Regulation of gene expression during M-G1-phase in fission yeast through Plo1p and forkhead transcription factors
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Summary
In fission yeast the expression of several genes during M-G1 phase is controlled by binding of the PCB binding factor (PBF) transcription factor complex to Pombe cell cycle box (PCB) promoter motifs. Three components of PBF have been identified, including two forkhead-like proteins Sep1p and Fkh2p, and a MADS-box-like protein, Mbx1p. Here, we examine how PBF is controlled and reveal a role for the Polo kinase Plo1p. plo1+ shows genetic interactions with plo1+ and mcm1+ and Mbx1p, the first time a Polo kinase has directly phosphorylates Mbx1p, the first time a Polo kinase has been shown to phosphorylate a MADS box protein in any organism. Fkh2p and Sep1p interact in vivo and in vitro, and Fkh2p, Sep1p and Plo1p contact PCB promoters in vivo. However, strikingly, both Fkh2p and Plo1p bind to PCB promoters only when PCB-controlled genes are not expressed during S- and G2-phase, whereas by contrast Sep1p contacts PCBs coincident with M-G1-phase transcription. Thus, Plo1p, Fkh2p and Sep1p control M-G1-phase gene transcription through a combination of phosphorylation and cell-cycle-specific DNA binding to PCBs.
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Introduction
Periodic gene expression is an important mechanism that contributes to the orderly execution of cell cycle events (Breeden, 2003; McInerny, 2004; Bähler, 2005; Ng et al., 2006). Transcriptome analyses of the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome indicate that over 800 genes are periodically expressed during the mitotic cell cycle in this organism (Cho et al., 1998; Spellman et al., 1998). These genes fall into at least ten groups, where the expression of each group peaks at a distinct stage of the cell cycle and is regulated by a different transcription factor complex. Some periodically expressed genes encode cell regulators that activate the next wave of gene expression and/or repress the previous one. However, in many cases it has not been established how periodic gene expression is coordinated with cell cycle progression.

In budding yeast, one of the most studied waves of transcription is known as the CLB2 cluster. Approximately 30 genes are co-regulated, and these include CLB2, CDC5, CDC20, SPO12, SWI5 and DBF2, which control mitotic entry, sister chromatid separation, mitotic exit and cytokinesis (Spellman et al., 1998; Jorgensen and Tyers, 2000). The promoters of these genes contain a Mcm1p-binding motif, flanked by a forkhead transcription factor site, which together are responsible for G2-M-specific expression through the mitotic cell cycle (Althoefer et al., 1995; Mahé et al., 1995; Koranda et al., 2000; Kumar et al., 2000; Pic et al., 2000). Mcm1p recruits the forkhead transcription factor Fkh2p and this complex remains bound to promoters throughout the cell cycle (Althoefer et al., 1995; Hollenhorst et al., 2000; Koranda et al., 2000; Kumar et al., 2000; Pic et al., 2000; Zhu et al., 2000). A second forkhead transcription factor, Fkh1p, supports periodic transcription of the CLB2 cluster in the absence of Fkh2p but, unlike its relative, does not bind cooperatively with Mcm1p (Kumar et al., 2000; Hollenhorst et al., 2002).

In addition to Fkh1p, Fkh2p and Mcm1p, activation of the CLB2 cluster requires Ndd1p. NDD1 is an essential gene; however, deletion of Fkh2p or truncation at its C-terminus relieves the requirement for NDD1, implying that Ndd1p functions with Fkh2p (Koranda et al., 2000). Conversely, overexpression of NDD1 enhances levels of CLB2-cluster transcription (Loy et al., 1999). Unlike Mcm1p and Fkh2p, which bind throughout the cell cycle, Ndd1p is recruited to CLB2 and SWI5 promoters only during G2-M phase and this recruitment is dependent on either Fkh1p or Fkh2p (Koranda et al., 2000). Recently, it has been shown that phosphorylation of Ndd1p on threonine 319 by Cdc2p and Cdc2p cyclin-dependent kinase promotes association of Ndd1p with the FHA domain of Fkh2p and transcriptional activation (Reynolds et al., 2003), and that Cdc5p (a Polo kinase) phosphorylates serine 85 of Ndd1p to ensure normal temporal expression of the CLB2 cluster (Nagae et al., 2006).

