Oncogenic Src requires a wild-type counterpart to regulate invadopodia maturation
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Summary
The proto-oncogene Src tyrosine kinase (Src) is overexpressed in human cancers and is currently a target of anti-invasive therapies. Activation of Src is an essential catalyst of invadopodia production. Invadopodia are cellular structures that mediate extracellular matrix (ECM) proteolysis, allowing invasive cell types to breach confining tissue barriers. Invadopodia assembly and maturation is a multistep process, first requiring the targeting of actin-associated proteins to form pre-invadopodia, which subsequently mature by recruitment and activation of matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) that facilitate ECM degradation. We demonstrate that active, oncogenic Src alleles require the presence of a wild-type counterpart to induce ECM degradation at invadopodia sites. In addition, we identify the phosphorylation of the invadopodia regulatory protein cortactin as an important mediator of invadopodia maturation downstream of wild-type Src. Distinct phosphotyrosine-based protein-binding profiles in cells forming pre-invadopodia and mature invadopodia were identified by SH2-domain array analysis. These results indicate that although elevated Src kinase activity is required to target actin-associated proteins to pre-invadopodia, regulated Src activity is required for invadopodia maturation and matrix degradation activity. Our findings describe a previously unappreciated role for proto-oncogenic Src in enabling the invasive activity of constitutively active Src alleles.

Key words: Head and Neck cancer, Src, Invadopodia, Cortactin

Introduction
Src is the first described proto-oncogene and a current target for anti-invasive compounds in clinical trials (Brunton and Frame, 2008; Yeatman, 2004). Src participates in a vast array of cellular functions that include the regulation of cell proliferation, adhesion, migration and invasion (Guarino, 2010; Thomas and Brugge, 1997). In normal cells, Src activity is tightly controlled through intramolecular regulation, subcellular localization and protein expression levels. Elevated or aberrant Src activity is a potent mediator of cell transformation and tumor progression, and is associated with the majority of human cancers including head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) (Summy and Gallick, 2003).

One of the most evident phenotypes of Src-transformed cells is the formation of actin-rich ventral membrane protrusive structures that actively degrade ECM (Chen, 1989). These structures, termed invadopodia, are made by metastatic cancer cells and Src-transformed fibroblasts (Linder, 2009). Invadopodia spontaneously form in tumor cells directly cultured from patient samples and are hypothesized to facilitate breaching of basement membranes during metastasis (Clark et al., 2007; Yamaguchi and Condeelis, 2007). Src activity is absolutely necessary for invadopodia formation and function, and the level of tyrosine phosphorylation at invadopodia positively correlates with the degree of ECM degradation (Bowden et al., 2006; Spinardi et al., 2004). The molecular components that make up invadopodia include proteins that facilitate actin assembly, membrane trafficking and focal degradation. Src substrates participate in all of these functions and include the proteins cortactin (Bowden et al., 1999), N-WASp (Yamaguchi et al., 2005), dynamin-2 (Baldassarre et al., 2003), AMAP1 (Onodera et al., 2005), paxillin (Bowden et al., 1999), p130Cas (Brabek et al., 2004), Tsk5 (Seals et al., 2005), p190RhoGAP (Nakahara et al., 1998), AFAP110 (Gatesman et al., 2004) and caveolin (Yamaguchi et al., 2009). Several studies have evaluated Src activity in invadopodia formation through the ectopic expression of constitutively active Src alleles (Artym et al., 2006; Oser et al., 2009; Stylli et al., 2009). However, these activating Src mutants are rarely found in human tumors, which instead typically contain increased levels of wild-type (WT) Src expression and/or aberrant WT Src activity due to hyperactivation of upstream pathways (Yeatman, 2004). The role of WT Src in invadopodia formation and function is unknown.

