</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTB/POZ domain-containing protein</td>
<td>KCTD12</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obscurin-like protein 1</td>
<td>OBSL1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plakophilin-2</td>
<td>PKP2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utrophin</td>
<td>UTRN</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To prove a direct PDZ-domain-mediated interaction of Scribble with DLC3, we generated DLC3 ΔPDZL, a deletion mutant lacking the five C-terminal amino acids, and analyzed binding by far western blotting. To this end, Flag-tagged full-length DLC3 and DLC3 ΔPDZL were expressed in HEK293T cells, enriched by immunoprecipitation and transferred to membrane. Membranes were then incubated with a recombinant Scribble protein fragment encompassing the PDZ domains (GST–Scribble-PDZ1-PDZ4) or GST alone. Immunostaining revealed that the PDZ domains of Scribble bound to the full-length DLC3 protein, whereas deletion of the PDZL motif abrogated Scribble binding (Fig. 1D). Thus, we can conclude that the interaction of DLC3 and Scribble is direct and mediated by the PDZ domains of Scribble and the C-terminal PDZL.
motif of DLC3. Finally, to determine which of the four Scribble PDZ domains was involved in DLC3 binding, we performed pulldown experiments of DLC3 with immobilized GST-tagged Scribble PDZ1, PDZ2, PDZ3 or PDZ4. Immunoblotting showed that DLC3 was primarily bound by Scribble PDZ3 and to a lesser extent by Scribble PDZ4 (Fig. 1E).
Junctional DLC3 localization is specified by its PDZ ligand motif and Scribble

We next sought to visualize the interaction of DLC3 and Scribble at the cellular level by performing an in situ proximity ligation assay (PLA). These studies were performed in MCF7 cells stably expressing GFP–DLC3 because DLC3-specific antibodies are not suited for the detection of the endogenous protein by immunofluorescence. Because cell morphology is not perturbed by GAP-inactive DLC3, cells expressing DLC3 K725E were preferably used for the colocalization studies with endogenous Scribble. Indeed, the proximity ligation reaction led to the accumulation of discrete fluorescent spots at cell adhesions, whereas almost no signal was observed in the control without primary antibodies and only weak background signals were seen in cells lacking GFP–DLC3 expression (Fig. 2A). The significant increase of the junctional PLA signal compared to the controls confirms specificity and proves the in situ interaction of DLC3 and Scribble at cell–cell contacts (Fig. 2B).

To next investigate whether DLC3 localization depends on Scribble, we transfected MCF7 cells stably expressing DLC3 with non-targeting (spNT) or Scribble-specific (spScrib) small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and immunostained the cells 3 days post transfection. In control cells, DLC3 strongly colocalized with E-cadherin at cell–cell contacts. However, upon Scribble depletion, both DLC3 WT and K725E no longer accumulated at cell–cell contacts and were homogeneously distributed within the cell (Fig. 3A; Fig. S2A). Although adherens junctions were less ordered in cells lacking Scribble, junctional E-cadherin localization was partially retained, whereas DLC3 was completely mislocalized from cell–cell contacts, as confirmed by a significantly reduced Mander’s colocalization coefficient in the knockdown cells (Fig. 3B). Efficient downregulation of Scribble was verified by immunoblotting (Fig. 3C) and independent siRNAs targeting Scribble yielded similar results, ruling out off-target effects (Fig. S2B,C). These findings strongly suggest that DLC3 localization is not only altered due to cell–cell contact destabilization, but depends directly on the presence of Scribble.

To directly assess whether RhoA activity at cell–cell adhesions depended on DLC3 expression, we employed the GFP–Anillin AHPH location biosensor for active RhoA. This biosensor encodes the C-terminal part of the scaffold protein anillin, which specifically binds GTP-RhoA (Piekny and Glotzer, 2008; Priya et al., 2015). MCF7 cells transiently transfected with non-targeting (spNT) or DLC3-specific (spDLC3) siRNAs were co-transfected with plasmids encoding the WT biosensor and, as a control, a mutant deficient in RhoA binding (GFP–Anillin AHPH DM) (Fig. 4A). Efficient downregulation of DLC3 was verified by quantitative PCR (Fig. 4C). In control cells, the WT biosensor was distributed evenly in the cells, with no obvious signs of locally elevated RhoA activation. However, in DLC3-depleted cells, GFP–Anillin AHPH WT was enriched in many small aggregates all along the destabilized cell–cell contacts, whereas the control construct exhibited uniform cytoplasmic and nuclear localization (Fig. 4A).