In the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe approximately 400 genes can be grouped into at least four clusters of periodic expression during the mitotic cell cycle (Rustici et al., 2004; Oliva et al., 2005). One cluster of coordinate expression occurs during late mitosis and early G1-phase and includes the cdc15*, spo12*, fin1*, slp1*, ace2*, fkh2* and plo1* genes (Anderson et al., 2002). The products of these genes regulate spindle formation, the onset of anaphase, the formation and placement of the actomyosin ring and cytokinesis. As in budding
yeast, this cluster is controlled by two forkhead transcription factors, Sep1p and Fkh2p, and a MADS box protein, Mbx1p (Zilahi et al., 2000; Buck et al., 2004; Bulmer et al., 2004). Two of these, Fkh2p and Mbx1p, are phosphoproteins, whose phosphorylation status varies through the cell cycle peaking in late M-phase, with genetic experiments implying a role for the Polo protein kinase Plo1p (Anderson et al., 2002; Buck et al., 2004; Bulmer et al., 2004). In this paper we examine how this transcription factor complex is regulated, and reveal a role for phosphorylation of Mbx1p by Plo1p, together with cell-cycle-specific binding by Fkh2p, Sep1p and Plo1p to PCB promoters. These observations suggest a stepwise mechanism by which Plo1p, Mbx1p, Fkh2p and Sep1p control gene expression at this cell cycle time.

Results
Genetic interactions between Plo1p and components of PBF
Earlier observations have implicated Plo1p in the regulation of PBF. This was explored here by searching for genetic interactions between mutants of plo1 and components of PBF. plo1-ts35 is a temperature-sensitive mutant containing a mutation in the kinase domain, and is known to affect PBF binding to PCB motifs in vitro and M-G1-phase-specific gene expression in vivo (Anderson et al., 2002; Buck et al., 2004). Strong synthetic genetic interactions were seen in plo1-ts35 sep1Δ, plo1-ts35 fkh2Δ and plo1-ts35 mbx1Δ double mutants, which all showed striking septation and cell separation defects at the permissive temperature of 25°C (Fig. 1A). In all cases these phenotypes were more severe than those of the single mutants, with cells both more elongated and showing asymmetrically placed septa. Microscopic analysis of the number and position of nuclei revealed that these cells contained only one nucleus per compartment, as did the single mutants (data not shown).

Overexpression of plo1 mutants affects M-G1 transcription
Previously, we showed that overexpressing wild-type plo1+ caused induction of M-G1 transcribed genes (Anderson et al., 2002) (Fig. 1B). Using a similar approach we discovered that overexpressing plo1K69R, with a mutation in the kinase domain (Reynolds and Ohkura, 2003), abolished M-G1 transcription (Fig. 1B). As this repression occurred in cells containing endogenous wild-type plo1+, this suggests that plo1K69R behaves as a dominant-negative mutant when overexpressed. Overexpressing plo1DHK625AAA, with a mutation in the Polo-box domain, did not have the same effect but, instead, caused induction of M-G1 gene transcription as seen upon overexpression of wild-type plo1+ (Fig. 1B). This latter observation suggests that the Polo-box domain is not required for the transcription function of Plo1p.

Plo1p binds to Mbx1p in vivo
The possibility that Plo1p influences M-G1-specific expression by interacting with components of PBF was tested using the budding yeast two-hybrid system. Placing the plo1+ gene in a bait vector in combination with a prey vector containing either mbx1Δ, fkh2Δ or sep1Δ revealed a strong positive response with mbx1Δ, but only a very weak or no response with fkh2Δ and sep1Δ (Fig. 2A). These observations suggest an in vivo interaction between Plo1p and Mbx1p. The interaction between Plo1p and Mbx1p requires both the kinase domain and Polo-box domain of Plo1p, because bait vectors containing plo1 with mutations in these domains resulted in no two-hybrid colour response (Fig. 2B).

