Mechanisms mitigating problems associated with multiple kinetochores on one microtubule in early mitosis
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ABSTRACT

Proper chromosome segregation in mitosis relies on correct kinetochore interaction with spindle microtubules. In early mitosis, each kinetochore usually interacts with the lateral side of each microtubule and is subsequently tethered at the microtubule end. However, since eukaryotic cells carry multiple chromosomes, multiple kinetochores could occasionally interact with a single microtubule. The consequence of this is unknown. Here, we find that, although two kinetochores (two pairs of sister kinetochores) can interact with the lateral side of one microtubule, only one kinetochore can form a sustained attachment to the microtubule end in budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae). This leads to detachment of the other kinetochore from the microtubule end (or a location in its proximity). Intriguingly, in this context, kinetochore sliding along a microtubule towards a spindle pole delays and diminishes discernible kinetochore detachment. This effect expedites collection of the entire set of kinetochores to a spindle pole. We propose that cells are equipped with the kinetochore-sliding mechanism to mitigate problems associated with multiple kinetochores on one microtubule in early mitosis.
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INTRODUCTION

For proper chromosome segregation during mitosis, eukaryotic cells need to establish correct kinetochore–microtubule (KT–MT) interactions. This interaction is initiated and developed in a stepwise manner (Cheerambathur and Desai, 2014; Tanaka, 2010). During the early stages of mitosis (prometaphase), a KT (a pair of sister KTs) makes initial contact with the MT lateral surface (lateral attachment; Fig. 1A, left) (Rieder and Alexander, 1990; Tanaka et al., 2005). Once loaded on the MT lateral surface, the KT moves towards a spindle pole by sliding along the MT (Fig. 1A, middle). This KT sliding is promoted by minus-end-directed kinesin (kinesin-14; Kar3 in budding yeast) in budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) (Tanaka et al., 2007) and probably by KT-associated dynein (and kinesin-14) in vertebrates (Vorozhko et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2007). While the KT undergoes lateral sliding, the KT-associated MT depolymerizes at its distal plus-end; in budding yeast, the speed of this depolymerization is higher than the speed of KT lateral sliding, resulting in the MT plus-end often catching up with a KT attached to its lateral surface (Kitamura et al., 2007; Tanaka et al., 2007). In this event, the KT becomes tethered at the MT plus end (end-on attachment), and moves further towards a spindle pole as MT depolymerization continues at its plus end (end-on pulling; Fig. 1A, right) (Maure et al., 2011; Shrestha and Draviam, 2013). Once KTs are collected on the mitotic spindle, sister KTs can efficiently bi-orient, i.e. interact with MTs extending from opposite spindle poles (Tanaka et al., 2002). All sister KTs must bi-orient prior to chromosome segregation at anaphase.

Poleward KT movement, either by sliding or end-on pulling, is especially crucial when KTs are located at some distance from the mitotic spindle. However, it is unknown why the majority of cells (including budding yeast and vertebrate cells) undergo both sliding and end-on pulling for poleward KT movement. In principle, to transport KTs to a spindle pole, end-on pulling should be sufficient and KT sliding should not be required; i.e. the KT could establish end-on attachment first and then could be transported towards the spindle by end-on pulling as the MT shrinks. In fact, some types of cells, such as fission yeast, undergo KT end-on pulling, but not KT sliding (Franco et al., 2007; Grishchuk and McIntosh, 2006). Is there, then, any advantage of KT sliding in the cells where this mechanism is present?

In both yeast and vertebrate cells, usually each KT (a pair of sister KTs) attaches to the lateral side of a single MT and becomes tethered at the MT end, as mentioned above; subsequently vertebrate KT interacts with multiple MTs (King and Nicklas, 2000). However, since both yeast and vertebrate cells contain multiple chromosomes, two or more pairs of sister KTs could interact with the lateral surface of a single MT during prometaphase, and it is unknown how multiple KTs behave in this situation. For example, can they be transported by lateral sliding on a single MT, and can two (or more) of them establish end-on attachment to one MT? If only one KT is able to establish end-on attachment to one MT, what happens to other KTs on the same MT? Does it cause any problems and, if so, are there any mechanisms to mitigate such problems? In this study, we address these questions using budding yeast as a model organism.

RESULTS

A single MT can accommodate only a single KT for sustained end-on attachment, leading to detachment of another KT on the MT lateral surface

To analyze individual KT–MT interactions in detail, we previously developed an engineered assay system in which KT assembly was delayed on a chosen centromere by transcription from an adjacent inserted promoter (Tanaka et al., 2005). This increased the distance...
between the centromere and the mitotic spindle, and allowed detailed observation of KT-MT interactions after KT assembly on the centromere was induced by turning off the transcription (centromere re-activation assay; Fig. S1A). To address whether two KTs can interact with a single MT, we modified this assay to regulate KT assembly on two centromeres (two pairs of sister centromeres) on different chromosomes (chromosome III and XV). After KT assembly was induced on both centromeres, they were able to interact with the lateral surface of the same or different MTs extending from a spindle pole. We focused on the former case, where both centromeres are caught on the same single MT (Fig. 1B, step 1). A single MT was discerned as reported previously, i.e. by comparing its fluorescent signal with a cytoplasmic MT that is known to be single (Fig. S4 in Tanaka et al., 2005). In these cases, the two centromeres moved by sliding along the MT lateral surface towards a spindle pole (Fig. 1B, step 1;
end-on attached, centromere showed detachment following the contact. There was no particular bias in the detachment frequency between the two centromeres; the centromeres on chromosome III and XV showed 15 and 13 detachments, respectively. In addition, the speed of co-transport of two KTs (on the two marked centromeres) on average was faster than KT lateral sliding, but slower than KT end-on pulling (Fig. S1C).

It is noteworthy that KT detachment occurred at an approximately constant rate during co-transport after two KTs came into contact (Fig. S1D). The majority of KT detachment (~80%) happened before KTs had moved more than 2 μm by co-transport. We assume that, after one KT establishes attachment to the end of a depolymerizing MT, another KT may still remain attached at the proximity of the MT end for a short period, and thus be co-transported, but would eventually detach from the MT end (Fig. S1E, left). Alternatively, two relevant chromosomes may be entangled around two KTs for a short period, causing KT co-transport. In any case, if co-transported KTs reached a spindle pole, we were rarely able to detect KT detachment from a pole. We speculate that most KTs that are detached in the immediate vicinity of a spindle pole might be recaptured rapidly by MTs that are in a particularly high density near the pole (Kitamura et al., 2010; Winey et al., 1995), but this would be indiscernible in our assay. There are several short MTs (about 200 nm) extending from the spindle pole (Kitamura et al., 2010; Winey et al., 1995), which would also contribute to rapid recapture of KTs detached in the vicinity of a pole. Alternatively, chromosome crowding in the vicinity of a spindle pole may prevent KTs from dispersing, following their detachment from MTs. In conclusion, we find that a single MT can accommodate only one KT (one pair of sister KTs) for sustained end-on attachment, leading to detachment of other, laterally attached, KTs from the MT plus-ends.