DLC3 regulates Rho-ROCK signaling to maintain cell-cell contacts and Scribble localization

Fig. 2. DLC3 interacts with Scribble in situ at cell–cell adhesions. (A) MCF7 cells stably expressing GFP–DLC3 K725E and parental cells as a control were analyzed by in situ PLA using anti-GFP and anti-Scribble antibodies. As an additional control, the reaction was performed without primary antibody. Protein–protein interactions are visualized as distinct spots (PLA signal). F-actin was stained with Alexa-Fluor-633-labeled phalloidin. (B) Quantification (mean±s.e.m.) of the junctional to cytoplasmic fluorescence intensity ratio of the PLA signal (n=20 cells; N=3). ***P<0.001 (one-way ANOVA).
Quantification of the junctional biosensor signal revealed a significant increase in the DLC3-knockdown cells in comparison to the control samples, supporting a role for DLC3 as a RhoGAP at cell adhesions (Fig. 4B). This is in line with the elevated junctional staining of the ROCK substrate phospho-myosin light chain (pMLC) upon DLC3 depletion (Fig. S3A).

To investigate the impact of DLC3 depletion on Scribble localization, MCF7 cells transfected with non-targeting (spNT) and Scribble-specific (spScrib) siRNAs were stained for Scribble, E-cadherin and F-actin. The junctional association of Scribble, as seen in the control cells, was abrogated by DLC3 knockdown, which was also characterized by impaired cell–cell adhesions (Fig. 4D,E). Interestingly, treatment of DLC3-depleted cells with ROCK (H1152) or Rho (CT04) inhibitor not only rescued the disruption of cell–cell contacts, but also restored Scribble localization (Fig. 4D,E). These data imply a mutual dependence of DLC3 and Scribble: on the one hand, Scribble localization depends on properly balanced RhoA–ROCK signaling at cell junctions controlled by the RhoGAP function of DLC3, on the other hand, DLC3 targeting to cell–cell contacts relies on the scaffolding function of Scribble.

A targeted GAP domain rescues adherens junctions destabilization upon DLC3 knockdown

The N-terminal LRR domains target the Scribble protein to the basolateral membrane and are important for its role in the establishment and maintenance of cell polarity (Navarro et al., 2005; Zeitler et al., 2004). To interrogate whether the GAP domain of DLC3 is sufficient for local Scribble-mediated regulation of Rho signaling, we artificially recruited the DLC3 GAP domain to cell–cell adhesions by fusing it to the LRR domains of Scribble. MCF7 cells stably expressing the GFP-tagged targeted WT GAP domain were generated and, as controls, cell lines stably expressing the targeted inactive GAP domain and LRR only. Then, gene silencing was performed using a DLC3-specific siRNA (siDLC3#1) that does not target the DLC3 GAP domain. LRR-mediated targeting was successful, as seen by the colocalization of LRR, LRR–GAP WT and LRR–GAP K725E with E-cadherin in the stable control cells (Fig. 5A, left panel). Upon DLC3 depletion, cell–cell adhesions were disrupted in MCF7 cells expressing LRR alone or the inactive targeted GAP domain, which was accompanied by the redistribution of the LRR fusion proteins. Remarkably, LRR-mediated targeting...
of the WT DLC3 GAP domain rescued the disorganized E-cadherin staining and preserved the localization of the fusion protein to cell–cell adhesions (Fig. 5A, right panel; Fig. 5B). To quantify the amount of cell junction stability that depended on targeted GAP activity, we next performed cell disaggregation assays in stable MCF7 cells expressing the LRR–GAP fusion proteins (Fig. 5C). In

