VASP is a CXCR2-interacting protein that regulates CXCR2-mediated polarization and chemotaxis
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Summary
Chemotaxis regulates the recruitment of leukocytes, which is integral for a number of biological processes and is mediated through the interaction of chemokines with seven transmembrane G-protein-coupled receptors. Several studies have indicated that chemotactic signaling pathways might be activated via G-protein-independent mechanisms, perhaps through novel receptor-interacting proteins. CXCR2 is a major chemokine receptor expressed on neutrophils. We used a proteomics approach to identify unique ligand-dependent CXCR2-interacting proteins in differentiated neutrophil-like HL-60 cells. Using this approach, vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) was identified as a CXCR2-interacting protein. The interaction between CXCR2 and VASP is direct and enhanced by CXCL8 stimulation, which triggers VASP phosphorylation via PKA- and PKCδ-mediated pathways. The interaction between CXCR2 and VASP requires free F-actin barbed ends to recruit VASP to the leading edge. Finally, knockdown of VASP in HL-60 cells results in severely impaired CXCR2-mediated chemotaxis and polarization. These data provide the first demonstration that direct interaction of VASP with CXCR2 is essential for proper CXCR2 function and demonstrate a crucial role for VASP in mediating chemotaxis in leukocytes.
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Introduction
CXCR2 is a chemokine receptor implicated in the recruitment of leukocytes from the bloodstream during inflammation. CXCR2-mediated chemotaxis is completely inhibited by treatment with pertussis toxin, indicating that CXCR2 is a Gαi-coupled receptor (Jones et al., 1995; Neptune et al., 1999). The signaling pathways activated as a result of the uncoupling of the Gβγ subunit from the heterotrimeric complex include phospholipase Cβ, phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase, and mitogen-activated proteins.

Additional signaling pathways that mediate the chemotactic response might be activated through novel receptor-interacting proteins (Luttrell et al., 1999; Richardson et al., 1998; Tilton et al., 2000). Of particular interest are the proteins that initiate and propagate the chemotactic response once a chemokine binds its receptor. To identify protein complexes that associate with CXCR2, we utilized the C-terminal domain of CXCR2 in a yeast two-hybrid analysis to identify a number of CXCR2-interacting proteins (unpublished data) (Fan et al., 2001a; Fan et al., 2001b; Fan et al., 2002). However, this approach does not permit identification of receptor-protein complexes that bind other intracellular domains or that are induced by ligand stimulation.

The current study describes the identification of a ligand-mediated receptor-protein interaction from differentiated HL-60 cells (dHL-60) using immunoprecipitation followed by proteomics analysis. Here, we identify vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) as a novel CXCR2-interacting protein. VASP is a member of the Ena/VASP family of proteins that regulate the geometry of assembling actin networks (Krause et al., 2003). Ena/VASP proteins localize to sites of active actin remodeling, such as filopodia, lamellipodia and focal adhesions (Gertler et al., 1996; Lanier et al., 1999; Reinhard et al., 1992). Localization of VASP at the fast-growing barbed ends of actin promotes filament elongation by recruiting profilin-actin complexes and antagonizing capping proteins (Barzik et al., 2005; Ferron et al., 2007; Pasic et al., 2008). In addition, VASP reduces branching of F-actin through an unknown mechanism (Bear et al., 2002; Skoble et al., 2001). VASP contains two conserved EVH (Ena/VASP homology domains, EVH1 and EVH2) that flank a central proline-rich region and a number of conserved serine/threonine residues that can be phosphorylated by PKA, PKC and PKG (Butt et al., 1994; Chitaley et al., 2004; Eigenthaler et al., 1992; Gertler et al., 1996; Lambrechts et al., 2000).

Phosphorylation of Ena/VASP proteins at the conserved N-terminal serine (Ser157) leads to a major conformational change, which results in an electrophoretic mobility shift and alterations in protein-protein interactions (Gertler et al., 1996; Halbrugge et al., 1990; Lambrechts et al., 2000). Interestingly, PKCδ negatively regulates VASP-dependent filopodia formation in collagen-activated platelets and reduces phosphorylation at Ser157 through an unknown mechanism (Pula et al., 2006). VASP contains two other phosphorylation sites: Ser239 and Thr278 (Butt et al., 1994). The equivalents of Ser157 and Ser239 but not Thr278 are found in Mena, whereas Ser157 is the only site found in EVL (Gertler et al., 1996). In vitro, VASP phosphorylation at Ser239 or Thr278 decreases its anti-capping and filament-bundling activity, and its ability to bind F- and G-actin (Barzik et al., 2005; Harbeck et al., 2000). Although
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Results

VASP is a CXCR2-interacting protein

A proteomics approach was used to identify novel ligand-independent and ligand-dependent CXCR2-interacting proteins. dHL-60 cells stably overexpressing human CXCR2 were stimulated with vehicle or CXCL8 for 1 minute. CXCR2 was immunoprecipitated with associating proteins from lysates of these cells using N-terminally targeted CXCR2 rabbit polyclonal antibodies, or control normal rabbit IgG coupled to Sepharose beads. Eluted proteins were digested with trypsin and the tryptic peptides were subjected to tandem liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis. Spectra from tryptic peptides were compared with known spectra using the Sequest algorithms to identify proteins. Proteins identified from control rabbit IgG samples were subtracted from CXCR2 antibody samples. This analysis was repeated four times and proteins consistently identified were validated by immunoprecipitation assay.