Invadopodia assembly has been proposed to involve several stages that regulate the progression from pre-invadopodia (non-degradative) complexes to functional, mature invadopodia containing active MMPs that degrade ECM (Artym et al., 2006; Oser et al., 2009). In current models of invadopodia formation, filamentous (F)-actin and the actin-associated protein cortactin are recruited to sites of matrix adhesion, resulting in pre-invadopodia complexes (Artym et al., 2006). The membrane-bound matrix metalloproteinase MT1-MMP (MMP14) is subsequently recruited to these sites, allowing matrix degradation and invadopodia maturation. Cortactin is an actin-binding protein that is phosphorylated by Src kinase (Head et al., 2003), and is a core invadopodia component. Knockdown of cortactin expression results in decreased invadopodia formation (Artym et al., 2006; Webb et
al., 2007) and MMP secretion (Clark and Weaver, 2008; Clark et al., 2007), whereas phosphorylation of cortactin is important for regulation of matrix degradation at invadopodia (Ayala et al., 2008).

Recent work on discerning the molecular mechanism regulating actin polymerization before MMP recruitment has identified dynamic regulation of cortactin phosphorylation or dephosphorylation downstream of Src to be central to this process (Oser et al., 2009). In this model, cortactin sequesters the actin-severing protein cofilin within pre-invadopodia. Tyrosine phosphorylation of cortactin releases cofilin, which in turn accelerates actin polymerization by severing existing invadopodial actin filaments. In addition, tyrosine phosphorylation of cortactin creates docking sites for the adaptor protein Nck1, which binds and activates the Arp2/3 activator N-WASp. The combined effect of cofilin activation and N-WASp-mediated Arp2/3 activity serves to increase actin polymerization as pre-invadopodia mature and obtain the ability to degrade ECM. Dephosphorylation of cortactin is proposed to stabilize maturing invadopodia by downregulating actin polymerization through liberation of the Nck1–N-WASp complex, coupled with rebinding of inactive cofilin. Although these data implicate cycles of cortactin phosphorylation and dephosphorylation as crucial in invadopodia maturation, it is not known how the phosphorylation of cortactin and other invadopodia maturation-associated proteins is spatially and temporally orchestrated through upstream kinase-based signaling to drive invadopodia maturation. In this study, we have determined that the presence of endogenous, regulated WT c-Src is required for the maturation of pre-invadopodia complexes induced by oncogenic Src activity into degradative invadopodia. In addition, we show that cortactin phosphorylation downstream of WT c-Src is an important mediator of the maturation process.

**Results**

**Elevated Src activity regulates invadopodia formation in HNSCC cell lines**

The introduction of constitutively active viral Src (v-Src) or constitutively active cellular Src (Src527F) has been examined in invadopodia formation in cancer cell lines (Artym et al., 2006; Buschman et al., 2009; Oikawa et al., 2008; Stylli et al., 2009), but the role of endogenous c-Src in invadopodia function is unclear. We analyzed a panel of HNSCC lines for endogenous c-Src activity and total c-Src protein levels (Fig. 1A), as well as the ability of these lines to form spontaneous invadopodia on FITC-gelatin matrix (Fig. 1B; supplementary material Fig. S1A). Two (UMSCC1 and OSC19) out of the six tested lines generate invadopodia that were identified by the colocalization of actin and cortactin-rich yellow aggregates in merged images (Fig. 1B, white arrows) coinciding with areas of focal gelatin degradations (black arrows). UMSCC1 and OSC19 cells had substantially elevated c-Src expression and c-Src activity compared with the UMSCC2, 1483 and MSK921 cell lines that do not make invadopodia (Fig. 1A). The FADU cells had elevated c-Src activity, but failed to generate spontaneous invadopodia, suggesting that elevated Src expression alone is not sufficient to drive invadopodia biogenesis in this line. However, invadopodia are formed and matrix degradation occurs in all the HNSCC cell lines that do not form spontaneous invadopodia (UMSCC2, 1483, FADU and MSK921) following exogenous Src527F expression (Fig. 1B; supplementary material Fig. S1B). These data suggest a cell-line-specific threshold of Src activity (highest in FADU cells) that must be reached to support invadopodia formation and matrix degradation. These results are consistent with the idea that elevated Src activity drives invadopodia biogenesis, and are in agreement with previous work with small-molecule Src inhibitors in HNSCC cell lines, which found a dose-dependent decrease of invadopodia formation and matrix degradation (Ammer et al., 2009). Similar results have also been shown for breast cancer cells (Pichot et al., 2009).