Fig. 4. DLC3 depletion enhances RhoA activity at cell–cell adhesions and impairs Scribble localization in a Rho-ROCK-dependent manner. MCF7 cells were transfected with non-targeting (spNT) or DLC3-specific (spDLC3) siRNAs. (A) After 2 days, cells were transfected with plasmids encoding GFP–Anillin AHPH and A700/E758K (DM), respectively. The next day, cells were fixed and stained for E-cadherin and F-actin (phalloidin). (B) Quantification (mean±s.e.m.) of the junctional to cytoplasmic fluorescence intensity (FI) ratio of the GFP–AHPH reporter (n=20 cells; N=3). ***P<0.001; ns, not significant (one-way ANOVA). (C) RNA was extracted 72 h later and relative DLC3 expression levels normalized to PPIA as a control gene were determined by quantitative PCR (N=2). (D) After 2 days, cells were treated with ROCK inhibitor overnight (H1152; 10 µM) or on day 3 with Rho inhibitor (CT04; 50 ng/ml) for 5 h where indicated. Cells were fixed and stained for Scribble, E-cadherin and F-actin (phalloidin). The images shown are maximum intensity projections of several confocal sections. (E) The fluorescence intensities of Scribble and E-cadherin along the white arrows in D are depicted. Scale bars: 20 µm.
LRR- and LRR-GAP K725E-expressing control cells, DLC3 knockdown enhanced cell disaggregation by 50% as determined by quantification of cell cluster sizes (Fig. 5D). However, in accordance with our immunofluorescence data, LRR-mediated targeting of a functional DLC3 GAP domain completely rescued the cell disaggregation induced by DLC3 depletion (Fig. 5D). Moreover, increased cell disaggregation in DLC3-depleted cells was partially restored by pharmacological ROCK inhibition (Fig. S3B,C). Taken together, these findings prove that DLC3 functions as a junction-associated regulator of RhoA–ROCK signaling (Holeiter et al., 2012) and junctional positioning of the active GAP protein by Scribble is crucial for its role in maintaining adherens junction integrity.

**The PDZL motif mediates basolateral DLC3 localization in polarized Caco-2 cysts**

To analyze whether the PDZL-mediated interaction of DLC3 and Scribble is also relevant in other cellular systems, we generated Caco-2 colorectal carcinoma cells inducibly expressing the different DLC3 variants. We then switched on the expression of GAP-inactive, full-length DLC3 and the DLC3 PDZL deletion mutant proteins by treatment with doxycycline in established 2D...
Fig. 6. See next page for legend.
monolayers (Fig. 6A). Full-length DLC3 accumulated at cell junctions where it colocalized with endogenous Scribble (Fig. 6A) and interaction of full-length GFP–DLC3 with endogenous Scribble was also confirmed biochemically (Fig. S4A). In full accordance with the results obtained in stable MCF7 cells, as opposed to full-length DLC3, DLC3 ΔPDZL was distributed uniformly in the cells (Fig. 6A). To quantify PDZL-motif-dependent subcellular distribution of DLC3, we performed fractionation of cells treated with doxycycline for 10 h only to avoid saturation with excessive protein. Prior to immunoblotting, the GFP–DLC3 proteins were enriched by immunoprecipitation from the supernatant fraction containing soluble cytosolic proteins and the pellet fraction containing detergent-soluble proteins. Compared to the full-length protein, the amount of DLC3 ΔPDZL in the detergent-soluble fraction was clearly reduced (Fig. 6B,C), supporting the idea that the PDZL motif is required for membrane recruitment of DLC3.

We then analyzed DLC3 localization and function in 3D matrigel cultures of Caco-2 cells, which form polarized cysts consisting of a single epithelial cell layer with apical-basolateral polarity surrounding a hollow lumen. Expression of DLC3 WT in fully established cysts led to the collapse of lumens, whereas Caco-2 cysts expressing GAP-inactive DLC3 K725E retained their polarized morphology associated with basolateral Scribble and apical F-actin accumulation (Fig. S4B; Fig. 6D). Consistent with the results in 2D monolayers, full-length DLC3 colocalized with Scribble at the basolateral membrane, which was significantly reduced by PDZL deletion (Fig. 6D-F). Taken together, our findings show that the PDZL motif is essential for the basolateral membrane localization of DLC3 in polarized Caco-2 cells and highlight the importance of properly balanced DLC3 GAP activity for the maintenance of the polarized morphology. Unfortunately, based on the quantification of the number of cysts with a normal polarized morphology, we could not demonstrate any altered biological function for GAP-competent DLC3 ΔPDZL (Fig. S4C), which is most likely due to the saturation of cells with overexpressed protein. To assess such functional differences, DLC3 expression should be driven by a weaker promoter.