VASP was consistently identified in CXCR2-immunoprecipitated samples from CXCL8-stimulated cells. Neither of the two closely related Ena/VASP family members (EVL and Mena) was identified in the proteomic studies. Interaction of VASP with CXCR2 in dHL-60 cells was confirmed by immunoprecipitation and western blot analysis in untreated cells and cells stimulated with CXCL8 (Fig. 1A). Interestingly, VASP is phosphorylated upon CXCL8 stimulation, indicated by the electrophoretic mobility shift (which is largely due to phosphorylation at Ser157) (Butt et al., 1994), and there is an increase in the amount of phosphorylated VASP that coimmunoprecipitates with CXCR2, as quantified by the amount of the shifted band present in immunoprecipitate eluates normalized to the total amount of VASP (Fig. 1B). To verify that CXCR2 coimmunoprecipitates with phosphorylated VASP, we analyzed CXCR2 immunoprecipitates by western blot using Ser157-P, Ser239-P and Thr278-P VASP-specific antibodies. As shown in Fig. 1C, VASP phosphorylated on Ser157, Ser239 and Ser278 is present

Thr278 can be minimally phosphorylated in vitro by PKA and PKG, recent studies identified Thr278 as a substrate for AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) (Blume et al., 2007). In cells, phosphorylation at Thr278 is associated with decreased F-actin content, suggesting that it has a negative role in F-actin assembly (Blume et al., 2007), whereas phosphorylation at Ser239 in response to nitric oxide (NO) treatment disrupts VASP localization in lamellipodia (Lindsay et al., 2007) and is associated with loss of lamellipodial protrusions. By contrast, phosphorylation at Ser157 (or of the equivalent residue in Mena) does not affect interaction with actin, but is correlated with activities such as driving filopodia formation (Applewhite et al., 2007; Barzik et al., 2005; Loureiro et al., 2002) and regulation of protein-protein interactions, such as those of spectrin α (Benz et al., 2008). It is clear that differential phosphorylation of VASP at each of the residues modulates its function.

Ena/VASP proteins regulate cell motility in a variety of cell types but the specific role might be cell-type specific and vary depending on experimental conditions (Wills et al., 1999; Bashaw et al., 2000; Bear et al., 2000; Garcia Arguinzonis et al., 2002; Menzies et al., 2004; Kwiatkowski et al., 2007). Studies using Dicystostelium dsvasp-null cells revealed defects in persistent chemotaxis in response to the cAMP chemoattractant, suggesting a role for VASP in gradient sensing (Han et al., 2002), but its role in persistent response to the cAMP chemoattractant, suggesting a role for VASP in persistent response to the cAMP chemoattractant.
in CXCR2 immunoprecipitates. In some experiments where the gel was run for a longer period, we observed that CXCR2 immunoprecipitated VASP migrates more slowly than VASP in cell lysates. To determine whether the VASP-CXCR2 interaction was phosphorylation dependent, HL60 cells were stimulated with CXCL8 for 5 minutes and immunoprecipitated with CXCR2 antibody. One aliquot of immunoprecipitate from stimulated cells received lambda phosphatase buffer only (Fig. 1C, lane 3), whereas the other received lambda phosphatase (Fig. 1C, lane 4) before washing the beads and subjecting the eluates to electrophoresis. As shown in Fig. 1C, phosphatase treatment dramatically decreased the association of CXCR2 with VASP as detected by total VASP or Ser157-P, Ser239-P or Thr278-P. Densitometric quantification of the amount of phosphorylated VASP associated with receptor shows only a modest increase in Ser157-P VASP and a 2.75-fold increase in Ser239-P VASP in the CXCR2 immunoprecipitate after 5 minutes of ligand stimulation. In addition, there is a two- to threefold increase in the total amount of VASP associated with the receptor 5 minutes after ligand stimulation. There is clearly some basal association of VASP with the receptor before ligand activation, based upon the immunoprecipitation and western blot results, but the amount of Ser157-P VASP and Ser239-P associated with the receptor is much less before ligand activation. Since HL-60 cells produce CXCL8, this low level of basally phosphorylated VASP associated with CXCR2 is probably due to an autocrine activation of the receptor. Addition of ligand stimulates the amount of phosphorylated Ser157, Ser239 and Thr278 VASP that immunoprecipitates with the receptor. However, it is also possible that the basal unphosphorylated VASP in the CXCR2 immunoprecipitations is not due to a direct binding of VASP to