To confirm the interaction between Plo1p and Mbx1p we undertook immunoprecipitation experiments. Antibody against native Plo1p was used to make Plo1p immunoprecipitates from fission yeast cells that express a Myc-tagged version of Mbx1p controlled by its native promoter, and so present at normal levels. Under such conditions we could detect Mbx1p in co-immunoprecipitates by using antibodies against Myc (Fig. 2C; arrow). This experiment confirms that Plo1p and Mbx1p bind to each other in vivo.

Plo1p binds directly to and phosphorylates Mbx1p in vitro
As Plo1p appears to interact with components of PBF, we next tested whether this interaction leads to phosphorylation. 6His-Plo1p was purified and shown to be active through its ability to
autophosphorylate (Fig. 3A) and phosphorylate myelin basic protein (data not shown). We also observed specific phosphorylation of purified GST-Mbx1p, but not GST-Sep1p nor 6His-Fkh2p (Fig. 3A; arrow).

To confirm this result, and use a system that allowed us to separate phosphorylated GST-Mbx1p and 6His-Plo1p – which have similar mobilities under SDS-PAGE conditions – an alternative purification protocol was adopted. GST-Mbx1p was immunoprecipitated with antibody against the native protein from soluble extracts of fission yeast expressing Mbx1p-13myc from its endogenous promoter. The soluble extracts and the immunoprecipitates were analysed by western blotting with antibodies against Plo1p and Myc (the latter to detect Mbx1p-13myc). As controls, extracts from mbx1Δ and precipitates without antibodies (beads only) from tagged strains are also included. Approximately ten times more of the precipitates were loaded than of the soluble input fractions. Arrow indicates co-immunoprecipitated Mbx1p-13myc with Plo1p.

Plo1p binds to Mbx1p throughout the cell cycle
To probe how Plo1p may regulate M-G1 gene expression, we examined its binding to Mbx1p through the cell cycle. mbx1-13myc was expressed from its endogenous promoter in a cdc25-22 strain, and cell cycle progression was synchronised by a temporary temperature shift to 36°C. On return to the permissive temperature of 25°C samples were removed at 20-minute intervals and processed for immunoprecipitation of Plo1p. Binding of Mbx1p-
Mbx1p to Plo1p was detected using antibodies against Myc, and this revealed that Mbx1p interacts with Plo1p throughout the mitotic cell cycle (Fig. 4).

Fkh2p and Sep1p bind to each other in vivo and in vitro
To analyse further the in vivo interactions between components of PBF, we completed co-immunoprecipitation experiments with tagged versions of Sep1p, Fkh2p and Mbx1p expressed from their endogenous promoters. This analysis revealed binding between Sep1p-3HA and Fkh2p-13myc (Fig. 5A), but not Mbx1p-13myc with either Sep1p-3HA or Fkh2p-3HA (data not shown). To determine whether the binding between Fkh2p and Sep1p is direct, we completed in vitro ‘pull-down’ experiments with bacterially expressed and purified tagged versions of these two proteins. Such experiments revealed an interaction (Fig. 5B), and so confirmed that Sep1p and Fkh2p bind to each other directly.

Fkh2p, Sep1p and Plo1p bind in vivo to the promoters of genes transcribed during M-phase
As another way to examine the role of Plo1p in controlling gene expression in M-G1 phase we examined the binding of Plo1p and components of PBF to promoter regions in vivo by chromatin immunoprecipitation assays (ChIPs). Using antibodies against
tagged versions of the proteins under the control of their native promoter (Fkh2p and Sep1p), or following mild overexpression with nmt41 (Plo1p), we were able to detect Fkh2p-13myc, Fkh2p-3HA, Sep1p-13myc and Plo1p-3HA bound to the promoters of cdc15+, fkh2+ and plo1+ (Fig. 6B-E). The only exception was that we were unable to detect specific binding of Sep1p to the fkh2+ promoter (Fig. 6D). These three genes contain PCB sequences in their promoters and it was these specific regions that were amplified during the ChIP procedure, consistent with these DNA motifs having a role in M-G1-phase transcription. To explore how Plo1p and Mbx1p might control M-G1 transcription we analysed the binding of Fkh2p in the plo1-ts35 mutant, and found that Fkh2p still bound to PCB DNA at the restrictive temperature of 36°C (Fig. 7A). Similarly, we found that the absence of Mbx1p or Sep1p had no effect on the ability of Fkh2p to bind to promoter DNA (Fig. 7B,C).