When sister chromatid cohesion is lost, sister KTs exclude each other from sustained end-on MT attachment

The above results suggest that there is a limited capacity of the KT to form an end-on attachment. One KT (one pair of sister KTs) seems to form an ‘exclusive’ attachment to the MT end. We set out to determine what comprises such an exclusive attachment, and whether both sister KTs are involved or whether one sister KT is sufficient to achieve it. Sister KTs are normally connected by sister chromatid cohesion at the centromere region (Tanaka et al., 2013). If this cohesion is lost, sister KTs separate from each other, but each sister can still interact with a MT (Tanaka et al., 2000). To address whether sister KTs prevent each other from forming end-on attachments on a single MT, as do two pairs of sister KTs, we depleted the cohesin subunit Scc1 (also called Med1) and investigated how such separated sister KTs interact with MTs. We used the centromere reactivation assay to analyze individual KT–MT interactions in detail in this condition (Fig. S1A). We focused on situations where two sister KTs were initially caught on the lateral surface of the same MT (Fig. 2A). Subsequently one sister KT, usually the one distal to the spindle pole, was often ‘tethered’ at the MT end and moved towards a spindle pole as the MT shrunk, indicating end-on attachment (Fig. 2B, 50 s). This end-on attached KT then caught up with its sister on the MT lateral surface (60 s, ‘contact’), which led to detachment of one sister KT from the MT end (80 s). In total, we observed 17 examples of sister KT detachment (following 52 contact events). As the two sister KTs could not be distinguished in this situation, we were not certain which sister KT showed detachment. Nonetheless, we assumed that it was the KT originally on the MT lateral surface that showed

Fig. 1. A single MT accommodates two KTs with lateral attachment but only one KT with sustained end-on attachment. (A) Diagrams explaining how a KT is captured and transported by a MT in eukaryotic cells. The KT initially interacts with the lateral surface of a single MT (lateral attachment), which extends from a spindle pole; the KT is then transported along the MT lateral surface towards a spindle pole by sliding (lateral sliding). Subsequently, the KT is tethered at the end of a single MT (end-on attachment) and transported polewards as the MT shrinks (end-on pulling) (Tanaka, 2010). (B) Diagrams summarizing the interaction between a single MT and two KTs [two pairs of sister KTs on the indicated centromeres (CENs)]. Two indicated CENs were under control of the GAL promoter and visualized as fluorescent dots; these were inactivated, and subsequently reactivated, as in Fig. S1A, to study their interaction with a MT in detail. After both CENs were loaded on the lateral surface of a single MT, they showed sliding along the MT. In some cases, one CEN underwent conversion into end-on attachment, was transported by end-on pulling, and subsequently came into contact with the other CEN. Then, after brief co-transport, the CEN originally proximal to the spindle pole showed detachment. Note that either or both CENs could reach a spindle pole from any of these stages without going through subsequent stages. (C) Representative example in which two KTs showed lateral sliding along a single MT. Cells (T6519) carry pDGAL-CEN3-tetOs (replacing CEN15 on chromosome XV) TeIR-3×CFP pDGAL-CEN3-lacO (replacing CEN3 on chromosome III) GFP-LacI YFP-TUB1 pMET3-CDC20, where tetOs are tetracycline operators, TeIR is the tetracycline repressor, lacOs are lactose operators, and LacI is the lactose repressor. The GFP and YFP signals were collected together (green) while CFP signals were acquired separately (red). These cells were treated as in Fig. S1A, i.e. were cultured overnight in methionine drop-out medium with raffinose, treated with a mating hormone for 4 h, cells were suspended in synthetic complete medium containing glucose and methionine (to reactivate pDGAL-CEN). After 10 min incubation, images were acquired every 20 s for 30 min. Time zero is set arbitrarily. Chr III, chromosome III; Chr XV, chromosome XV. The left panel shows a representative cell while the right shows the profile of KT movement, i.e. graphs of length of the MT that interacted with the two labeled CENs, and positions of those two CENs (distance from a spindle pole; dashed red and green lines represent CENs not on the MT, while solid red and green lines represent CENs on the MT). See Movie 1. T9717 cells (see D) showed similar results (Fig. S1B). (D) Representative example where a laterally attached KT showed detachment after coming into contact with an end-on attached KT. Cells (T9717) with the same genotype as T6519 (see C), except for carrying GFP-TUB1 instead of YFP-TUB1; were treated as in C, and images (GFP and CFP signals) were acquired every 13 s. The graph on right shows the MT length and CEN positions as in C. See Movie 2. Another example of KT detachment is shown in Fig. S1B.

Fig. 1C). Thus, a single MT can accommodate lateral attachment and allow sliding of two KTs.

In some cases, the centromere more distal to the spindle pole was subsequently tethered by end-on attachment to the plus end of a shrinking MT, and continued moving towards the spindle pole by end-on pulling as the MT shrunk (Fig. 1B, step 2; Fig. 1D, 143 s). Such end-on attached centromeres often caught up (came into contact) with the laterally attached more proximal centromere on the same MT (Fig. 1B, step 3; Fig. 1D, 195 s, ‘contact’). In this situation, both centromeres were co-transported poleward at the end of a shrinking MT, for a short distance, following such contact (Fig. 1D, 208 s). Subsequently, the proximal centromere, that had originally been laterally attached prior to the contact, became detached from the MT end (or its proximity), while the original distal centromere continued moving towards the pole by end-on pulling of the shrinking MT (Fig. 1D, 234 and 247 s). Another example of centromere detachment is shown in Fig. S1B. We observed 94 events, in which an end-on attached centromere came into contact with a laterally attached centromere. 28 events out of such 94 events led to centromere detachment. Crucially, in all centromere detachment events, the laterally attached, rather than the
detachment, based on our analogous observation of two pairs of sister KTs (Fig. 1B,D). In conclusion, if cohesion is lost, two separate sister KTs prevent each other from forming the end-on MT attachment.

The results so far suggest that there is a limited capacity of the KT to form sustained end-on attachment. In fact, once one KT (pair of sister KTs) forms an end-on attachment, another KT cannot form a sustained end-on attachment on the same MT, and any KT on the MT lateral surface shows detachment after it comes into contact with an end-on attached KT (Fig. 1B,D). The same thing happens if two sister KTs separate from each other due to a loss of cohesion, i.e. once one sister KT forms an end-on attachment, it prevents the other sister from making a sustained end-on attachment (Fig. S1E, right). One possible interpretation of the limited KT capacity for the attachment to the MT end is that, once a single KT attaches at the MT end, it takes up MT-binding sites with a strong affinity and thus sterically excludes other KTs from achieving a high-affinity stable attachment.

KT lateral sliding along a MT delays and diminishes discernible KT detachment caused by a contact with another KT at the MT end

As shown above, a KT on the MT lateral side shows detachment if it comes into contact with another KT that is attached to the end of the same MT. If such a detachment happens frequently, it could compromise efficient KT collection to the spindle. Thus, once a single KT attaches at the MT end, it takes up MT-binding sites with a strong affinity and thus sterically excludes other KTs from achieving a high-affinity stable attachment.

Fig. 2. When sister chromatid cohesion is lost, sister KTs exclude each other from sustained end-on MT attachment. (A) Diagrams summarizing the interaction of sister KTs with a single MT when cohesion is lost. When cohesion is lost and two sister KTs separate, a laterally attached sister KT detaches from the MT end after coming into contact with an end-on attached sister KT. (B) Representative example where sister KTs interact with a single MT after their cohesion is lost. This interaction was followed by detachment of one sister KT. Cells (T11941) with Scc1-AnchorAway P_GAL-CEN3-letO TetR-3×CFP GFP-TUB1 PMET3-CDC20 were treated as in Fig. 1D, except that rapamycin was added upon release from G1 arrest (to deplete Scc1). Images (GFP and CFP signals) were acquired every 10 s. The graph (right) shows the MT length and the position of CENs, as in Fig. 1C. Note that the spindle elongates after Scc1 depletion, although cells are arrested in metaphase (Tanaka et al., 2000). See Movie 3.

As expected, KT sliding was abolished after Kar3 depletion (Fig. S2A). In both Kar3-depleted and Kar3 wild-type cells, a laterally attached KT showed detachment after coming into contact with an end-on attached KT; Fig. 3A shows an example of a Kar3-depleted cell. The rate of KT detachment after the contact was similar in the two cells (Fig. S2B). We then analyzed the position (distance from a spindle pole) of (1) the initial KT capture by a MT, (2) an end-on attached KT coming into contact with a laterally attached KT, and (3) subsequent detachment of a laterally attached KT from the MT end (Fig. 3B). A KT was caught on the lateral side of a MT at similar distances from a spindle pole in both Kar3 wild-type and Kar3-depleted cells (Fig. 3C, left). However, in Kar3-depleted cells, end-on attached KTs came into contact with laterally attached KTs further away from a pole (Fig. 3C, middle). In these cells, the KT detachment was detected more frequently, and at a greater distance from the spindle pole, than in Kar3 wild-type cells (Fig. 3C, right, D). As we discussed in the first section, we reason that many KTs, detached in the immediate vicinity of a spindle pole might be indiscernible since they are often recaptured rapidly by MTs whose density is high in that region (Kitamura et al., 2010; Winey et al., 1995). It is therefore likely that, in Kar3-depleted cells, more KT detachments following contacts occur at a greater distance
Fig. 3. KT lateral sliding along a MT diminishes discernible KT detachment that occurs after coming into contact with an end-on attached KT. 