![Fig. 2.](image-url) CXCR2 and VASP localize to plasma membrane ruffles upon global and directional CXCL8 stimulation. (A) Immunofluorescence confocal images of CXCR2 and VASP staining in dHL-60-CXCR2 cells stimulated globally with vehicle (0 minutes) or 100 ng/ml of CXCL8 for 1 or 5 minutes. Colocalization after both 1 and 5 minutes of stimulation is indicated by arrows. Images represent single z-sections of 0.38 μm. Overlay images are pseudocolored where green is CXCR2 and red is VASP. Images after 0 minutes (a), 1 minute (b), and 5 minutes (c) stimulation are enlarged ×5 from the boxed areas on the left. (B) Immunofluorescence confocal images of CXCR2, VASP and F-actin staining in dHL-60-CXCR2 cells stimulated directionally with 50 ng/ml CXCL8 in a Zigmond chamber for 15 minutes. The arrow indicates the direction of CXCL8 gradient (0-50 ng/ml CXCL8). Image represents a single z-section of 0.35 μm pseudocolored, where green is VASP, red is CXCR2, and blue is F-actin. (C) Immunofluorescence confocal images of CXCR2 and VASP phosphorylated on Ser157 (pSer157 VASP) or Ser239 (pSer239) following 1 minute of global CXCL8 (100 ng/ml) stimulation. Overlay images are pseudocolored, where green is CXCR2 and red is pVASp. Image represents a single z-section of 0.28 μm. Insets are enlarged ×2 from boxed areas in originals. Images were processed using AdobePhotoshop. Scale bars: 5 μm. Data shown are representative of three separate experiments.
CXCR2, but could be the result of association of VASP with actin, which comes down in the immunoprecipitate.

To determine whether CXCR2 and VASP colocalize upon CXCL8 stimulation, we performed immunofluorescence staining and confocal imaging on cells stimulated with CXCL8 globally and directionally. As shown in Fig. 2A, VASP primarily localized to the cytoplasm with minimal plasma membrane localization seen in vehicle-stimulated cells. However, upon global stimulation with CXCL8 for 1 or 5 minutes, both VASP and CXCR2 localized to membrane ruffles. In addition, CXCR2 and VASP localized to the tips of F-actin-rich lamellipodia at the leading edge of the dHL-60 cells that were directionally stimulated with CXCL8 (Fig. 2B). VASP exhibited a punctate staining pattern in the lamellipodia with the most concentrated regions colocalizing with CXCR2 at the tips of actin bundles (indicated by the yellow color in the overlay of Fig. 2A,B). These data identify VASP as a CXCR2-interacting protein that localizes with CXCR2 in the membrane of cells stimulated with a CXCL8 gradient. The punctate areas of VASP colocalization with CXCR2 suggested that only a portion of the total VASP in the cells interacts with CXCR2. Some of the VASP not associated with CXCR2 at the leading edge appeared to be associated with actin as indicated by the magenta color in Fig. 2B, whereas the VASP-CXCR2 association is indicated by yellow color in the overlay. These data are consistent with immunoprecipitation data, indicating a basal immunoprecipitation of VASP and CXCR2, but an increased amount of phosphorylated VASP interacting with CXCR2 upon ligand stimulation. Therefore, localization of phosphorylated VASP using specific antibodies to Ser157- and Ser239- phosphorylated VASP was examined. As expected, VASP phosphorylated on Ser239 strongly colocalized with CXCR2 and F-actin in cells stimulated with CXCL8 (Fig. 2C). VASP phosphorylated on Ser157 also showed some colocalization with CXCR2 (Fig. 2C). This is in agreement with the immunoprecipitation and western blot data shown in Fig. 1C. In sum, these data demonstrate that phosphorylated VASP interacts with CXCR2 at the plasma membrane upon CXCL8 stimulation.

CXCR2 directly interacts with VASP

Since VASP interacts with a number of proteins, we investigated whether the interaction between CXCR2 and VASP is direct or occurs indirectly through an additional protein. Purified regions of GST-CXCR2 C-terminus (residues 311-330 or 331-355) and full-length His-tagged VASP were used in binding assays. Purified His-VASP interacted in a concentration-dependent manner with residues 331-355 from the C-terminus of CXCR2 (Fig. 3A). These results suggest that this interaction is direct and does not require additional proteins.

VASP is phosphorylated on Ser157, Ser239 and Thr278 in response to CXCL8 stimulation

Immunoblotting for VASP in CXCR2 immunoprecipitates revealed increased amounts of phosphorylated VASP present in immunoprecipitated samples from CXCL8-treated cells (Fig. 1B). It has previously been demonstrated that VASP is phosphorylated on Ser157 upon stimulation with the chemotactant fMLP (Eckert and Jones, 2007). Western blot analysis on lysates from vehicle- and CXCL8-stimulated cells using a Ser157-P VASP-specific antibody confirmed that the shifted VASP band was Ser157-P VASP (Fig. 4A). Western blot analysis with a Ser239-P VASP-specific antibody confirmed that VASP was also phosphorylated on Ser239 in the EVH2 domain in response to CXCL8 (Fig. 4B). Some Ser239-

P VASP is present in both molecular weight forms of VASP, indicating that some of the VASP is phosphorylated on both Ser157 and Ser239. Moreover, CXCL8 also induces VASP phosphorylation on Thr278, which is also present in both molecular weight forms of VASP (Fig. 4C).

To determine whether phosphorylation of VASP on Ser157 and Ser239 in response to CXCL8 was a specific CXCL8-mediated response or a general response to chemokine, cells were stimulated with CCL3 and examined for VASP phosphorylation on Thr278, which is also present in both molecular weight forms of VASP (Fig. 4D). Ser239 (Fig. 4E) or Thr278 (Fig. 4F). These data suggest that VASP phosphorylation following chemokine stimulation is probably not a general response. Although treatment with CCL3 did not result in a VASP band shift, there was basal phosphorylation of Thr278 on VASP (Fig. 4F).