**Endogenous Src expression is required for efficient invadopodia-based matrix degradation in HNSCC cells expressing constitutively active Src**

To test the effect of depletion of endogenous c-Src on HNSCC invadopodia formation and function, c-Src expression was knocked down in UMSCC1 cells by RNA interference (SrcSi) (Fig. 2A) and assayed for invadopodia formation and gelatin degradation (Fig. 2B). Endogenous c-Src was depleted by 58% at 2 days, and by 70% at 3 days after transfection. Src-knockdown cells had no statistically significant difference in the number of cells with invadopodia or the number of invadopodia per cell (Fig. 2C, top and middle panels). However, SrcSi cells exhibited a 57% decrease
in gelatin degradation compared with control cells (Ctl) (Fig. 2C, bottom panel). Rescue of WT Src expression in SrcSi cells (SrcSi+WT) restored matrix degradation to levels above those of the control cells (1.7-fold) (Fig. 2C). Increases above control levels are presumably due to the additive effect of remaining endogenous Src from incomplete knockdown coupled with the modest overexpression of the WT Src construct (Fig. 2B).

We also examined the effect of silencing endogenous c-Src in the presence of constitutively active Src (Fig. 2B,C). Consistently with previous reports (Artym et al., 2006; Oser et al., 2009), expression of Src527F in control cells (Ctl+527) or SrcSi cells (SrcSi+527F) resulted in increases in the number of cells with invadopodia (~30%) and the number invadopodia per cell (~36%). Surprisingly, c-Src knockdown markedly blunted the increased degradation due to Src527F expression, a 2.5-fold (SrcSi+Src527F cells) increase compared with a 4.8-fold (Ctl+527F) increase over control cells (Fig. 2C). These results demonstrate that constitutively active Src cannot completely rescue the role of endogenous c-Src in regulation of matrix degradation. Collectively, these data indicate that although increased Src activity enhances invadopodia formation, the presence of endogenous c-Src is required for optimal matrix degradation. Similar results were found in OSC19 cells (supplementary material Fig. S2).

Constitutively active Src is sufficient to promote invadopodia formation but not ECM degradation in Src-null cells

Complete c-Src knockdown in our HNSCC lines is technically problematic because additional Src family kinases (Yes and Fyn) with unknown functions in invadopodia biology are present and maintained in OSC19 and UMSCC1 cell lines treated with SrcSi (Fig. 3A). We therefore used Src-, Yes- and Fyn-deficient (SYF) fibroblasts to further evaluate the role of WT Src in invadopodia function. A GFP-tagged temperature-sensitive mutant of v-Src (tsLa29–GFP) was generated and expressed in SYF cells to dynamically regulate Src activity and invadopodia formation. Src kinase activation occurs within 15 minutes when cells are switched from the non-permissive temperature (41°C) to the permissive temperature (35°C) (Fig. 3B). v-Src inactivation occurs within 30 minutes when cells are shifted back to 41°C. Activation of v-Src leads to the phosphorylation of cortactin on Tyr421, indicating that tsLa29–GFP regulates phosphorylation of a known downstream Src target that is crucial for invadopodia assembly. In agreement with previous reports (Walker et al., 2007), invadopodia formation is induced when cells expressing tsLa29–GFP are switched to the permissive temperature (Fig. 3C). These invadopodia are enriched with active v-Src and phosphorylated cortactin (Fig. 3C;
Fig. 3. Invadopodia produced by constitutively active Src in SYF fibroblasts fail to degrade ECM. (A) Src family kinase expression in HNSCC and fibroblast cell lines. Clarified cell lysates from SYF, SYF+/+, UMSCC1 (treated with control and SrcSi), and OSC19 (expressing control vector and SRC shRNA) cells were resolved by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-Src, anti-Yes, anti-Fyn and anti-β-actin antibodies. (B) Time course of tsLa29 v-Src activation and resulting cortactin phosphorylation. Cells transfected with tsLa29 were incubated at 35°C (permissive temperature) for the indicated times and were lysed or returned to 41°C (non-permissive temperature) for 15 or 30 minutes and analyzed for Src-pY418, GFP, cortactin, and cortactin-pY421. (C) Invadopodia formation in cells expressing v-Src. SYF cells were transfected with tsLa29–GFP (pseudocolored light blue) and incubated at 41°C or 35°C and labeled with TRITC–phalloidin (red) and cortactin (green). Cells were visualized by confocal microscopy and z-stack sectioning. Invadopodia are visible in z-stack images as actin- and cortactin-rich puncta that are several micrometers in length (white arrows). Scale bars: 10 μm, 5 μm (z-stacks). (D) Defective ECM degradation in SYF cells expressing v-Src. SYF cells transfected with tsLa29-v-Src and non-transfected UMSCC1 cells (positive control) were plated directly onto FITC-gelatin-coated coverslips. After 24 hours, cells were labeled with TRITC–phalloidin and anti-cortactin antibodies. White arrows indicate invadopodia and black arrows, areas of degraded matrix in C and D. Scale bars: 10 μm.