Fkh2p, Sep1p and Plo1p bind to PCB promoter DNA in a cell-cycle-specific manner

To examine how Fkh2p, Sep1p and Plo1p might contribute to the control of M-G1-phase-specific gene expression, we used ChIP to reveal their binding to PCB promoter DNA through the cell cycle. fkh2-3HA, sep1-13myc and plo1-3HA were separately introduced into a cdc25-22 strain to allow the production of synchronously dividing cells. After release from the temperature cell cycle arrest, samples were taken every 20 minutes and

Fig. 6. Fkh2p, Sep1p and Plo1p bind to PCB sequences in vivo.
(A) Promoter regions amplified during the ChIP procedure together with the positions of the PCB and FLEX1 motifs. (B-E) Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments with tagged versions of (B) Fkh2p-13myc, (C) Fkh2p-3HA, (D) Sep1p-13myc and (E) Plo1p-3HA, on the cdc15+, fkh2+ and plo1+ promoters. WCE, whole-cell extracts (non-immunoprecipitated input sample); IP, immunoprecipitates. Approximately ten times more of the precipitates were loaded than of the WCE input DNA. As negative controls, beads alone and normal mouse IgG were used for precipitations, and DNA of the act1+ coding sequence was used as substrate. For all Myc- and HA-tagged strains, control ChIPs were completed with a wild-type untagged strain. As a positive control for all four strains, histone H3 was analysed by ChIP with appropriate antibody on the cdc15+, fkh2+ and plo1+ promoters. In each case the ChIP was shown to be quantitative, because a serial reduction of the WCE input DNA resulted in a corresponding reduction of the observed PCR signal.
processed to detect binding to PCB promoter DNA of \( cdc15^+ \), \( fkh2^+ \) and \( plo1^+ \).

These studies revealed that Fkh2p bound to the \( cdc15^+ \), \( fkh2^+ \) and \( plo1^+ \) promoters in a cell-cycle-specific manner, with Fkh2p in contact when low levels of mRNA were present during S- and G2-phase (Fig. 8A). Maximum Fkh2p binding was observed when mRNA levels of \( cdc15^+ \), \( fkh2^+ \) and \( plo1^+ \) were decreasing, with no detectable binding of Fkh2p when mRNAs for these genes reappeared. Low levels of Fkh2p binding was detectable in the G2-arrested cells at time zero when some gene expression occurred, but this is possibly an artefact of the experimental procedure. Such a pattern of binding is consistent with Fkh2p being a repressor of M-G1-specific transcription.

Similarly, Plo1p binding to the promoters also occurred at a time when mRNAs levels of PCB-regulated genes were low (Fig. 8C), although the time at which highest levels of bound Plo1p were detected was later than that for Fkh2p. This implies that Plo1p binding causes a function later that Fkh2p, when gene repression has already occurred. As other experiments suggest that Plo1p has a positive role in gene expression in M-G1 phase (Anderson et al., 2002) (Fig. 1B), possibly this binding is the first step in reactivating gene expression.

Finally, and in contrast to Fkh2p and Plo1p, highest amounts of Sep1p were found to bind to the \( cdc15^+ \) and \( plo1^+ \) promoters coincident with peak levels of mRNAs of PCB-regulated genes (Fig. 8B), consistent with Sep1p being an activator of M-G1-specific transcription. In this experiment, \( cdc15^+ \) and \( plo1^+ \) transcription, as well as septation, were all delayed by 40 minutes compared with the cell cycle experiments examining Fkh2p and Plo1p binding (Fig. 8A,C). This observation implies that the Myc-tag on Sep1p is affecting its function, resulting in both delayed transcription and septation.