To determine which signaling pathways mediated the phosphorylation of VASP on Ser157, we analyzed CXCL8-stimulated cells incubated with a PKA inhibitor, H89 (20 μM), or the specific PKCδ inhibitor rottlerin (5 μM). These inhibitor concentrations are reasonably specific because the IC50 of H89 for PKC is 31.7 μM and the IC50 of rottlerin for PKC is 30-42 μM.
Both basal and CXCL8-induced VASP Ser157 phosphorylation were significantly inhibited by H89 treatment (Fig. 5A,B). Treatment of cells with rottlerin had no significant effect of CXCL8-induced VASP phosphorylation at Ser157 (Fig. 5C,D). There was no significant inhibition of CXCL8-induced VASP phosphorylation at Ser157 upon treatment with the broad classical PKC inhibitor Ro-32-0432 (data not shown). These data suggest that CXCL8 stimulation activates PKA-mediated signaling pathways, and that both basal and CXCL8-induced phosphorylation of VASP at Ser157 is largely mediated by PKA.

We also investigated the pathways that mediate the phosphorylation of VASP at Ser239 using the PKA inhibitor H89, the broad classical PKC inhibitor Ro-32-0432, and the specific PKCδ inhibitor rottlerin. No effect on basal or CXCL8-induced phosphorylation at Ser239 was observed with Ro-32-0432 treatment (data not shown). By contrast, CXCL8-induced phosphorylation of VASP on Ser239 was impaired at the 1 minute time point upon pretreatment with rottlerin (Fig. 5E,F) and with H89 (Fig. 5G,H) as indicated by a decrease in both molecular weight forms of VASP by western blot analysis using the Ser239-specific antibody (Fig. 5B) or Thr278-P VASP (Fig. C). HL-60-CXCR2 cells were stimulated with vehicle (Untreated) or 100 ng/ml CXCL8 for 1 or 5 minutes. Lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis (IB) using antibodies specific for Ser157-P (A), Ser239-P (B) or Thr278-P VASP (C). HL-60-CXCR2 cells were stimulated with vehicle (Untreated) or 100 ng/ml CCL3 for 1 minute. Lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis (IB) for Ser157-P (D), Ser239-P (E) or Thr278- P VASP (F). Data shown are representative of three separate experiments.

Phosphorylation of VASP in the EVH2 domain regulates its interaction with CXCR2

Double homozygous null VASP/Mena mouse embryonic fibroblasts that have only trace levels of EVL expression (MVδ7 cells) (Bear et al., 2000) were transfected with vectors that allow expression of various GFP-tagged murine VASP serine and threonine mutants (Applewhite et al., 2007) to investigate the functional roles of phosphorylation in CXCR2 interaction. CXCR2-GST pull-down reactions were performed with lysates from MVδ7 cells stably expressing GFP-tagged serine to alanine mutant murine VASP. As shown in Fig. 6A, mutation of both Ser235 and Thr274 (equivalent to human Ser239 and Thr278, respectively) to alanine resulted in decreased GST-CXCR2 C-terminus binding. Both of these residues are located within the EVH2 domain of VASP (Fig. 8B). Interestingly, mutation of either Ser235 or Thr274 in combination with the Ser153 to alanine mutation did not result in loss of binding to the GST-CXCR2 C-terminus. These data suggest that phosphorylation of either Ser235 or Thr274 of VASP enhances binding to CXCR2.

We next sought to determine whether phosphorylation of the purified EVH2 domain enhanced binding to CXCR2. Purified Hisc-tagged VASP EVH2 domain was phosphorylated in vitro with the catalytic subunit of PKA and used in binding assays with GST-CXCR2. GST pull-down reactions were performed with lysates from MVD7 cells stably expressing GFP-EVH1 or GFP-EVH2 domains, and GFP-VASP in which the central proline-rich region was deleted. The phosphorylated VASP in the lysate and the amount of Ser239-phosphorylated VASP that coimmunoprecipitated with CXCR2. Moreover, Rottlerin pretreatment eliminated the ligand-induced increase in the amount of total VASP present in the coimmunoprecipitate (supplementary material Fig. S1). By contrast, pretreatment of the CXCR2-expressing HL-60 cells with H-89 did not reduce the amount of total VASP immunoprecipitated with CXCR2 and only inhibited the amount of phosphorylation of VASP on Ser157 (supplementary material Fig. S2).

CXCR2 C-terminus preferentially interacts with VASP over Mena and EVL

Because the EVH1 and EVH2 domains of the three Ena/VASP family members are highly conserved, we investigated whether the CXCR2 interaction was specific for VASP or could occur with other family members. GST pull-down experiments were performed using the C-terminus of CXCR2 and lysates from MVδ7 cells stably expressing GFP-VASP, GFP-Mena or GFP-EVL. CXCR2 pulled down GFP-VASP but very little GFP-EVL and no detectable GFP-Mena (Fig. 7). This suggests that CXCR2 preferentially interacts with a sequence specific for VASP.