DLC3 and Scribble are required for polarized lumen formation of Caco-2 cysts

Finally, we knocked down DLC3 and Scribble before seeding of cells into 3D matrigel cultures containing EGF. Previous studies have shown that EGF stimulates proliferation of Caco-2 cells, leading to a larger size of cysts, but it does not interfere with polarized cyst development (Möller et al., 2014). After 3 days, lumen formation was induced with cholera toxin and, the next day, the cysts were fixed and stained for E-cadherin and F-actin as basolateral and apical markers, respectively (Fig. 6G). Efficient downregulation of DLC3 and Scribble was confirmed by quantitative PCR and immunoblotting, respectively (Fig. S4G,H). We found that, upon DLC3 and Scribble knockdown, Caco-2 cells failed to form cysts with a single lumen and distinct apical F-actin staining, and E-cadherin distribution was no longer restricted to basolateral membranes. DLC3 and Scribble depletion resulted in similar phenotypes characterized by the formation of flat and spread multicellular structures with multiple or no lumens (Fig. 6G). Quantification revealed that more than 70% of the Caco-2 control cysts developed normally, as assessed by their round morphology, well-defined apical F-actin staining and the presence of a single apical lumen. By contrast, DLC3 and Scribble knockdown caused a significant reduction of cysts with normal morphology (11% and 28%, respectively; Fig. 6H), which was confirmed by independent siRNAs targeting DLC3 and Scribble (Fig. S4D–H). Taken together, these data provide evidence that DLC3 and Scribble are both required for polarized epithelial morphogenesis and lumen formation of Caco-2 cells.

DISCUSSION

Here, we identify the basolateral polarity protein Scribble as the first isoform-specific DLC3-binding partner, and show that it recruits the RhoGAP DLC3 to cell–cell contacts. This interaction is mediated by the PDZ domains of Scribble and a unique C-terminal PDZL motif in DLC3. Using a location biosensor for active RhoA and a LRR-targeted GAP domain, we show that DLC3 is required for local RhoA regulation at cell–cell adhesions and uncover a mutual dependence of DLC3 and Scribble: on the one hand, Scribble acts as a scaffold to recruit DLC3, ensuring locally restricted Rho activity at cell junctions and basolateral membranes; on the other hand, DLC3 as a negative regulator of Rho–ROCK signaling is required for adherens junction maintenance and, consequently, Scribble localization at cell–cell contacts.

Scribble targets the RacGEF protein β-Pix to the basolateral membrane where it promotes Rac activation (Frank et al., 2012; Zhan et al., 2008). By additionally recruiting the RhoGAP protein DLC3 to suppress RhoA activation as revealed by our work, Scribble contributes in a dual manner to the establishment of antagonizing Rho and Rac activity gradients in epithelial cells. Apart from Scribble, it is possible that further PDZ domain proteins might be involved in the control of DLC3 localization and function. Considering that our proteomic analysis detected several components of the syntrophin-utrophin–dystrobrevin complex, which constitutes a scaffold for mechanical stabilization during contraction in muscle cells, DLC3 might possess yet unknown functions in such cells (Albrecht and Froehner, 2002). Recently,
β2-syntrophin was also shown to be crucial for junction assembly and apical-basal polarity in epithelial cells (Mack et al., 2012), thus syntrophins, as alternative scaffolds, might support Scribble in the junctional recruitment of DLC3. Biochemical experiments showed that the DLC3–Scribble interaction is mediated mainly by the Scribble PDZ3 domain, which also interacts with the planar cell polarity protein Vangl (Courbard et al., 2009). In striking contrast to its role as a tumor suppressor, in breast cancer cells Scribble association with a NOS1AP–Vangl complex at lamellipodia was correlated with enhanced cell migration and tumor progression (Anastas et al., 2012). Considering that DLC3 has a potential tumor-suppressive function, it is tempting to speculate that DLC3 can compete with Vangl proteins for Scribble binding, thereby modulating the tumor-suppressive versus oncogenic functions of Scribble. Alternatively, loss of the membrane-bound localization of Scribble, which is associated with a gain of tumor-promoting properties (Elsum and Humbert, 2013), might result in cytoplasmic DLC3 sequestration reminiscent of a mechanism recently described for PTEN (Feigl et al., 2014), thereby comprising the function of DLC3 in cell adhesion and increasing cell motility.