Discussion

The cell division cycle is regulated at multiple levels, with the control of protein abundance playing a major role. This regulation itself occurs in many ways, with the control of both transcription and protein stability being important. In this paper we have examined how in fission yeast a group of genes that encode proteins required for late cell cycle events is periodically transcribed at the M-G1 interval. We have discovered that, as part of this process, Plo1p protein kinase directly binds to and phosphorylates the MADS-box protein Mbx1p. This is the first time a Polo kinase has been shown to bind and phosphorylate a MADS-box protein in any organism. However, the mammalian MADS box protein serum response factor (SRF) is highly phosphorylated at numerous sites, with a number of signal transduction pathways, including the MAP kinase signalling pathway, shown to have a role in this regulation (Rivera et al., 1993; Miranti et al., 1995; Dodou and Treisman, 1997; Heidenreich
et al., 1999). As SRF, in combination with other transcription factors, controls the expression of a number of groups of genes, it has been proposed that the specific phosphorylation by various kinases may confer and regulate gene expression (Whitmarsh and Davis, 2000). In support of this concept, recent work with replicating mammalian myoblasts has lead to the proposal that

Fig. 8. Cell-cycle-specific binding of Fkh2p, Sep1p and Plo1p to PCB promoter DNAs. (A–C) Separate populations of (A) cdc25-22 fkh2-3HA, (B) cdc25-22 sep1-13myc and (C) cdc25-22 nmt41:plo1-3HA cells were synchronised by transient temperature arrest, and samples taken every 20 minutes after returning the cells to the permissive temperature for ChIP and northern blot analysis. Septation indices were counted microscopically and are plotted to indicate the synchrony of each culture. Crosslinked DNA was prepared from each sample and Fkh2p-3HA, Sep1p-13myc and Plo1p-3HA were analysed by ChIP using anti-HA and anti-Myc antibodies. Binding of Fkh2p-3HA, Sep1p-13myc and Plo1p-3HA to the cdc15+, fkh2+ and plo1+ promoters was detected by PCR. As a loading control, PCR was performed with 10% whole-cell extracts (WCE; non-immunoprecipitated sample) containing input DNA, and plo1+ (A, B) and cdc15+ (C) oligonucleotides. Graphs show the quantification of Fkh2p, Sep1p and Plo1p binding against WCE input DNA. RNA was prepared from duplicate samples to detect mRNA levels of cdc22+, a known G1-S phase expressed transcript independent of PBF-PCB controls were also detected to allow comparison between experiments. Quantification of each transcript against rRNA is shown under each northern blot panel. asy, control DNA and RNA samples from asynchronous cells prior to synchronisation.
phosphorylation of SRF serine residue 162 permits the specific regulation of two groups of target genes, those required for differentiation and others involved in proliferation (Iyer et al., 2004).

In the context of cell-cycle-regulated transcription, the budding yeast Polo kinase Cdc5p has been shown to contact and directly phosphorylate Ndd1p to contribute to the regulation of the CLB2 cluster of genes at the G2-M interval (Darieva et al., 2006). Thus, it appears that the regulation of cell cycle transcription factors by direct Plo1p phosphorylation may be widespread amongst eukaryotes. As Plo1p in fission yeast is required for normal expression of genes at the M-G1 interval, and plo1+ is itself expressed at this time, this implies that plo1+ controls its own expression. The fact that plo1+ is transcribed at M-G1 phase, contains PCB sequences in its promoter and binds to PCB promoters in a cell cycle specific manner, all support this hypothesis.