CXCR2 interaction with VASP occurs through the VASP EVH2 domain and requires the coiled-coil region

To determine the region of VASP that is necessary for the CXCR2 interaction, a series of GST pull-down reactions using the C-terminus of CXCR2 were performed using lysates of MVδ7 cells stably expressing GFP-EVH1 or GFP-EVH2 domains, and GFP-VASP in which the central proline-rich region was deleted. The
VASP GFP-EVH2 domain alone efficiently interacted with the GST C-terminus of CXCR2 in pull-down reactions (Fig. 8B). The EVH1 domain was not sufficient for binding and deletion of the proline-rich region of VASP did not interfere with binding, suggesting that these regions are not critical for the CXCR2 interaction.

To identify the precise region necessary for interaction with CXCR2, additional GST pull-down reactions were performed using lysates from MVD7 cells expressing GFP-VASP in which the F-actin binding (FAB) and tetramerization coiled-coil (COCO) regions were deleted. Deletion of the FAB region had no effect on GST-CXCR2 C-terminus binding (Fig. 8C). By contrast, deletion of the tetramerization COCO region completely eliminated the interaction with CXCR2 (Fig. 8C). It is also worth noting that GST pull-down reactions with lysates from MVD7 cells expressing a reverse coiled-coil (LH COCO) VASP mutant also revealed a binding deficiency (data not shown). These data suggest that either tetramerization of VASP creates the actual binding site for CXCR2 or that tetramerization maintains VASP in an orientation that is essential for the interaction with CXCR2.

**F-actin is necessary for localization of VASP to the membrane and interaction with CXCR2**

The phosphorylation of VASP on serine and threonine residues in the EVH2 domain interferes with its ability to interact with G- and F-actin (Barzik et al., 2005; Harbeck et al., 2000). In addition, we have shown that phosphorylation of VASP on Ser239 significantly enhances the VASP-CXCR2 interaction. These results suggested that CXCR2 and F-actin might be mutually exclusive in their binding to VASP. To investigate this hypothesis, we treated cells with 25 nM cytochalasin D (CD) to disrupt F-actin polymerization; low CD concentrations displace VASP from the leading edge, presumably by blocking barbed ends (Bear et al., 2002; Lacayo et al., 2007). We immunoprecipitated CXCR2 from the CD-treated cells and examined whether an increased amount of VASP was associated with CXCR2. Contrary to our expectations, CD treatment disrupted the CXCR2-VASP complex. Moreover, both the basal and the ligand induced coimmunoprecipitation of CXCR2 and VASP was reduced by CD treatment (Fig. 9A). It should be noted that the higher molecular weight form of VASP is predominantly detected in CXCR2 immunoprecipitates, indicative of the preferential association of phosphorylated VASP with CXCR2. Importantly, this disruption was not due to lack of VASP phosphorylation in response to CXCL8, because robust phosphorylation at Ser157 and Ser239 still occurred with CD treatment (Fig. 9B). These data indicate that F-actin is required for VASP binding to CXCR2 in cells.

As shown in the previous experiments, the CXCR2 C-terminus was used to test for interaction with the GFP-VASP-ΔFAB in pull-down assays and no defect in VASP-ΔFAB binding to GST-CXCR2 was observed (Fig. 8B). These data in combination suggest that the interaction of VASP with F-actin is necessary for proper VASP localization to the membrane and subsequent interaction with CXCR2. To test this hypothesis, we treated cells with 25 nM CD, stimulated directionally with CXCL8, and examined localization...
of VASP. Cells treated with CD exhibited largely cytoplasmic VASP distribution and diminished recruitment of VASP to the membrane with CXCR2 (Fig. 9C, D). The requirement for F-actin to properly localize VASP for interaction with CXCR2 was illustrated by loss of coimmunoprecipitation and decreased recruitment of VASP to the membrane with CD treatment. The reduction in coimmunoprecipitation of VASP and CXCR2, even in unstimulated cells treated with CD, supports the concept that VASP-actin association brings VASP to the membrane, where, upon ligand stimulation, phosphorylation of VASP and association of VASP with CXCR2 occur.

VASP knockdown specifically impairs CXCR2-mediated chemotaxis

We next sought to determine the effects of knocking down expression of VASP on CXCR2-mediated chemotaxis. A reduction of VASP protein levels was observed in HL-60-CXCR2 cells stably expressing GFP-VASP WT, S153A-S235A, S153-T274A and S235A-T274A. (B) Western blot analysis of purifi ed His-VASP EVH2 domain incubated with catalytic subunit of PKA with and without ATP using a Ser235-P-specific antibody. (C) Binding (mean ± s.e.m.) of His-VASP EVH2 domain incubated with and without ATP to either GST or GST-CXCR2 331-355. Binding was detected by measuring absorbance at 405 nm from three separate experiments. Statistical significance of binding to GST-CXCR2 with or without ATP is indicated by *P≤0.05 (Mann Whitney U-test). (D) Representative Coomassie-blue-stained gel of 5 μg of purified His-GFP VASP EVH2. (E) Representative Coomassie-blue-stained gel of 5 μg of purified GST or GST-CXCR2 331-355.