(A) Representative example of a Kar3-depleted cell where a laterally attached KT showed detachment after coming into contact with an end-on attached KT. Cells (T11469) carrying kar3-aid slk19-mini-aid TIR Pgal-CEN3-tetOs (replacing CEN15 on chromosome XV) TetR-3×CFP Pgal-CEN3-lacO (replacing CEN3 on chromosome III) GFP-LacI GFP-TUB1 PMET3-CDC20 were treated as in Fig. 1C, except that 1-naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) was added to deplete Kar3 and Slk19 when cells were released from G1 arrest. Images (GFP and CFP signals) were acquired every 18 s. The graph (right) shows the MT length and the position of CENs, as in Fig. 1C. See Movie 4. (B) Diagrams explaining analyses in C and D. ‘Original capture position’, ‘contact position’ and ‘detachment position’ were measured as shown here and plotted in C. Rectangles in color represent the categorized situations shown in D in the same color. (C) In the absence of KT sliding, contact between two CENs and subsequent detachment of the CEN happen further from a spindle pole. Graphs show the initial capture positions (distance from a spindle pole) for CENs that subsequently showed detachment (left, see B), positions of end-on attached CEN coming into contact with a laterally attached CEN (middle; see B) and the positions of CEN detachments (right; see B). T11469 cells (see A) and T11497 cells (KAR3+ slk19-mini-aid, otherwise the same as T11469 cells) were treated and images were acquired as in A. Graphs show individual data points and mean±s.e.m. P-values were obtained by H-test. (D) In the absence of KT sliding, CEN detachment is observed more frequently. Following the situation where two CENs formed a lateral attachment on the same MT, one of the following three events took place (see B): (1) both CENs reached a spindle pole without one coming into contact with the other (blue), (2) after one CEN formed end-on attachment, it came into contact with the other CEN and the two CENs were co-transported to the spindle pole (green), or (3) after one CEN formed end-on attachment, it came into contact with the laterally attached CEN, which subsequently detached from the MT end (orange). Images acquired in A were used for this analysis. The graph shows the percentage of each event. The P-value was obtained by use of a χ-squared test for trend (the order for the trend was blue, green and orange).
from a spindle pole, which would make discernible detachments more frequent. We conclude that KT lateral sliding along a MT towards a spindle pole delays and diminishes discernible KT detachment after an end-on attached KT comes into contact with a laterally attached KT.

**KT lateral sliding along a MT also delays and diminishes discernible KT detachment caused by a contact with another KT at the MT end in physiological conditions**

So far we have used the centromere reactivation assay to study how two KTs interact with the same single MT (Fig. S1A). We next addressed the same question in physiological conditions, without using the centromere reactivation assay and without using Slik19 depletion. In physiological conditions, KTs are attached to MTs during most of the cell cycle in budding yeast (Winny and O’Toole, 2001). However, upon centromere DNA replication KTs at least partially disassemble, leading to detachment of centromeres from MTs (Kitamura et al., 2007). Kinetochores are reassembled and interact with MTs again within 1–2 min, making initially lateral, and then end-on attachment (Kitamura et al., 2007).

We visualized one centromere and KTs, and analyzed the cases where the marked centromere and one KT (on another centromere) interacted with presumably the same MT (see Materials and Methods). We focused on the cases where the centromere was proximal, and the KT distal, to a spindle pole on the same MT (see Fig. 4A, 140–180 s). We chose such cases for our analyses because of the reasons explained in Fig. S3A. Figs S3B and Fig. 4A show examples of a Kar3 wild-type and Kar3-depleted cell, respectively. We confirmed that in Kar3-depleted cells the centromere did not show sliding to a spindle pole, as expected (Fig. S3C). After the KT on the MT end came into contact with the centromere (Fig. 4A, 190 s), the centromere detached from the MT end (220 s), which is similar to what we observed in the centromere reactivation assay. The rate of centromere detachment after the contact events was similar in Kar3 wild-type and Kar3-depleted cells (Fig. S3D). We then compared the position (distance from a spindle pole) of the centromere upon the following key events (Fig. 4B). In Kar3 wild-type and Kar3-depleted cells, the centromere was caught at similar distances from a spindle pole (Fig. 4C, left). However, in Kar3-depleted cells, laterally attached centromere came into contact with end-on attached KTs further away from a pole than in Kar3 wild-type cells (Fig. 4C, middle). Then, in Kar3-depleted cells, the centromere detachment following the contact happened more frequently and further from a pole (Fig. 4C right, D). As discussed in the previous section, we speculate that detachment of centromeres in the vicinity of a spindle pole might often be indiscernible because they are quickly recaptured by MTs whose density is high around a spindle pole. We conclude that, in physiological conditions, KT lateral sliding along a MT delays and diminishes discernible KT detachment caused by a contact with an end-on attached KT.

**Lateral KT sliding shortens the time required for collecting the complete set of KTs to a spindle pole by delaying KT detachments**

The detachment of laterally attached KTs, after coming into contact with end-on attached KTs, may delay collection of all KTs to a spindle pole, which could then compromise the fidelity of subsequent bi-orientation establishment (see Discussion). We next aimed to evaluate how the KT detachment affects overall KT collection to a spindle pole, but it was difficult to address this using live-cell imaging because we could not visualize all the KTs; the intensity of some KTs was too weak to observe (Kitamura et al., 2007). We therefore employed a mathematical simulation (see Materials and Methods). We simulated the following process (Fig. 5A): a yeast cell carries 16 chromosomes, and all 16 centromeres are tethered to short MTs (100–200 nm) in the vicinity of a spindle pole in G1 phase (Kitamura et al., 2010; O’Toole et al., 1999). Upon DNA replication, KTs disassemble and centromeres move away from a spindle pole (Kitamura et al., 2007). Within 1–2 min KTs reassemble, allowing centromeres to again interact with MTs, making lateral attachment initially and then end-on attachment. Subsequently, KTs slide along MTs and move further by end-on pulling towards a spindle pole. If an end-on KT comes into contact with a laterally attached KT on the same MT, the lateral KT shows detachment after KT co-transport for a short period (Fig. 5B), as we found in live cells, above. For the simulation, the average speed of KT displacement along a MT was estimated from the results of live-cell imaging in Fig. S4A, and other parameter values for MT dynamics and KT motions were obtained from previous studies (Gandhi et al., 2011; Kalinina et al., 2013; Kitamura et al., 2007, 2010; Tanaka et al., 2007).

Using this simulation, we ‘switched off’ the KT sliding and compared the outcome with that from the ‘wild-type’ condition in which KT sliding was normal. In the absence of sliding, we found that ‘discernible’ KT detachment happened more frequently and at a greater distance from a spindle pole, after coming into contact with an end-on attached KT (Fig. 5C; sliding plus and minus, compare blue and red). These results are consistent with the results of live-cell imaging in physiological conditions (see Fig. 4C,D). Note that, in the simulation, we defined ‘discernible’ KT detachment as a minimum of 30 s before subsequent recapture by a MT, since we could not detect recapture in less than 30 s by live-cell imaging. The simulation also largely recapitulates both the position and frequency of KT detachments in live-cell imaging (Fig. S4B,C). Next, we compared the total KT collection time, i.e. the time from the first centromere detachment from a spindle pole until the last centromere reached a pole and formed an end-on attachment. In the absence of sliding, the distribution of the total KT collection time was shifted towards the right (Fig. 5D; sliding plus and minus, compare blue and red). Thus, in the simulation, KT sliding enhances the efficiency of KT collection to a spindle pole and shortens total KT collection time.