Our findings reveal that the C-terminal PDZ ligand motif in DLC3 is required for the recruitment of the protein to cell–cell contacts and, in polarized cells, to basolateral membranes. In addition, other domains contribute to DLC3 subcellular localization and membrane association. For example, we have previously shown that the SAM domain targets DLC3 to Golgi membranes (Braun et al., 2015), whereas a polybasic region conserved in all DLC isoforms mediates the binding to phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate-enriched membranes (Erlmann et al., 2009). Considering the importance of the PDZL motif for proper DLC3 localization, it is intriguing that in different types of cancer mutations in the DLC3 coding sequence are reported (http://www.cbioportal.org), some of which produce a premature stop codon (DLC3 E686*; DLC3 A874S fs*16), yielding proteins that leave the GAP domain intact but lack the PDZL motif. Based on our data, these DLC3 mutant proteins are expected to be mislocalized from cell adhesions and thus functionally inactive with respect to RhoA regulation at these sites. Functional recruitment of DLC3 by its PDZL motif is an isoform-specific regulatory mechanism because the DLC family members DLC1 and DLC2 lack this motif and consequently they do not interact with Scribble. DLC1 was also reported to associate with cell–cell contacts by binding α-catenin in a GAP-dependent manner (Tripathi et al., 2012), whereas DLC2 was shown to control junction integrity by affecting microtubule growth and spindle positioning through Cdc42–mDia signaling (Vitiello et al., 2014). Thus, although the DLC proteins were initially believed to possess largely overlapping cellular functions, these observations support the idea that isoform-specific functions are conferred by their different subcellular localizations associated with the regulation of spatially distinct Rho GTPase pools.

Depletion of Scribble has been shown to impair E-cadherin function resulting in adherens junction destabilization, enhanced cell disaggregation and migration (Qin et al., 2005). The DLC3-knockdown phenotype exhibits very similar characteristics, indicating that the physical interaction of DLC3 and Scribble translates into a functional biological interaction. However, in the MCF10A model of breast epithelial morphogenesis, loss of DLC3 or Scribble has no obvious effect on polarized acini formation (Dow et al., 2006; Holeiter et al., 2012). This contrasts with our results in Caco-2 cells, in which the absence of DLC3 or Scribble resulted in prominent polarity defects associated with impaired lumenogenesis. However, it is important to note that only in the presence of EGF did we observe aberrant polarized morphogenesis of DLC3 and Scribble-knockdown cells. This is in line with the observation that specific oncogene activation or cytokine exposure cooperates with the loss of Scribble to disrupt cell polarity and cause an invasive phenotype in MCF10A cells (Chatterjee et al., 2012). Considering that DLC3 and Scribble are both involved in coordinating endocytic membrane trafficking, and EGF increases E-cadherin turnover (Bryant et al., 2007), the aberrant morphogenesis of DLC3 and Scribble depleted cysts might also be linked to altered vesicular transport (de Vreede et al., 2014; Lohia et al., 2012). Thus, in addition to their local function at adherens junctions, the coordination of membrane trafficking by Scribble and DLC3 might be required for the correct distribution of proteins in polarized epithelial cells. In future studies, it will be interesting to explore the dynamics of the DLC3–Scribble interaction and whether complex formation is restricted to adherens junctions. Moreover, the molecular mechanisms, such as posttranslational modifications that regulate DLC3 interaction with Scribble and other protein binding partners remain to be explored. For example, the isolation of several proteins involved in proteasomal degradation, including the ubiquitin ligases HUWE1 and CHIP (Table S1), provides a hint that DLC3 might be regulated by ubiquitylation. Elucidating in more depth the physiological functions of DLC3 and its molecular regulation will help to better understand how its functional inactivation might contribute to tumor progression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antibodies and reagents

Antibodies used were: monoclonal mouse anti-E-cadherin (immunofluorescence 1:250; cat. no. 610182, BD Transduction Laboratories, Heidelberg, Germany); monoclonal rabbit anti-E-cadherin (immunofluorescence 1:200) and polyclonal rabbit anti-phospho-myosin light chain 2 (pMLC; Ser19; immunofluorescence 1:50) (cat. nos. 3195 and 3671, respectively, Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA); monoclonal goat anti-GST (western blotting 1:5000; cat. no. GE-27-4577-01, GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ); monoclonal mouse anti-TR (western blotting 1:1000; cat. no. 13-6800, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA); monoclonal mouse anti-GFP (immunofluorescence 1:250; western blotting 1:2000; cat. no. 118146001, Roche Biosciences, Basel, Switzerland); monoclonal mouse anti-β1 (α-; as a control IgG for co-immunoprecipitation), anti-DLC3 (E-2; western blotting 1:500), polyclonal goat anti-Scribble (C-20; western blotting 1:250) and polyclonal rabbit anti-Scribble (H-300; immunofluorescence 1:100) (cat. nos c-1651, sc-166725, sc-11049 and sc-28737, respectively, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX); monoclonal mouse anti-α-tubulin (western blotting 1:2000, anti-Flag M2 (western blotting 1:2000), rabbit anti-GAPDH (1:15,000) (cat. nos. T5168, F3165 and G9545, respectively, Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO); HRP-labeled secondary anti-mouse (western blotting 1:1000), anti-rabbit (western blotting 1:1000) and anti-goat (western blotting 1:10,000) IgG (GE Healthcare); Alexa Fluor®-labeled secondary IgG (immunofluorescence 1:500) (Invitrogen). Alexa Fluor®-labeled phallolidin was from Invitrogen, DAPI from Sigma-Aldrich, EGF from R&D Systems Inc. (Minneapolis, MN), H1152 from Calbiochem (Darmstadt, Germany) and Rho Inhibitor I (CT04) from Cytoskeleton (Denver, CO).