Fig. 8. CXCR2 interaction with VASP occurs through the VASP-EVH2 domain and requires the coiled-coil region. (A) Schematic of murine VASP domain structure and fragments used in pull-down experiments. Data shown are representative of three separate experiments.
VASP regulates CXCR2-mediated chemotaxis

in general motility was not the reason for deficient CXCL8-mediated chemotaxis. Therefore, we examined whether VASP-knockdown cells were able to polarize in the direction of CXCL8 gradients. These experiments revealed that cells expressing VASP shRNA exhibited significantly impaired directional polarization when compared with cells expressing non-silencing shRNA in response to CXCL8 gradients (Fig. 11A,C). Again, the specificity of this response was investigated by examining CCR1-mediated polarization of VASP-knockdown cells in response to CCL3 gradients. As shown in Fig. 11B,C, cells expressing VASP shRNA polarized efficiently toward CCL3 gradients. To

Fig. 9. F-actin is necessary for localization of VASP to the membrane and interaction with CXCR2. dHL-60 cells were pretreated for 30 minutes with 25 nM cytochalasin D (CytoD) or DMSO (vehicle), then stimulated with vehicle (Mock, Unt) or 100 ng/ml CXCL8 for 1 minute. (A) Cell lysates were incubated with either normal rabbit IgG (Mock IgG) or rabbit anti-CXCR2 antibody-coupled Sepharose. Eluted immunoprecipitated proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blot (IB) for CXCR2 and VASP. (B) Western blot analysis (IB) using antibodies specific for VASP P-Ser157 or VASP Ser239-P of lysates. (C) Immunofluorescence confocal images of CXCR2, VASP and F-actin staining in dHL-60-CXCR2 cells and stimulated directionally with 50 ng/ml CXCL8 in Zigmond chamber for 15 minutes. The arrow indicates direction of CXCL8 gradient (0-50 ng/ml CXCL8). Image represents a single z-section of 0.49 μm. Enlarged panel images are magnified ×4 from original images. Overlay image is pseudocolored where green is VASP, red is CXCR2, and blue is F-Actin. Images were processed using Adobe Photoshop. Scale bars: 5 μm. (D) Quantification of mean ± s.e.m. percentage of cells per ×63 field exhibiting VASP immunofluorescence staining at the plasma membrane versus cytoplasm. Ten microscopic fields were examined for DMSO- and CytoD-treated cells with 2-8 cells per field. Statistical significance of DMSO- versus CytoD-treated cells is indicated (*P<0.0005, Student’s t-test). Data shown are representative of three separate experiments.

Fig. 10. Expression of VASP shRNA specifically impairs CXCR2-mediated chemotaxis. (A) Western blot analysis of VASP in lysates from HL-60-CXCR2 cells stably expressing non-silencing shRNA and VASP shRNA. Average percentage knockdown ± s.e.m. of VASP in western blots from three separate experiments. (B) Boyden chamber assay assessing chemotaxis of HL-60-CXCR2 cells stably expressing non-silencing or VASP shRNA in response to CXCL8 (B) or CCL3 (C) in response to CCL3. Graphs represent the mean ± s.e.m. chemotactic index from three separate experiments. Statistical difference in dose response curve for CXCL8-mediated chemotaxis in non-silencing versus VASP shRNA expressing cells (*P<0.01, one-way ANOVA). There was no significant difference (ns) in CCL3-mediated chemotaxis between non-silencing versus VASP shRNA expressing cells (one-way ANOVA).
investigate whether CXCL8-induced polarization deficiency resulted from the inability of the cells to adopt a polarized morphology or from a defect in the ability of the cells to respond to the CXCL8 gradient, the total number of cells polarized in any direction was examined with CXCL8 and CCL3 gradients. No difference was observed in the total number of polarized cells in response to either CXCL8 or CCL3 gradients upon VASP knockdown (Fig. 11D). These data imply that cells expressing

**Fig. 11.** Cells expressing VASP shRNA exhibit impaired CXCL8-induced cell polarization. Confocal images of cells expressing non-silencing or VASP shRNA stimulated directionally with 50 ng/ml of CXCL8 (A) or 50 ng/ml of CCL3 (B) in a Zigmond chamber for 15 minutes and stained using anti-VASP antibody (green) and phalloidin (red). Arrows indicate the direction of the gradients (0-50 ng/ml CXCL8 or CCL3). Enlarged panels are magnified ×2.5 original images. Scale bars: 5 μm (C). Quantification of mean ± s.e.m. number of cells per field polarized in the direction of the CXCL8 or CCL3 gradients. Statistical significance of non-silencing versus VASP shRNA is indicated (*P<0.001, Mann Whitney U-test). (D) Quantification of the mean ± s.e.m. number of polarized cells from images of 20 ×63 fields, each containing 25-50 cells. Data shown are representative of two separate experiments.
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**Discussion**

This study demonstrates an interaction between CXCR2 and VASP for the first time. VASP coimmunoprecipitates with CXCR2 and colocalization of VASP and CXCR2 is observed in both globally and directionally stimulated cells. A subpopulation of VASP colocalization with CXCR2 is seen in the lamellipodia of directionally stimulated cells, possibly representing phosphorylated VASP that interacts strongly with CXCR2. This CXCR2-VASP interaction might have an important role in the organization of the actin cytoskeleton in response to chemokines. In addition, the data show that leading edge VASP is phosphorylated on Ser239 through PKA- and probably PKCδ-mediated pathways, which enhances the interaction with CXCR2, suggesting that these signaling events are likely to have a key role in the chemotactic response.