KT sliding along a MT could shorten the total KT collection time either by bringing KTs more rapidly towards a spindle pole or by diminishing KT detachment (as a result of delaying contact between end-on and laterally attached KTs). To address which effect contributes most to shortening the total KT collection time, we analysed total KT collection time with the simulation after making KT detachment frequency without KT sliding similar to that with KT sliding. The KT detachment frequency became similar with and without KT sliding when the parameter value defining the KT detachment rate was reduced to 9.4% without KT sliding (Fig. 5C, compare green and blue). Intriguingly, when KT sliding was absent, the reduced detachment led to a shift of total KT collection time to the left (Fig. 5D, shift from red to green). This suggests that lateral KT sliding reduces total KT collection time by, at least partly, diminishing KT detachment. In comparison, diminishing KT detachment seems to contribute more to shortening total KT collection time (shift from red to green in Fig. 5D) than does bringing KTs more rapidly to a spindle pole (shift from green to blue in Fig. 5D). After frequent KT detachment in the absence of KT sliding, some detached centromeres require a long time for recapture, which leads to a prolonged total KT collection time.
In conclusion, the simulation suggests that the KT lateral sliding along MTs diminishes the KT detachment caused by contact with end-on attached KTs, and thus contributes to shortening total KT collection time.

**DISCUSSION**

Proper chromosome segregation in mitosis relies on chromosome bi-orientation, i.e. attachment of sister KTs to the ends of MTs extending from the opposite spindle poles (Kalantzaki et al., 2015).
How could KTs establish bi-orientation efficiently? A KT (pair of sister KTs) initially interacts with the MT lateral surface, which provides a much larger contact surface than does the MT end. This ensures an efficient encounter between the KT and a MT (Rieder and Alexander, 1990; Tanaka et al., 2005). The KT then needs (1) to establish attachment to the MT end (end-on attachment) and (2) to be transported to the vicinity of a spindle pole (KT collection) in budding yeast (where the bipolar spindle is subsequently formed) or to the center of the spindle in metazoan cells, where many MTs extend from both spindle poles at high density (Kitamura et al., 2007; Shrestha and Draviam, 2013; Tanaka et al., 2007). To do so, in principle the KT could establish end-on attachment first and then...
could be transported towards the spindle as the MT shrinks (end-on pulling). Indeed, this strategy could work well in a cell with only a small number of chromosomes. However, our results suggest that if cells have many chromosomes they need the second mechanism of KT transport for efficient KT collection. In fact, the MT end can accommodate only one KT (pair of sister KTs) for sustained end-on pulling, and if multiple KTs are on the same MT they detach from the MT end except for the first KT to form an end-on attachment (Fig. 1B,D). Frequent detachments prolong the time required for collection of the complete set of KTs (Fig. 5D). To reduce the frequency of detachments, a KT can be transported, by sliding, along a MT towards the spindle (or spindle pole) before the end of a shrinking MT (on which another KT could be attached) reaches it, i.e. the lateral sliding can delay and diminish discernible KT detachment. This explains why vertebrate cells and budding yeast are equipped with a mechanism for promoting KT sliding along a MT; i.e. in these cells, the MT minus-end-directed motors dynein and Kar3 (kinesin-14), localize at KTs and drive KT sliding (Tanaka et al., 2007; Vorozhko et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2007).

Human cells and the budding yeast S. cerevisiae (diploid in the natural environment) carry 46 and 32 chromosomes, respectively. However, in cells with far fewer chromosomes, KT detachments would be rare even without KT sliding. Therefore, if the major role of KT sliding was indeed to diminish KT detachments, we would postulate that KTs might not undergo sliding along a MT in cells with fewer chromosomes. Fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe (haploid in the natural environment) carries only three chromosomes, and this organism notably lacks a mechanism of KT sliding along a MT (Franco et al., 2007; Grishchuk and McIntosh, 2006). In S. pombe, the kinesin-14 member Klp2 still localizes at KTs (Gachet et al., 2008; Grishchuk and McIntosh, 2006), but may have lost the ability to drive KT sliding along a MT while the number of chromosomes was reduced during the evolution of S. pombe (Dujon, 2010). It will be intriguing to address whether KT sliding along a MT is present or absent in more organisms carrying a variety of numbers of chromosomes.

Meanwhile, in vertebrate cells, it is still unclear how frequently two or more kinetochore may attach to one MT in early mitosis and how frequently an end-on attached KT comes into contact with a laterally attached KT. In any case, vertebrate KTs are larger than budding yeast KTs and may show a greater steric exclusion once end-on attachment has been established. For example, an end-on attached KT may more readily exclude a laterally attached KT when they come into contact in vertebrate cells, leading to a quicker detachment (i.e. after a shorter period of co-transport) of the laterally attached KT than in budding yeast. Nonetheless, dynein can drive KT sliding at a higher speed in vertebrate cells than does Kar3 (Tanaka et al., 2007; Vorozhko et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2007); thus discernible KT detachment might be more effectively diminished in vertebrate cells. How vertebrate cells mitigate problems associated with multiple KTs on one MT in early mitosis is an important research topic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
You can strains and cell culture
The background of yeast strains (W303) and the methods for yeast culture have been described previously (Amberg et al., 2005; Tanaka et al., 2007). The genotypes of strains used in this study are shown in Table S1. To synchronize cells in the cell cycle, yeast cells were arrested in G1 phase by treatment with yeast mating pheromone (α- or a-factor) and subsequently released into fresh medium (Amberg et al., 2005; O’Reilly et al., 2012). The a-factor was synthesized as reported previously (O’Reilly et al., 2012). Cells were cultured at 25°C in YPA medium containing 2% glucose (YPAD) unless otherwise stated. To activate the GAL promoter, cells were preincubated in medium containing 2% raffinose (without glucose) for at least 3 h, and subsequently incubated in medium containing both 2% galactose and 2% raffinose. Cells were incubated in medium containing 2% glucose to suppress the GAL promoter (without subsequent activation). The MET3 promoter was activated by incubation of cells in methionine drop-out medium, and suppressed by adding 2 mM methionine to the relevant media.

Constructs CEN15-tetOs, CEN3-tetOs (Tanaka et al., 2000), Pgal-CEN3-tetOs (Hill and Bloom, 1987; Michaelis et al., 1997; Tanaka et al., 2005), TetR-3×CFP (Bressan et al., 2004; Michaelis et al., 1997), Pmet-CDC20 (Uhlmann et al., 2000), GFP-TUB1 (Straight et al., 1997), were as described previously. Pgal-CEN3-lacOs was constructed similarly to Pgal-CEN3-tetOs (to replace CEN3 on chromosome III) but designed to replace CEN15 on chromosome XV; this was visualized with GFP-LacI that bound lacOs (Straight et al., 1996). The pDH20 plasmid containing YFP-TUB1 was obtained from Yeast Resource Center (Seattle). The NDC80 and MTW1 genes were tagged with 4×mCherry at their C-terminus at their original gene loci by a one-step PCR using a 4×mCherry cassette (pT909) as a PCR template (Maure et al., 2011).

Centromere reactivation assay
To analyze individual KT–MT interactions in detail, the centromere reactivation assay was used (Tanaka et al., 2010, 2005). In this assay, KT assembly was delayed on a chosen centromere (CEN3-tetOs or -lacOs, replacing CEN3 on chromosome III and/or CEN15 on chromosome XV) by inducing transcription from the GAL promoter. This increased the distance between the centromere and the mitotic spindle, allowing detailed observation of KT–MT interactions after inducing KT assembly on the centromere by turning off the GAL-promoter in metaphase arrested cells (Fig. S1A). Cells with Pgal-CEN3-tetOs (or -lacOs) Pmet-CDC20 (see full genotypes in Table S1) were cultured as explained in the legend of Fig. 1C.