DNA constructs

pEGFP-C1-DLC3α WT/K725E, pEGFP-C1-DLC1, pEGFP-C1-DLC2α, pCR.V62-Met-Flag-DLC3α WT/K725E vectors were described previously (Braun et al., 2015; Erlmann et al., 2009; Holeiter et al., 2012). pEGFP-C1-Anillin AHPH WT and A70D/E758K (DM) were provided by Alpha Yap.
(University of Queensland, Australia) (Piekny and Glotzer, 2008; Priya et al., 2015). Expression vectors encoding GFP-tagged human (h)Scribble, pDEST15-GST-PDZ1 hScribble, pDEST15-GST-PDZ2 hScribble, pDEST15-GST-PDZ3 hScribble and pDEST15-GST-PDZ4 hScribble were provided by Jean-Paul Berc (Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Marseille, France). pCR.V6.2-Met-Flag DLC3 WT and K725E ΔPDZL vectors were generated by PCR amplification using pCR.V6.2-Met-Flag-DLC3 3′ and WT and K725E as a template, respectively, and the following forward and reverse primers: 5′-CCGAGATTTCACAGGCGCTGCAGGCG-3′ and 5′-CCGGAATTCCACCTGCTCTGAGCTACAGT-3′. PCR products were cloned into pDEST15-Flag vector by EcoRI restriction. pEGFP-C1- DLC3 WT and K725E ΔPDZL were generated by PCR amplification using pEGFP-C1-DLC3 WT and K725E as a template, respectively, and the following forward and reverse primers: 5′-CCGGAATTTCCTCCTCTGCTCTGAGCTACAGT-3′ and 5′-CCGGAATTTTCTACAGGCGCTGCAGGCG-3′. PCR products were cloned into pEGFP-C1 by EcoRI restriction, pEGFP-C1-Scribble LRR was obtained by PCR amplification of Scribble PDZ1 domains from pEGFP-C1-DLC3-SAM-GAP WT/K725E (Braun et al., 2015) into pEGFP-C1-Scribble LRR lacking a stop codon by SalI restriction. pWHE-636-GFP-DLC3 vectors followed by selection with 5 µg/ml G418 were used for stable cell lines. Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (NEB buffer with 1% NP-40 and 2% SDS) or for immunoprecipitation in 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5% NP-40 and 0.1% SDS for immunoprecipitation in 0.5% NBE buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCI, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 0.5% Nonidet P-40). Chromosome and FISH analysis was performed using the Perseus Software package version 1.5.0.15.

**Cell culture, transfection and generation of stable cell lines**

HEK293T (ATCC), HeLa (ATCC), MCF7 (provided by the Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Stuttgart, Germany) and Caco-2 (Interlab Cell Line Collection, Genova, Italy) cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; PAA Laboratories, Cöble, Germany). Caco-2 cells were reauthenticated by SNP analysis in 2016 (Multiplexion, Immensend, Germany). All other cell lines were authenticated by morphology and growth characteristics, tested for mycoplasma and cultured for less than 4 months after thawing. In HEK293T cells, transfections were performed with TurboFect® (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) and in MCF7 cells with Lipofectamine® LTX (Invitrogen). MCF7 cells stably expressing GFP-DLC3 WT or K725E were as described previously (Holeiter et al., 2012). Stable MCF7 cells expressing GFP-DLC3 WT or K725E ΔPDZL and GFP-Scribble LRR and LRR-GAP WT or K725E were generated by transfection of the respective expression vectors followed by 1 mg/ml G418 selection (Calbiochem) and FACs sorting of GFP-positive cells. Caco-2 cells stably expressing the doxycycline-inducible system components nTA and nTS were as described previously (Röring et al., 2012). Caco-2tet GFP-DLC3 WT or K725E cells and Caco-2tet GFP-DLC3 WT ΔPDZL or K725E ΔPDZL cells were generated by nucleofection (program B024, Kit T, Lonza) of respective pWHE-636-GFP-DLC3 vectors followed by selection with 5 µg/ml blasticidin and 5 µg/ml puromycin. For 3D cultures, Caco-2 cells were seeded on a matrix of growth factor reduced matrigel (BD) and PureCol®-S (Advanced Biomatrix, San Diego, CA) (1:1) and overlaid with growth medium containing 2% matrigel. For knockdown cells, 10 ng/ml EGF (R&D) was added to the medium. Lumen formation was induced by 100 ng/ml cholera toxin (Sigma-Aldrich). Transgene expression in Caco-2tet cells was induced with 2 µg/ml doxycycline (dox; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