Previous experiments showed that, at least in vitro, Mbx1p binds to PCB DNA throughout the cell cycle (Anderson et al., 2002; Buck et al., 2004). Unfortunately, for technical reasons, we have been unable to confirm this occurs in vivo by ChIP. However, the observation that Mbx1p binds to Plo1p throughout the cell cycle and that Plo1p interacts with PCB DNA in a cell-cycle-specific manner, suggests that a sub-population of Mbx1p is not in contact with gene promoters. As deleting mbx1+ has little effect on M-G1 transcription this implies that much of the regulation occurs through the forkhead transcription factors Sep1p and Fkh2p, whose deletion results in loss of periodicity of transcription (Buck et al., 2004). Nevertheless, the observation that mbx1+, fkh2+ and sep1+ genetically interact (Buck et al., 2004) supports the idea that this MADS box protein, together with the two forkhead transcription factors, mediates gene expression in M-G1 phase.

Another level of control operates through two forkhead transcription factors. We have shown that Fkh2p contacts promoter DNA in a cell-cycle-specific manner. Strikingly, Fkh2p is only bound to the promoters of cdc15+, fkh2+ and plo1+ when these genes are not being expressed, which implies that Fkh2p is required for the negative regulation of their transcription. This observation is consistent with other studies, which have revealed that deletion of fkh2+ causes overexpression of target genes (Rustici et al., 2004) and that its overexpression is lethal, causing the inhibition of transcription of PCB-regulated genes and cell cycle arrest (Buck et al., 2004). By contrast, maximum levels of Sep1p bind to PCB promoters coincident with transcription during M-G1 phase, implying it is required for positive regulation, in agreement with previous data that have suggested an activating role (Rustici et al., 2004). Regulation could occur through one forkhead transcription factor replacing the other in a stepwise manner, to either stimulate or repress gene expression. The fact that Fkh2p and Sep1p interact, and that Sep1p is required for Fkh2p function (Buck et al., 2004), suggests that this process occurs through the two transiently binding to each other.

Quite how this circuitry is first activated and then inactivated is unclear, but it possibly involves the stepwise activation of Plo1p, Sep1p and Fkh2p (Fig. 9) (Ng et al., 2006). In fission yeast Plo1p kinase activity fluctuates during the cell cycle, peaking during M-phase, with Plo1p activated by MPF at G2-M phase (Tanaka et al., 2001; Maclver et al., 2003). These observations imply that the initial activation of Plo1p through MPF triggers gene expression. The data presented here suggest a model whereby Fkh2p binds to promoters to repress gene expression, possibly through the recruitment of other factors that silence transcription, such as histone modifiers. Once this function is completed Fkh2p binding is no longer needed to maintain repression but, instead, the subsequent binding of Plo1p and Sep1p is required to re-activate gene transcription, possibly again through chromatin modification.

Taken together, these observations suggest that another fundamental function for the Plo1p kinase, known to have a central role in controlling cell division in fission yeast and many other organisms (Glover et al., 1998; Barr et al., 2004), is the direct control of phase-specific gene expression. The fact that Polo kinase in budding yeast has been shown to have a related function implies this property may be universally conserved in eukaryotes. Indeed, forkhead-like transcription factors, including human Plo1p homologue Plk1, have been shown to control cell cycle gene expression in humans (Alvarez et al., 2001). Future studies will explore this exciting possibility.

**Materials and Methods**

**General molecular procedures were performed as described by Sambrook et al. (Sambrook et al., 1989), whereas the standard methodology and media used for the manipulation of *S. pombe* was as described by Moreno et al. (Moreno et al., 1991).**

The strains used in this study are shown in Table 1. mls1Δ cells were found to mate with both h+ and h−; and the fkh2Δ slow growth phenotype was suppressed on solid minimal medium. For physiological experiments, cells were grown in minimal medium (EMM) while shaking at 25°C. Overexpression of genes was from pREP1 under the control of the nmt1 promoter (Maundrell, 1993). Cells were grown in EMM with 5 μg ml−1 thiamine (nmt1 promoter ·“off”) to early exponential stage, washed three times in thiamine-free EMM and then grown for 15 hours in EMM without growth.
thiamine (mmt1 promoter ‘on’). Cells were visualised using a Zeiss Axiostar microscope with Nomarski images captured by a Sony DS-75 digital camera.