supplementary material Fig. S3A). As reported in other invadopodia-forming cell systems (Artyom et al., 2006; Ayala et al., 2008; Clark et al., 2007; Webb et al., 2006), inhibition of cortactin expression using siRNA diminishes the ability of tsLa29–GFP to induce invadopodia formation at the permissive temperature (supplementary material Fig. S3B,C).

To evaluate the functionality of invadopodia in this system, we plated SYF cells on FITC-gelatin-coated coverslips to assay ECM degradation. Invadopodia induced by tsLa29–GFP in the Src-null fibroblasts fail to degrade the ECM at periods up to and beyond 48 hours, indicating they remain in a pre-invadopodia state (Fig. 3D). Experiments with an untagged v-Src produced a similar result, ruling out improper activation or localization related to the addition of GFP. We also attempted to rescue invadopodia maturation in the SYF cells with tsLa29 v-Src. Manipulation of tsLa29 v-Src activity over the 24 hour incubation period by switching cells from permissive to non-permissive temperatures did not result in invadopodia maturation (data not shown). These results demonstrate that constitutively active v-Src activity is responsible for the induction of the initial phosphorylation cascade that drives recruitment of invadopodia components to form pre-invadopodia complexes, but these v-Src-induced complexes are insufficient to direct matrix degradation in SYF cells.

Wild-type Src kinase is necessary for invadopodia maturation

Based on our findings we hypothesized that either: (1) another ubiquitously expressed Src family kinase (Yes and/or Fyn) absent from SYF cells is required for invadopodia maturation in addition to active Src, or (2) WT Src or ‘regulated’ Src must also be present with active Src for degradation of ECM to occur. To test these hypotheses, we used a SYF cell line with two copies of WT Src genetically reintroduced to restore normal WT Src expression (SYFWT; Fig. 3A, Fig. 4A). The percentage of cells forming invadopodia and the percentage of invadopodia-forming cells degrading matrix were assessed following introduction of v-Src or Src527F. Transfection with activated Src constructs in the form of tsLa29 or Src527F induces mature matrix-degrading invadopodia in SYFWT cells, in contrast to pre-invadopodia formation in SYF cells (Fig. 4B). Approximately 55% of SYFWT cells forming invadopodia contained invadopodia that actively degraded matrix, compared with 2% of SYF cells (Fig. 4C). There was no difference in the percentage of cells forming invadopodia (pre and mature) in SYF or SYFWT cells expressing Src527F (Fig. 4C), which is similar to results in UMSCC1 cells (Fig. 2C). Also, the level of general phosphotyrosine-containing proteins localized to invadopodia was unchanged in SYF527F and SYF527F+/+ cells (Fig. 4B). Taken together, these results suggest that catalytically active Src alone promotes the assembly of pre-invadopodia complexes and targets tyrosine phosphorylation of proteins within these structures, but SrcWT is necessary for pre-invadopodia maturation required to induce ECM degradation.

To confirm these findings, WT Src expression was transiently restored in SYF cells and assayed for ECM degradation. Monitoring of the coexpression of Src527F and SrcWT was achieved by creating C-terminal linker fusions with mCherry (Src527F–mCherry) and cerulean (Src–Cer) fluorescent proteins (supplementary material Fig. S4). Transfection efficiency of the co-transfected Src constructs was consistently greater than 90% and imaging revealed that nearly all SYF cells expressed both Src alleles (supplementary material Fig. S5A). In SYF cells expressing SrcWT alone, Src had a perinuclear localization that was consistent with previous reports (Sandilands et al., 2004) (supplementary material Fig. S4B,C). Coexpression of Src527F with WT Src
results in recruitment of WT Src to invadopodia where it colocalizes with Src527F (Fig. 5A). In addition, cells coexpressing these constructs regain the ability to degrade ECM (Fig. 5B,C). To further verify the functional requirement for WT Src in invadopodia maturation, we conducted WT-Src-specific staining of SYF cells containing Src527F. WT Src colocalizes with cortactin to areas of ECM degradation, further demonstrating that Src localizes to mature invadopodia (Fig. 5D, top panels). In addition, direct visualization of WT Src–Cer and Src527F–mCherry in SYF cells demonstrates a concentration of Src–Cer at areas of ECM degradation, with Src527F–mCh localized to the same vicinity (Fig. 5D, bottom panels).