Analysis of KT–MT interaction in physiological conditions
In our study of the initial KT–MT interaction in physiological conditions (Fig. 4), we visualized one centromere and KTs because of the technical reasons explained in Fig. S3A. We analyzed the cases where the centromere and one KT (on another centromere) were on the same line of a MT signal (whose intensity is uniform along the line) extending from a spindle pole. In these cases, we reasoned that the visualized centromere and the KT of our interest are on the same MT, at least in the majority of the cases (even if not all cases). Supporting this notion, end-on pulling showed a higher velocity than did lateral sliding (Fig. S3C), as found in the centromere reactivation assay (Fig. S1C), where a single MT is more easily discernible; we would not expect this result if we often failed to discern single MTs and thus often mixed up end-on pulling with the lateral sliding.

Depletion of Scc1, Kar3 and Slk19
To deplete Scc1 protein, an anchor away system was used (Haruki et al., 2008); this consists of SCCC1–FRB (C-terminal tag at the original SCCC1 locus), RPL13A-2×FKBP12, TOR1-1 and fpr1Δ. In the presence of rapamycin (10 µM), Scc1 protein bound Rpl13A ribosomal protein due to the FRB–FKBP12 interaction, which leads to depletion of Scc1 in the nucleus. To deplete Kar3 and Slk19, KAR3 and SLK19 were tagged with aid and mini-aid tags (auxin-inducible degron tags), respectively, at their C-termini at original loci in a strain carrying the rice F-box gene TIR1 (Kubota et al., 2013; Nishimura et al., 2009). In the presence of the auxin naphthaleneacetic acid (NAAc; 1 mM), aid-tagged proteins bind TIR1, leading to their ubiquitination and degradation.

Live-cell imaging and image analyses
The procedures for time-lapse fluorescence microscopy were as described previously (Tanaka et al., 2010). Time-lapse images were collected at 25°C. Images were acquired using a DeltaVision Core or Elite microscope (Applied Precision), an UPlanSApo 100× objective lens (Olympus; NA 1.40), SoftWoRx software (Applied Precision) and a CoolSnap HQ camera (Photometrics). We acquired 7–9 (0.7 μm apart) z-sections, which were
established end-on attachment. On pulling if end-on attachment was subsequently established. The coefficient analyses were carried out using Prism (Graph Pad) software. Immediately when an end-on pulled KT reached the centromere. Then, the KT was caught at the end of this MT; no further change occurred. Linear diffusion with a coefficient $D$ was established around the spindle pole. Each MT was a line segment extending into the nucleus from the spindle pole. Each KT was a point inside the nucleus. MTs could grow and shrink with speed $v_{gt}$ and $v_{mt}$, respectively. Parameters defining MT dynamics, such as the initial MT number ($n_{mt}$), MT catastrophe rate ($K_{cata}$) and MT beaming factor $\beta$, were set as in Gandhi et al. (2011). When a growing MT hit the nuclear envelope, it started to shrink. When an empty MT shrank to $r_{ex}$, it could start growing at a certain nucleation rate $K_{nuc}$ unless there were KTs waiting at $r_{ex}$ in which case the MT captured the KT and showed no further change. The MTs also experienced ‘pivoting’, which was modeled by angular random walk with the diffusion coefficient $D_{ANG}$ (Brun, 2011; Kalinina et al., 2013). Stu2 was a MT polymerase that causes MT rescue (Gandhi et al., 2011) and its properties [Stu2 sending rate ($K_{smt}$), Stu2 speed ($v_{stmu}$), probability of MT rescue ($P_r$)] and KT rescue delay ($t_d$) were defined as in Gandhi et al. (2011). Time 0 was defined as the mean time of replication of the first centromere ($CEN2$) (Vasileva et al., 2017). When replicated, a centromere detached from a pole and could move freely by a random walk with diffusion coefficient $D$. After a delay ($t_{det}$), a KT was reassembled at the position of the centromere.

KTs also moved inside the nucleus (but not within the exclusion radius) with a diffusion coefficient $D$. Once attached to a MT, a KT moved laterally along a MT towards the spindle pole or was pulled by the distal end of the MT with speed $v_{kt}$ or $v_{pt}$, respectively. Sliding motion was varied by a linear diffusion with a coefficient $D_{lat}$. When a sliding KT reached the exclusion radius $r_{ex}$, it remained there until an empty MT shrank to $r_{ex}$. Then, the KT was caught at the end of this MT; no further change occurred to such a KT and MT, apart from MT pivoting. The same happened immediately when an end-on pulled KT reached $r_{ex}$. The interaction between KT-generated and spindle MTs was simplified by assuming a certain capture radius, $R_{CT}$, around each KT. If a KT was found at a distance $R_{CT}$ from any part of a spindle MT, the KT-derived MT connected to this spindle MT over the shortest distance and brought the KT towards the spindle MT, usually on its lateral side, at a speed $v_{cap}$. Once capture was completed, the KT began sliding, which was converted to end-on pulling if end-on attachment was subsequently established. The simulation was completed once all 16 reassembled KTs reached $r_{ex}$ and established end-on attachment. If an end-on pulled KT came into contact with another KT that was sliding along the same MT, they went into ‘co-transport’ mode. Both KTs traveled together at speed of $v_{ran}$ while the sliding KT could detach (detachment) at a rate $K_{det}$. In rare events where an end-on pulled KT came into contact with two (or more) other KTs on the lateral side of the same MT, $K_{det}$ was applied separately for the two others. We assumed that the detached KT was not able to re-attach to a MT until MTs grew from the KT and reached the length $R_{CT}$; i.e. for $R_{CT}/v_{cap}$ (in minutes) [(KT-derived MTs showed a similar growth rate to spindle MTs; Kitamura et al., 2010). The code for the simulation was written in Perl and simulations were run in a Linux environment. We ran 100,000 individual simulations in each condition. Detachments were counted and analyzed only if it took more than 0.5 min for detached KTs to be recaptured by a MT extending from a spindle pole; this is because KT detachment times of less than 0.5 min were difficult to recognize in live-cell imaging. To switch off KT sliding, the average KT displacement speed (which is normally 0.6 $\mu$m/min) was set at 0. To reduce the KT detachment frequency to 9.4%, the KT detachment rate (which is normally 4.8/ $\mu$m) was reduced to 0.45/ $\mu$m.
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**Figure S1. Supplemental Figure associated with Figures 1 and 2**

A) Engineered assay system to analyze individual KT–MT interactions with high spatial resolution in budding yeast (Tanaka et al., 2005). CFP: cyan fluorescent protein. GFP: green fluorescent protein.

B) Another representative example (in addition to Figure 1D) where an originally laterally attached KT showed detachment from the MT end after coming into contact with an end-on attached KT. T9717 cells (see Figure 1D) were treated as in Figure 1C. Images and graphs are shown as in Figure 1C. Note that the weak green signal at CEN on chromosome XV at 325 s and 546 s likely comes from KT-associated MTs (Kitamura et al., 2010).

C) Diagram on left shows three KT transport modes (lateral sliding, end-on pulling and co-transport). Graph on right shows KT transport speed with the three transport modes. T9717 cells (see Figure 1D) were treated as in Figure 1C. In each mode of transport, the transport speed of \( P_{\text{GAL}-\text{CEN3}} \) on chromosome XV (tetO fluorescent dot) was measured. Graphs show individual data points and mean ± SEM. The speed of the lateral sliding along a MT was evaluated when \( \text{CEN} \) moved continuously for 1 µm or more in one direction. The sliding speed of \( P_{\text{GAL}-\text{CEN3}} \) on chromosome XV was very similar in the presence and absence of \( P_{\text{GAL}-\text{CEN3}} \) on chromosome III (lacO fluorescent dot) on the lateral side of the same MT.

D) Detachment of \( \text{CEN} \) from the MT end occurs at an approximately constant rate during co-transports of two \( \text{CENs} \) following their contact (refer to the diagram in Figure 1B). The images collected for Figure 1D were analyzed further. The graph shows how the percentage of co-transports of \( \text{CENs} \) decreases as the co-transport proceeds and detachment of one \( \text{CEN} \) takes place. The data points in blue show the measured percentage, the error bars represent standard errors of proportions, and a red line shows a regression curve (a simple exponential decay curve). The percentage of remaining co-transports (in which detachment of one \( \text{CEN} \) has not occurred yet) declines approximately following a simple exponential decay curve. This suggests that detachment of one \( \text{CEN} \) happens approximately at a constant rate (per length of a co-transport).