**RNA interference**

MCF7 cells were transfected with siRNAs using Lipofectamine® RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) and Caco-2 cells using DharmaFECT1 (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO). ON-TARGETplus® non-targeting control SMARTpool siRNA (D-001810-10, Invitrogen) was used as a negative control. The following DLC3- and Scribble-specific siRNAs were used: siGENOME SMARTpool human STARD8 (s23967, Invitrogen) and Silencer® Select human STARD8 (s23970, Invitrogen) and Silencer® Select human Scribble (s23971, Invitrogen).
10 mM sodium fluoride, 0.5 mM PMSF and 20 mM β-glycerophosphate plus Complete protease inhibitors (Roche). Lysates were clarified by centrifugation for 10 min at 16,000 g and 4°C. For immunoprecipitation, equal amounts of protein were diluted with extraction buffer to a final concentration of 0.25% NP-40 and incubated with specific antibodies for 3 h at 4°C. Immune complexes were collected using protein G agarose (KPL) and washed three times with 0.25% NEB. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Roth, Karsruhe, Germany). Alternatively, lysates were loaded on 4–12% NuPAGE® Novex Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (iBlot® Gel Transfer Stacks; Invitrogen). Membranes were blocked with 0.5% blocking reagent (Roche) in PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and incubated with primary antibodies, followed by HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. Proteins were visualized by ECL detection (Pierce, Rockford, IL) or, alternatively, IRDye 800 goat anti-GST antibody (antibody against GST, cat. no. 600-132-200, 1:15,000) or IRDye 800 CW goat anti-mouse-IgG (1:15,000), respectively (LicoR Biotechnology, Bad-Homburg, Germany). For fractionations, cells were lysed in 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 561- and 633-nm excitation. Maximum intensity projections, linear

**In situ proximity ligation assay**

Cells were fixed, permeabilized and stained with primary antibodies (mouse GFP-specific and rabbit Scribble-specific antibody) as described for immunofluorescence analysis. For blocking, the buffer provided by the Duolink system (Sigma-Aldrich) was used. Incubation with PLA probes, ligation and amplification were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions using the detection reagents ‘orange’. Cells were stained with Alexa Fluor®-labeled phallolidin and mounted in Dapi-containing Duolink mounting medium. PLA signals were imaged with a confocal LSM710 microscope.

**Cell disaggregation assay**

Cells were seeded in 25-µl drops (1×10⁶ cells/ml) onto the lid of a culture dish and incubated in an inverted manner overnight to allow the formation of cell aggregates. ROCK inhibitor was added 6 h post seeding. The next day, aggregates were pipetted up and down (15× with a 20 µl pipette) and photographed at 2× magnification using an Evos FL cell imaging system [Advanced microscopy group (AMG)]. The area covered by the remaining cell clusters larger than 40,000 µm² was quantified using ImageJ.

**Quantitative PCR**

RNA was isolated with the RNeasy® Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Foster City, CA). Q-PCR was performed with QuantiTect Primer Assays® for SYBR® Green-based expression analysis (Qiagen) using a Cfx96 device (Biorad) according to the manufacturer’s protocol for one-step RT-PCR. Primers used were 5'-CATGGAGCAGGAGGACC-3' and 5'-GGGAGACCTCCTCCCTC-3' for DLC3 (Biomers.net, Ulm, Germany) and Hs_PPIA_4_SG QuantiTec Primer Assay (Qiagen). Changes in the relative expression level were determined using the 2-ΔΔCT method (Biorad CFX manager software 3.1.). PPIA was used as a control gene for normalization.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are shown as mean±s.e.m.; ‘n’ refers to the number of analyzed cells, cysts or cell aggregates/experiment and ‘N’ to the number of independent experiments. Statistical significance was analyzed by t-test and one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test (GraphPad Prism version 4.03; GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA). P-values below 0.05 were considered as significant (*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; ns, not significant, P>0.05).
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Supplemental Figures

Figure S1: Scribble binds DLC3 WT and GAP-inactive DLC3 in a comparable manner.