DNA constructs
cDNAs of fkh2* and mbx1*, cloned from fission yeast mRNA using reverse-transcriptase PCR, and a genomic clone of sep1+, were separately ligated into the vectors pET-28c(+) and pGEX-KG. These allowed bacterial expression and transcriptase PCR, and a genomic clone of mbx1+ was performed in each case for two independent transformants assayed in triplicate.

Immunoprecipitations, pull-down assays and western blot analysis
Preparation of whole-cell extracts, immunoprecipitations, pull-down assays and western blot analysis were performed as previously described (Buck et al., 1996; May et al., 2002). The anti-Plo1p antibody has been described (May et al., 2002), and the antibodies against the His (Amersham), GST (Sigma), HA and Myc (Insight Biotechnology) tags are commercially available. Appropriate secondary rabbit and mouse antibodies for western blot analysis are also commercially available (DAKO).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)
Chromatin immunoprecipitations were performed as previously described with modifications (Ekwall and Partridge, 1999; Gomez-Roman et al., 2003).

Table 1. Strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fission yeast*</td>
<td>h' 972 (wild-type)</td>
<td>Lab stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 1</td>
<td>h' uro4*</td>
<td>Lab stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 214</td>
<td>h' cdc25-22</td>
<td>Lab stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 308</td>
<td>h' sep1-3HAkanR</td>
<td>Buck et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 311</td>
<td>h' plo1-ts35</td>
<td>Anderson et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 383</td>
<td>h' mhx1-13myc:kanR ade6− his7-366</td>
<td>Buck et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 504</td>
<td>h' fhk2-13myc:kanR ade6−</td>
<td>Buck et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 507</td>
<td>h' fhk2-3HA:kanR</td>
<td>Buck et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 508</td>
<td>h' fhk2-3HA:kanR</td>
<td>Buck et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 511</td>
<td>h' sep1-3HA:kanR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 515</td>
<td>h' sep1::ura4*</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 555</td>
<td>h' fhk2-3HA:kanR plo1-ts35</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 571</td>
<td>h' mhx1-13myc:kanR plo1-ts35 ade6−</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 596</td>
<td>h' sep1-3HA:kanR plo1-ts35</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 704</td>
<td>h' leu1::urn41::plo1-3HA plo1::his3* his3-D1 ade6−</td>
<td>H. Ohkura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(University of Edinburgh, UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 743</td>
<td>h' mhx1-13myc:kanR cdc25-22</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 745</td>
<td>h' fhk2-3HA:kanR cdc25-22</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 761</td>
<td>h' sep1::uro4* plo1-ts35</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 763</td>
<td>h' fhk2::kanR plo1-ts35</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 760</td>
<td>h' mhx1::kanR plo1-ts35</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 767</td>
<td>h' sep1-13myc:kanR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 1030</td>
<td>h' sep1-3HA:kanR fhk2-13myc:kanR ade6−</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 1032</td>
<td>h' sep1-3HA:kanR mhx1-13myc:kanR his7-366</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 1034</td>
<td>h' fhk2-3HA:kanR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 1040</td>
<td>h' sep1::ura4* fhk2-3HA:kanR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 1043</td>
<td>h' sep1-3HA:kanR cdc25-22</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 1044</td>
<td>h' fhk2::kanR sep1-3HA:kanR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 1047</td>
<td>h' mhx1::kanR fhk2-13myc:kanR ade6−</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 1049</td>
<td>h' mhx1::kanR sep1-3HA:kanR his7-366</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 1075</td>
<td>h' mhx1::kanR ade6−</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 1097</td>
<td>h' leu1::urn41::plo1-3HA cdc25-22 plo1::his3* his3-D1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG 1118</td>
<td>h' sep1-3myc:kanR cdc25-22</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budding yeast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGBY 144</td>
<td>Mat a ura3-1 trpl-28 leu2DO lys2DO his 7 mob6::kanMX4 pep4::LEU2 pMH919: 6HIS:plo1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All were leu1-32 uro4-D18 unless otherwise stated. ade6− is either ade6-M210 or ade6-M216.