E) Diagrams show models about how an end-on attached KT excludes a laterally attached KT from forming the end-on attachment and causes its detachment from the MT. On the left, two pairs of sister KTs are on one MT. One forms the end-on attachment, while the other interacts with the MT lateral side, close to the MT end, and subsequently detaches from the MT. We speculate that, while one sister KT attaches to the MT end, the other sister is not involved in MT attachment, since one sister KT is sufficient to form ‘exclusive’ end on attachment (see right; Figure 2). On the right, two sister KTs, which are separate from each other due to a loss of cohesion, interact with one MT. One sister forms the end-on attachment, while the other sister interacts with the MT lateral side (close to the MT end) and subsequently detaches from the MT. The Ndc80 and Dam1 complexes of KTs interact with each other to configure end-on attachment, (Gonen et al., 2012; Kalantzaki et al., 2015; Lampert et al., 2010; Maure et al., 2011; Tien et al., 2010) while the Ndc80, but not the Dam1 complex, are involved in lateral KT–MT interaction (Kalantzaki et al., 2015; Tanaka et al., 2007). The diagrams show speculative configuration of these complexes. The Ndc80 complexes on each sister KT are highlighted in different colors.
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Figure S2. Supplemental Figure associated with Figure 3

A) Kar3 promotes KT sliding along a MT, similarly in the presence and absence of Slk19. To address the effects of KT sliding along a MT on the positions and frequency of KT detachments, we needed to analyze KT behavior in several samples in the presence and absence of Kar3, where two CENs (two pairs of sister CENs), not associated with each other, were caught separately on the MT lateral side. However, contrary to our requirements, two CENs were often associated with each other, prior to the MT interaction after metaphase arrest. Such association was observed after cells were arrested in mitosis (e.g. with nocodazole (Richmond et al., 2013) or Cdc20 depletion), but not observed in physiological conditions. Association between multiple CENs is dependent on Slk19 when cells are arrested in mitosis (Richmond et al., 2013). Therefore, to ensure the two reactivated CENs separate from each other, we depleted Slk19 and compared the behaviors of CENs in Kar3 wild type and Kar3-depleted (or kar3Δ) cells. KAR3+ (T9717) and kar3Δ (T10013) cells with SLK19+ P_GAL-CEN3-tetOs (replacing CEN15) TetR-3×CFP P_GAL-CEN3-lacO (replacing CEN3) GFP-LacI GFP-TUB1 PMET3-CDC20 were treated as in Figure 1C, and images (CFP and GFP signals) were acquired every 13 sec. Meanwhile, KAR3+ (T11497) and kar3-aid (T11469) cells with slk19-mini-aid TIR1 P_GAL-CEN3-tetOs (replacing CEN15) TetR-3×CFP P_GAL-CEN3-lacO (replacing CEN3) GFP-LacI-GFP GFP-TUB1 PMET3-CDC20 were treated as in Figure 3A, and images (CFP and GFP signals) were acquired every 18 sec. The speed of the CEN3-tetOs motion along a MT was evaluated i) when it moved continuously for 1 µm or more in one direction, or ii) when it was present on a MT lateral side (without reaching a spindle or being converted to end-on attachment) for 1 min or longer. Graphs show individual data points and mean ± SEM. The results suggest that Kar3 facilitates KT sliding along a MT towards a spindle pole, to a similar extent with and without Slk19.

B) Detachment of CEN from the MT end occurs at a similar rate in Kar3 wild-type and Kar3-depleted cells, during co-transport of two CENs following their contact (refer to the diagram in Figure 1B). The images collected for Figure 3 were analyzed further. The graph shows how the percentage of co-transporters of CENs decreases as the co-transport proceeds and detachment of one CEN takes place. The measured percentage is shown for Kar3 wild-type (red squares) and Kar3-depleted (blue triangles) cells and the error bars represent standard errors of proportions. The percentage of remaining co-transporters (in which detachment of one CEN has not occurred yet) declines similarly between Kar3 wild-type and Kar3-depleted cells, suggesting that the rate of CEN detachment (per length of a co-transport) is similar between the two cells. The percentage also declines approximately following a simple exponential decay curve (black line), suggesting that detachment of one CEN happens approximately at a constant rate.
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Figure S3. Supplemental Figure associated with Figure 4

A) The reason for visualizing one CEN and KTs to analyze KT detachments in physiological conditions. To analyze the outcome of two KTs interacting with a single MT (e.g. KT detachment), we initially visualized two CENs in physiological conditions. In contrast to cells arrested in mitosis (see the legend for Figure S2A), two CENs did not associate with each other before they interacted with MTs. However, two CENs rarely interacted with the same MT. Second, we visualized KTs and, in some cases, one KT seemed to detach after a laterally-attached KT came into contact with a KT at the MT end (A, top). However, it was not easy to discern a KT detachment, because it was possible that newly formed KTs were interpreted incorrectly as detached KTs. To overcome this problem, we visualized one CEN and KTs, and analyzed the cases where the CEN and one KT (on another CEN) interacted with, presumably, a single MT (A, bottom; CEN closer to a spindle pole and the KT further from it). In this condition, we could clearly discern detachment of the CEN.

B) A representative example of CEN5 detachment (following contact with an end-on attached KT [not on CEN5]) in a Kar3 wild-type cell with physiological condition. T11435 cells (see the legend for Figure 4C) were treated and images were acquired as in Figure 4A.

C) The speed of KT end-on pulling, sliding, and co-transport in physiological conditions. T11435 cells (see Figure 4C) were treated, and images were acquired, as in Figure 4C. The speed of CEN5 motion in each mode was measured. To measure the co-transport speed, we chose samples where CEN5 on the MT lateral side came into contact with a KT (on another CEN) at the end of, presumably, the same MT. Graphs show individual data points and mean ± SEM.

D) Detachment of CEN from the MT end occurs at a similar rate in Kar3 wild-type and Kar3-depleted cells, during co-transports of CEN5 and a KT (at a different CEN) following their contact (refer to the diagram in Figure 4B), in physiological conditions. The images collected for Figure 4 were analyzed further. The graph shows how the percentage of co-transports of CEN5 and a KT decreases as the co-transport proceeds and detachment of CEN5 takes place. The measured percentage is shown for Kar3 wild-type (red squares) and Kar3-depleted (blue triangles) cells and the error bars represent standard errors of proportions. The percentage of remaining co-transports (in which detachment of CEN5 has not occurred yet) declines similarly between Kar3 wild-type and Kar3-depleted cells, suggesting that the rate of CEN5 detachment (per length of a co-transport) is similar between the two cells. The percentage also declines approximately following a simple exponential decay curve (black line), suggesting that detachment of one CEN happens approximately at a constant rate. Note that the rate of KT detachment is higher in physiological conditions (this figure) than in the centromere re-activation assay (Figure S2B). The reason for this difference is unclear. However, the number of molecules of KT components is higher with the centromere-reactivation assay, compared in physiological conditions, when KTs initially interact with MTs (Kitamura et al., 2007). A higher number of KT components (such as the Ndc80 complex) may allow a laterally attached KT to stay in the vicinity of the MT end (which is occupied by an end-on attached KT) for a longer period.
**Slide1:**

- **A:**
  - Graph: Shows CEN5 displacement KA3+ (n=15) and normalized frequency over time.
  - Description: The graph displays movements towards and away from the spindle pole.

- **B:**
  - Graph: Position of detachment (Simulation).
  - Description: The graph shows normalized frequency of detachment positions.

- **C:**
  - Graph: Live cell imaging vs Simulation.
  - Description: The graph compares live cell imaging with simulation, showing differences in detachment rates.

- **D:**
  - Graph: Number of simulations x10^3.
  - Description: The graph illustrates the number of simulations for different detachment scenarios.

**Slide2:**

- **E:**
  - Graph: Sliding with reduced detachment (9.4%).
  - Description: The graph shows an increased KT collection time for cells with reduced detachment.