HEK293T cells were transfected with expression vectors encoding GFP-Scribble or GFP alone and GFP-DLC3 WT or K725E, respectively. The next day, lysates were immunoprecipitated with an anti-Scribble antibody. Samples were analyzed by immunoblotting using an anti-GFP antibody.
Figure S2: DLC3 localization upon Scribble depletion by independent siRNAs and localization of DLC3 WT upon PDZ ligand deletion. (A,B) Stable MCF7 cells expressing GFP-DLC3 WT or GFP-DLC3 K725E (green) were transfected with non-targeting (spNT) and Scribble-specific (spScrib, siScrib#1 or siScrib#2) siRNAs, respectively. 72 h post transfection, cells were fixed and stained for Scribble and E-cadherin (red). (C) Whole cell lysates of MCF7 cells were analyzed by Western blotting with anti-Scribble and anti-tubulin antibodies. (D) Stable MCF7 cells expressing GFP-DLC3 WT full-length (FL) or GFP-DLC3 WT ΔPDZL (green) were stained with an anti-E-cadherin antibody (red). The images shown are maximum intensity projections of several confocal sections. Scale bars, 20 µm.
**Figure S3:** Increased junctional pMLC levels in DLC3 knockdown cells and rescue of destabilized cell adhesions by ROCK inhibition. MCF7 cells were transfected with non-targeting (spNT) and DLC3-specific (spDLC3) siRNAs, respectively. (A) Two days later, cells were treated with ROCK inhibitor overnight (H1152; 10 µM) where indicated. The next day, cells were fixed and stained for pMLC and E-cadherin. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. The images shown are maximum intensity projections of several confocal sections. Scale bars, 20 µm. (B) Two days later, cells were seeded in hanging drops. After 6h, ROCK inhibitor (H1152; 10 µM) was added to the drops and incubated overnight. The next day, cell aggregates were dissociated by pipetting and photographed. Scale bars, 1 mm. (C) Quantification of the cell disaggregation shown in (B). The area covered by cell clusters larger than 40,000 µm² was determined (mean ± S.E.M. of 40 cell clusters derived from two independent experiments; one-way ANOVA).
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Figure S4: Aberrant polarized morphogenesis of Caco-2 cysts upon DLC3 expression or DLC3 / Scribble depletion by independent siRNAs. (A) Caco-2 cells inducibly expressing GFP-DLC3 K725E were seeded and the next day, protein expression was induced by 2 µg/ml dox for 24 h where indicated. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with an anti-GFP antibody. Samples were analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-GFP and anti-Scribble antibodies. (B) Caco-2tet GFP-DLC3 WT cells were grown in 3D matrigel culture. Three days post seeding, cholera toxin was added to induce lumen formation. The next day, GFP-DLC3 WT expression was induced by doxycycline. The next day, cultures were fixed and stained for GFP, E-cadherin and F-actin. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Shown are maximum intensity projections of confocal sections of the midplane of representative cysts. Scale bars, 20 µm. (C) The percentage of Caco-2 cysts with normal morphology after overnight induction of GAP-active GFP-DLC3 FL and ΔPDZL, respectively, was determined (n=100; N=3). (D) Caco-2 cells were transfected with non-targeting (spNT), DLC3-specific (siDLC3#1 or siDLC3#2) and Scribble-specific (siScrib#1 or siScrib#2) siRNAs, respectively. The next day, cells were seeded in 3D culture in the presence of 10 ng/ml EGF. Induction of lumen formation, followed by fixation on day 4 and staining of E-cadherin, F-actin and DAPI was performed as described in (B). Shown are confocal sections of the midplane of representative cysts. Scale bars, 20 µm. (E+F) The percentage of Caco-2 cysts with normal morphology was determined (n=100; N=3; one-way ANOVA). (G) Verification of DLC3 depletion in Caco-2 cells by quantitative PCR. RNA was extracted 72 h after transfection of the indicated siRNAs. Relative DLC3 expression levels normalized to PPIA as an endogenous control gene were determined (N=3; one-way ANOVA). (H) Whole cell lysates of Caco-2 cells were analyzed by Western blotting with anti-Scribble and anti-tubulin antibodies.
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