Phosphorylation of VASP in the EVH2 domain reduces its G-actin and F-actin binding, and filament-bundling activity (Barzik et al., 2005; Harbeck et al., 2000). Therefore, it is of great interest that CXCL8 stimulation induces VASP phosphorylation on Ser239 and that this affects the binding of CXCR2 to VASP (Fig. 12). If binding of VASP to CXCR2 is mutually exclusive with F-actin interaction, this would represent a novel role for VASP in mediating the CXCR2-mediated chemotactic response. Alternatively, binding of phosphorylated VASP to CXCR2 might allow interaction with F-actin despite VASP phosphorylation in the EVH2 domain. Our data suggest that the CXCR2 interaction probably occurs through the COCO region, and phosphorylation in the EVH2 domain allows a favorable conformation for this interaction to occur. This same region of VASP was shown to be responsible for actin barbed-end capture (Pasic et al., 2008). Since VASP exists as a stable tetramer, it is also possible that tetramers of mixed phosphorylation status could bind both receptor and F-actin simultaneously.

Our data demonstrate that treatment of cells with low concentrations of CD ablates coimmunoprecipitation of VASP with CXCR2 and VASP localization to the membrane. This finding is consistent with previously published results illustrating displacement of Ena/VASP proteins from the leading edge upon low-dose CD treatment (Bear et al., 2002). Since the VASP mutant lacking the FAB motif (ΔFAB mutant) still binds the CXCR2 C-terminus, F-actin might be involved in proper localization of VASP, but might not be required for CXCR2 binding. Thus F-actin barbed ends are probably required for targeting of VASP to the membrane where the interaction with CXCR2 occurs.

Owing to its multidomain structure, VASP also has an important role as an adaptor molecule. VASP interacts with several focal adhesion and leading edge proteins through its EVH1 domain and a number of SH3-domain-containing proteins through its proline-rich region. The interaction of VASP with CXCR2 represents a direct link between the receptor and the actin cytoskeleton and might also serve as an adaptor linking CXCR2 to several additional potential mediators of chemotaxis.

All Ena/VASP family members share a common domain structure and can heterotetramerize via their EVH2 domains (Bachmann et al., 1999). Therefore, the observation that CXCR2 preferentially interacts with the EVH2 domain of VASP is surprising and suggests...
a unique domain feature for this region in VASP. The Thr278 phosphorylation in the EVH2 domain is unique to VASP and little is known regarding the role of this unique site. Results from our experiments show that CXCL8 ligand induces VASP phosphorylation on Thr278, and this Thr278-phosphorylated VASP binds CXCR2. Moreover, lysates from Mβ3 cells expressing VASP mutated at S235A and T274A lost CXCR2 binding. These data, and the fact that the CXCR2 interaction is preferential for VASP, suggest that phosphorylation at both EVH2 sites is important for the interaction. Very low levels of CXCR2 binding to EVL are not surprising because it lacks the serine phosphorylation site that we have shown enhances the interaction. Despite the presence of a phosphorylation site in the EVH2 domain of MenA, it fails to interact with CXCR2. These findings, together with our observation of impaired CXCR2-mediated chemotaxis and polarization in VASP-knockdown cells, argue for a unique function of VASP in CXCR2-mediated responses in leukocytes.

Unique roles for VASP in general motility have been identified previously in other cellular systems. For example, cardiac fibroblasts isolated from VASP−/− mice exhibit increased cell spreading, sustained Rac activation and decreased cell motility (Rega et al., 2002) and VASP knockdown in breast cancer cells revealed that VASP is crucial for migfilin-mediated migration (Zhang et al., 2006). VASP also has well-established roles in regulating platelet activation (Assodi et al., 1999; Hauser et al., 1999; Pula et al., 2006). Dictyostelium Dvaps-null cells exhibit less persistent chemotaxis and reduced directionality in response to a CAMP chemoattractant, suggesting defects in gradient sensing (Han et al., 2002).

Data presented here illustrate a direct connection between an activated CXCR2 chemokine receptor and VASP, linking polarization and gradient-sensing signals. This establishes a novel signaling role for VASP in translating the extracellular chemokine gradient to intracellular polarization cues. Since cells expressing VASP shRNA are still able to polarize in response to a CCL3 gradient but not a CXCL8 gradient, it appears that the polarization defect probably represents a deficiency in CXCR2-mediated CXCL8 gradient sensing. Alternately, the CCL3 receptor might couple to another member of the VASP family to polarize the cell.

The proteomics approach used in this manuscript represents a valuable tool to identify components of the dynamic ‘chemosynapse’. We propose that assembly of components at the cytoplasmic domains of activated chemokine receptors mediates signaling events and cytoskeletal reorganization necessary for chemokine receptor function. This approach was used to identify dynamic protein–protein interactions with CXCR2, and these studies have demonstrated for the first time that CXCR2 interacts with VASP. This interaction represents a direct link between CXCR2 and a regulator of the actin cytoskeleton and also potentially serves as a mechanism to link CXCR2 to other cellular signaling pathways involved in gradient sensing.