- **F:**
  - Graph: Sliding with without reduced detachment.
  - Description: The graph compares KT collection times for cells with and without reduced detachment.

- **G:**
  - Graph: Sliding with reduced detachment (9.4%).
  - Description: The graph further illustrates the impact of reduced detachment on KT collection times.

**Summary:**

- The data shows a significant reduction in detachment with reduced Kar3.
- Sliding simulations reveal increased KT collection times post-detachment.
- Live cell imaging confirms these findings with a higher frequency of KT detachment.
- The normalized frequency graphs highlight the distribution of detachment positions and their relationship to spindle pole position.
Figure S4. Supplemental Figure associated with Figure 5

A) Quantifying the average speed of KT displacement along a MT. Cells (T7470) with CEN5-tetOs TetR-3xCFP GFP-TUB1 was treated and images were acquired as in Figure 4A except that NAA was not added. Graph on left shows the position of CEN5 for a short period, during which it was on the MT lateral side but not at the MT plus end. Each line represents a time course trajectory of CEN5 along a MT in an individual cell. On x-axis and y-axis, zero represents the time and position (along a MT), respectively, of the initial CEN5 capture by the MT lateral side. Plus values on y-axis represent CEN5 displacement towards a spindle pole. Graph on right shows the displacement of CEN5 from its original position along a MT, averaged among different cells shown in the left graph, while CEN5 is on the MT lateral side. Error bars show standard errors of means. We interpret that CEN5 motion is relatively slow during 0–40 seconds, compared with a later period. We reason that, in some cells, CEN5 may not be physically on a MT during this period (note that an overlap of a CEN5 signal with a MT signal does not necessarily mean physical CEN5-MT interaction because of limited spatial resolution in light microscopy). Thus we analyzed the average CEN5 motion during 40–120 seconds, and estimated that the average speed of CEN5 displacement was 0.6 µm/min towards a spindle pole along a MT. Note that the speed of KT lateral sliding in Figures S1C, S2A and S3C shows the speed when KT was moving continuously (see details in legends of Figures S1C, S2A and S3C). However a KT on the MT lateral side may also show short pausing and a brief motion away from a pole (see graph on left, this figure). Graph on right of this figure shows the average speed of KT displacement, including short pausing and brief motion away from a spindle pole. Therefore the average KT displacement speed measured in this figure is smaller than the average KT sliding speed in Figure S3C.

B) Positions of CEN5 detachments distribute similarly in live-cell imaging and in simulation. Left graph shows CEN5 detachment positions with KT sliding (KAR3+ wild-type in live-cell imaging), while right graph shows those without KT sliding (Kar3 depletion in live cell imaging). In both graphs, green line shows results from live cell imaging (Figure 4C, right) and blue line shows results from simulation. X-axis shows distance of CEN5 detachments from a spindle pole (categorized in bins; 0.1875 and 0.06 µm interval for green and blue, respectively), while y axis- shows normalized frequency. Gray bars show standard errors of proportion in live-cell imaging results.

C) Frequency of CEN5 detachments (orange) is similar in live-cell imaging (left) and in simulation (right). Graphs show how CEN5 reaches a spindle pole or shows detachment, after CEN5 and another KT are caught on the same MT. For live-cell imaging data, graphs in Figure 4D are copied here for comparison. Three categories are explained in Figure 4D legend (refer to Figure 4B). Frequency of the three categories was also obtained in 100,000 simulations with and without KT sliding. Note that the sample number (n number) is larger with Kar3 wild-type than with Kar3 depletion in live-cell imaging whereas it is larger without sliding than with sliding in simulation; this is because a larger number of Kar3 wild-type cells were observed in live-cell imaging in order to analyze a sufficient number of detachments with Kar3 wild-type.

D) Maximum KT initial free time and maximum KT free time after detachment. We have analyzed a maximum time (among 16 KTs) of KT being active but left unattached to a MT after moving away from a spindle pole following centromere replication (Max. KT initial free time; left) and a maximum time (among all detachment events in each simulation) of KT being left unattached to a MT following detachment after contact of two KTs on the same MT (Max. KT free time after detachment; right), in the three conditions analyzed in Figure 5C and D. The graphs show the numbers of simulations (y-axis) with these maximum times (x-axis), categorized in each bin (0.32 min interval).

E–G) The behavior of the last KT in individual simulations has been analyzed. How do
the diminished detachments, following contact of two KTs on the same MT, shorten the total KT collection time? In other words, how do more frequent KT detachments lead to a longer total KT collection time? To address this, we investigated the last KT that reached the vicinity of a spindle pole (and formed end-on attachment to a short MT there), as it determines the total KT collection time in each simulation. More specifically, we addressed whether the last KT spent a long time in the following process: 1) being active but left unattached to a MT after moving away from a spindle pole following centromere replication (KT initial free time); 2) being left unattached to a MT following an detachment after contact of two KTs (KT free time after detachment); and/or 3) being on the MT lateral side (lateral attachment time). We compared the amount of time spent by each KT in 1), 2) and 3) among all KTs or among all relevant events in each simulation, and identified the KT that spent the longest time in each process. Then individual simulations were categorized into three subgroups, in which the last KT was identical to the KT that spent the longest time in 1), 2) and 3); i.e. the last KT showed ‘max. KT initial free time’, ‘max. KT free time after detachment’ and ‘max. lateral attachment time’ (if a simulation belonged to two or three subgroups, it was not included in further analyses). In each subgroup, we plotted total KT collection time against ‘max KT initial free time’ and ‘max KT free time after detachment’ in individual simulations (if no KT showed detachment in a simulation, that simulation was not included in plotting against ‘max KT free time after detachment’). This analysis was carried out with the three conditions analyzed in Figure 5C and D, and the results are shown in A, B and C. In each graph, red and green represents high and low density of samples, respectively; a dashed line shows the line x=y; a black line represents a loess curve, which shows locally weighted polynomial regression that smooths y values against a local change along x-axis; a R squared is a coefficient of determination showing how well data points follow the loess curve. We interpret that, when total KT collection time showed high correlation with ‘max. KT initial free time’ or ‘max. KT free time after detachment’, the total KT collection time was determined by such maximum time. When KT sliding was present (A), the majority of the last KT showed ‘max. KT initial free time’, determining total KT collection time. When KT sliding was switched off (B), there was a substantial increase in the population (from 4795 to 17597), in which the last KT experienced ‘max. KT free time after detachment’, determining total KT collection time (B, bottom right). When detachment frequency was reduced to 9.4 % in the absence of KT sliding (C), this population was reduced to a level (6133) close to that with KT sliding (4795). Overall these results suggest that, after frequent KT detachments (following contact of two KTs) in the absence of KT sliding, some detached KTs spent a long time before being recaptured by a MT and became the last KT reaching a spindle pole, which prolonged total KT collection time.
### Table S1. Yeast strains used in this study