Materials and Methods

Materials and antibodies

Anti-CXCR2 affinity-purified polyclonal antibody was generated as described previously (Mueller et al., 1994). Normal rabbit IgG was obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch (West Grove, PA). Anti-VASP polyclonal, anti-VASP phospho-serine 157, and anti-VASP phospho-serine 239 monoclonal antibodies were purchased from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA). Anti-GFP polyclonal antibody was from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). PKA inhibitor Ro-32-0432, and PKCδ inhibitor rottlerin were from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA). Anti-CXCR2 affinity-purified polyclonal antibody was generated as described previously (Han et al., 2002). Primary anti-CXCR2 rabbit antibody-coupled beads. Proteins were eluted with Laemmli sample buffer, loaded onto a 10% polyacrylamide gel, stained with colloidal blue stain (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and bands subjected to in-gel trypsin digest. Tryptic peptides were analyzed by LC-MS/MS using a Finnigan LTQ ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with a Thermo MicroAS autosampler Thermo Surveyor HPLC pump, Nanospray source, and Xcalibur 1.4 instrument control. Analysis and protein identification procedures were described previously (Lapierre et al., 2007) with the exception that a human subset of the NCBI database downloaded in March 2005 and containing 124,917 entries was used for the Sequest searches. Unique proteins in each group were identified using the CHIPS (Complete Hierarchical Integration of Protein Searches) in-house database program. Only proteins identified in at least three of the four experiments by multiple peptides were analyzed further.

Western blot analysis

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk in TBS buffer for 1 hour at room temperature, incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, and washed and incubated with species appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies diluted 1:10,000 (EMD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) or IRDye-conjugated secondary antibodies (Rockland Immunochemicals, Gilbertsville, PA and Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) diluted 1:20,000 for 1 hour at room temperature in dark, and washed three times. Membranes were subjected to detection using ECL or scanned using Odyssey infrared imaging system (Licer Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) and FluorChem 8900 software (Athalometech Corporation, San Leandro, CA).

Dephosphorylation of VASP by lambda phosphatase

Immunoprecipitates were incubated with 200 U of lambda phosphatase in lambda phosphatase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM Dithiothreitol, 0.1 mM EGTA, 0.01% Brij 35) with 2 mM MnCl2 for 1 minute and lysed in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.05% Triton X-100, 300 mM NaCl, cleared by centrifugation, and precleared with normal rabbit IgG-coupled beads. Lysates were incubated with normal rabbit IgG-coupled (Mock) or anti-CXCR2 rabbit antibody-coupled beads. Proteins were eluted with Laemmli sample buffer, loaded onto a 10% polyacrylamide gel, stained with colloidal blue stain (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and bands subjected to in-gel trypsin digest. Tryptic peptides were analyzed by LC-MS/MS using a Finnigan LTQ ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with a Thermo MicroAS autosampler Thermo Surveyor HPLC pump, Nanospray source, and Xcalibur 1.4 instrument control. Analysis and protein identification procedures were described previously (Lapierre et al., 2007) with the exception that a human subset of the NCBI database downloaded in March 2005 and containing 124,917 entries was used for the Sequest searches. Unique proteins in each group were identified using the CHIPS (Complete Hierarchical Integration of Protein Searches) in-house database program. Only proteins identified in at least three of the four experiments by multiple peptides were analyzed further.

Immunofluorescence staining and confocal microscopy

Cells in serum-free RPMI were seeded for 10 minutes at 37°C on glass coverslips coated with 100 μg/ml human fibronectin (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) and stimulated globally with vehicle (0.1% BSA/PBS) or 100 ng/ml CXCL8 diluted in 0.1% BSA-PBS at 37°C for indicated times or directionally with 50 ng/ml CXCL8 for 1 minute and lysed in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.1, 3 mM MgCl2, 0.138 M KCl, 2 mM EGTA) with 312.5 mM sucrose and 4% parafomaldehyde, permeabilized in cytoskeletal buffer with 312.5 mM sucrose and 0.5% Triton X-100 for 10 minutes, and blocked in 1% normal donkey serum (NDS) for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were incubated with 5 μg/ml anti-CXCR2 rabbit antibody (Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA) and 1 μg/ml anti-Act-γ antibody (Sigma) and incubated for 1 hour. Cells were washed three times with 0.5% NDS-PBS, incubated with fluorescein-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 hour, washed three times, and mounted. Confocal images were acquired using a LSM-510 Meta laser-scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY) with ×63 1.3 NA oil-immersion lens and processed by Photoshop software (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA).

Recombinant protein expression and purification

Recombinant His6-tagged full length and EVH2 domain of VASP were purified from Escherichia coli as described previously (Bazikz et al., 2005). Recombinant GST and
Phosphorylation of Hsc70-VASP EVH2 with PKA

The Hsc70-VASP EVH2 domain was purified from Escherichia coli and protein was concentrated using Amicon Ultracentrifugal filter devices (MWCO 5000 Da) (Millipore, Billerica, MA). 50 μg purified Hsc70-VASP EVH2 was incubated with 2500 U cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA) catalytic subunit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) with 100 mM ATP for 30 minutes at 30°C.
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