The table shows genotypes of yeast strains used in this study. All strains used in this study are derivatives of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* W303 (K699 and K700 from Kim Nasmyth lab).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T6519</td>
<td>MATα alpha P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CEN3-lacOc::URA3 his3::GFP-lac::HIS3 cen15Δ::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CEN3-tetOc::URA3 ade1::TetR-3xCFP::hphMX trp1::P&lt;sub&gt;top&lt;/sub&gt;-YFP-TUB1::TRP1 P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CDC20::TRP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7470</td>
<td>MATα CEN5::tetOc::HIS3 leu2::TetR-3xCFP::hphMX his3::GFP-lac::URA3 ade1::TetR-3xCFP::hphMX trp1::GFP-TUB1::TRP1 P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CDC20::TRP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9717</td>
<td>MATα P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CEN3-lacOc::URA3 his3::GFP-lac::HIS3 cen15Δ::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CEN3-tetOc::URA3 ade1::TetR-3xCFP::hphMX trp1::GFP-TUB1::TRP1 P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CDC20::TRP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10013</td>
<td>MATα kanΔ::kanMX P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CEN3-lacOc::URA3 his3::GFP-lac::HIS3 cen15Δ::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CEN3-tetOc::URA3 ade1::TetR-3xCFP::hphMX trp1::GFP-TUB1::TRP1 P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CDC20::TRP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10546</td>
<td>MATα cen15Δ::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CEN3-tetOc::URA3 ade1::TetR-3xCFP::hphMX his3::GFP-TUB1::HIS3 RPL13A-2xFKBP12::TRP1 TOR1-1 fpr1Δ::natMX4 P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CDC20::TRP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11434</td>
<td>MATα KAR3-αid::natNT2 ura3::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-TIR1::URA3 MTW1-4xmCherry::natM6 CEN5::tetOc::HIS3 leu2::TetR-GFP::LEU2 Ndc80-4mCherry::NatMX6 his3::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-GFP-TUB1::HIS3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11435</td>
<td>MATα ura3::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-TIR1::URA3 MTW1-4xmCherry::natM6 Ndc80-4mCherry::natM6 CEN5::tetOc::HIS3 leu2::TetR-GFP::LEU2 his3::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-GFP-TUB1::HIS3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11469</td>
<td>MATα KAR3-αid::natNT2 SLK19-αid::kanMX ura3::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-TIR1::URA3 P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CEN3-lacOc::URA3 his3::GFP-lac::HIS3 cen15Δ::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CEN3-tetOc::URA3 ade1::TetR-3xCFP::hphMX trp1::GFP-TUB1::TRP1 P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CDC20::TRP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11497</td>
<td>MATα SLK19-αid::kanMX ura3::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-TIR1::URA3 P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CEN3-lacOc::URA3 his3::GFP-lac::HIS3 cen15Δ::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CEN3-tetOc::URA3 ade1::TetR-3xCFP::hphMX trp1::GFP-TUB1::TRP1 P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CDC20::TRP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11941</td>
<td>MATα cen15Δ::P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CEN3-tetOc::URA3 ade1::TetR-3xCFP::hphMX his3::GFP-TUB1::HIS3 leu2::GFP-TUB1::LEU2 SCC1-FRB::kanMX6 RPL13A-2xFKBP12::TRP1 TOR1-1 fpr1Δ::natMX4 P&lt;sub&gt;ααα&lt;/sub&gt;-CDC20::TRP1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2. Parameters and their values used in simulation

The table shows parameters and their values used in the computer simulation shown in Figures 5 and S4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Source of the value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time step</td>
<td>$\Delta t$</td>
<td>0.001 min</td>
<td>A reasonably small value was chosen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of the nucleus</td>
<td>$R_{nuc}$</td>
<td>1.25 µm</td>
<td>Natsume et al., 2013 (visualization of the nuclear envelope)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial MT number</td>
<td>$n_{MT}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Based on Fig S1E etc. Kitamura et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion radius</td>
<td>$r_{ex}$</td>
<td>0.2 µm</td>
<td>Based on Fig S1E etc. Kitamura et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT growth speed</td>
<td>$v_{gro}$</td>
<td>1.5 µm min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Fig 3b, Tanaka et al. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT shrinkage speed</td>
<td>$v_{shr}$</td>
<td>2.8 µm min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Fig 3b, Tanaka et al. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT catastrophe rate</td>
<td>$K_{cat}$</td>
<td>0.6 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Gandhi et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT nucleation rate</td>
<td>$K_{nuc}$</td>
<td>1 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Based on Fig S1E etc. Kitamura et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT beaming factor</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Based on Fig S1E etc. Kitamura et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT angular diffusion coefficient</td>
<td>$D_{MT}$</td>
<td>0.03 rad$^2$ min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Based on Kalinina et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion coefficient</td>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>0.1 µm$^2$ min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Fig S1, Kitamura et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT activation delay</td>
<td>$t_{del}$</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>Gandhi et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT lateral displacement speed</td>
<td>$v_{lat}$</td>
<td>0.6 µm min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT lateral diffusion coefficient</td>
<td>$D_{lat}$</td>
<td>0.1 µm$^2$ min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Fig 3, Tanaka et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT detachment rate</td>
<td>$K_{evl}$</td>
<td>4.8 µm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT end-on pulling speed</td>
<td>$v_{pul}$</td>
<td>1.7 µm min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Fig 2D, Tanaka et al. 2007 &amp; Fig 7C, Kitamura et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT slow end-on pulling speed</td>
<td>$v_{spul}$</td>
<td>0.35 µm min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Gandhi et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT co-transport speed</td>
<td>$v_{tran}$</td>
<td>1.4 µm min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT rescue delay</td>
<td>$t_d$</td>
<td>8 sec</td>
<td>Gandhi et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stu2 sending rate</td>
<td>$K_{stu2}$</td>
<td>0.1 min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Gandhi et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stu2 speed</td>
<td>$v_{stu2}$</td>
<td>2.1 µm min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Fig S9, Tanaka et al. 2005 &amp; Gandhi et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT capture radius</td>
<td>$R_{KT}$</td>
<td>0.4 µm</td>
<td>Fig 6A, S6A Kitamura et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT capture speed</td>
<td>$v_{cap}$</td>
<td>5 µm min$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Fig S1C, Kitamura et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of MT rescue at the KT</td>
<td>$P_{res}$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Fig 4B, Tanaka et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Supplemental Movies**

**Movie 1.** A representative example is shown in which two CENs (two pairs of sister CENs) showed lateral sliding along a single MT (associated with Figure 1C). The procedure of the experiment is explained in the legend for Figure 1C. Green shows the spindle, MTs and CEN on chromosome III while red shows CEN on chromosome XV. The interval of frames is 20 sec, and 5 frames are shown per second in the movie.
Movie 2. A representative example is shown in which a laterally attached CEN showed detachment after coming into contact with an end-on attached CEN (associated with Figure 1D). The procedure of the experiment is explained in the legend for Figure 1D. Green shows the spindle, MTs and CEN on chromosome III while red shows CEN on chromosome XV. The interval of frames is 13 sec, and 5 frames are shown per second in the movie.
**Movie 3.** A representative example is shown in which sister CENs interact with a single MT after their cohesion is lost (associated with Figure 2B). The procedure of the experiment is explained in the legend for Figure 2B. Green shows the spindle and MTs while red shows CEN on chromosome XV. The interval of frames is 10 sec, and 5 frames are shown per second in the movie.
**Movie 4.** A representative example of a Kar3-depleted cell in which a laterally attached CEN showed detachment after coming into contact with an end-on attached CEN (associated with Figure 3A). The procedure of the experiment is explained in the legend for Figure 3A. Green shows the spindle, MTs and CEN on chromosome III while red shows CEN on chromosome XV. The interval of frames is 18 sec, and 5 frames are shown per second in the movie.
Movie 5. A representative example of CEN detachment is shown in physiological condition (associated with Figure 4A). The procedure of the experiment is explained in the legend for Figure 4A. Green shows the spindle, MTs and CEN5 while red shows KTs. The interval of frames is 10 sec, and 5 frames are shown per second in the movie. Note that, after showing detachment at 210 s, CEN5 was out of focus during 230-310 s, during which CEN5 distance from a spindle pole was measured using out-of-focus CEN5 signals.
Movie 6. A representative example of computer simulation is shown with KT sliding (normal condition, i.e. with KT sliding; associated with Figure 5). Three-dimensional simulations are projected into X-Z and X-Y planes. KTs (dots) are colored as in Figure 5A. MT extension following KT-dependent rescue is shown in a dashed line (Gandhi et al., 2011). A gray line, which connects a KT (dot) to a MT extending from a spindle pole (black line), represents a KT-derived MT that facilitates KT loading onto a MT extending from a spindle pole (Kitamura et al., 2010).
**Movie 7.** A representative example of computer simulation is shown without KT sliding (associated with Figure 5B). Three-dimensional simulations are projected into X-Z and X-Y planes. KTs (dots) are colored as in Figure 5A. MT extension following KT-dependent rescue is shown in a dashed line (Gandhi et al., 2011). A gray line, which connects a KT (dot) to a MT extending from a spindle pole (black line), represents a KT-derived MT that facilitates KT loading onto a MT extending from a spindle pole (Kitamura et al., 2010).
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