Since the localization of endogenous Src to invadopodia is required for invadopodia maturation, we determined whether catalytically inactive Src could substitute for WT Src and rescue ECM degradation in SYF cells. This result would suggest that two separate and distinct pools of Src (constitutively active and kinase-inactive) are necessary and sufficient for maturation. To test this hypothesis, constitutively active Src527F–mCherry was coexpressed with a cerulean-tagged kinase-inactive Src (Src295M–Cer) in SYF cells. Similarly to WT Src, Src295M was largely perinuclear when expressed alone in SYF cells (supplementary material Fig. S4B,C), but was recruited to invadopodia when coexpressed with Src527F (Fig. 5A). However, Src295M failed to rescue invadopodia maturation (Fig. 5B,C), indicating that catalytically inactive Src does not substitute for WT Src function. Since constitutively active and kinase-dead Src cannot support invadopodia maturation, this suggests that WT Src kinase activity is dynamically regulated to promote invadopodia maturation. Similarly, cell staining for active Src (pY418) localized to pre-invadopodia in SYF cells and mature invadopodia in SYF+/+ cells (Fig. 5E), ruling out the possibility that catalytically active Src initially drives invadopodia assembly, then is inactivated and remains inactive during maturation. Taken together, these results demonstrate that regulated WT Src kinase activation and inactivation within pre-invadopodia complexes is necessary to govern the downstream signaling events required for invadopodia maturation and ECM degradation.

Regulated Src activity directs cortactin phosphorylation dynamics to control invadopodia maturation

In proposed models of invadopodia maturation, dynamic cortactin tyrosine phosphorylation is required for pre-invadopodia maturation (Oser et al., 2009). To identify a potential mechanism of invadopodia maturation affected by regulated Src activation and inactivation, we assessed the role of Src kinase activity on cortactin phosphorylation. In the SYF/SYF+/+ system, cortactin phosphorylated on Tyr421 localized to pre-invadopodia and mature invadopodia in SYF cells and mature invadopodia in SYF+/+ cells (Fig. 5E), suggesting that cortactin phosphorylation is important in pre-invadopodia assembly and invadopodia maturation. This is consistent with a well-described role for cortactin in invadopodia maturation in other systems (Artym et al., 2006; Clark et al., 2007; Oser et al., 2009). Stable cell lines were generated expressing endogenous levels of WT cortactin (control)
or a cortactin mutant in which the three Src-targeted tyrosine residues (421, 470, 486) are mutated to phenylalanine (SYF +/+ CortTYM) (Fig. 6B). Endogenous murine cortactin was silenced with siRNA (CortSi, knockdown >90%), resulting in the exclusive expression of WT or mutant human cortactin (Fig. 6C). Wild-type control and CortTYM SYF +/+ cell lines treated with siRNA to knock down cortactin were transfected with Src527F to promote invadopodia formation. Expression of WT human cortactin rescued the inhibitory effects of cortactin knockdown on invadopodia formation, resulting in the formation of mature invadopodia (~60% of total cells with invadopodia, Fig. 6D,E). However, only ~10% of SYF +/+ CortTYM cells produced degrading invadopodia. Consistent with previous reports (Oser et al., 2009), there were no differences observed in the percentage of cells forming actin or cortactin aggregates in control and CortTYM SYF +/+ cells, demonstrating that cortactin is targeted to pre-invadopodia independently of tyrosine phosphorylation. However, expression of mutant cortactin in SYF +/+ cells completely blocked the upstream function of WT Src, rendering the SYF +/+ Src527F cells with a degradation profile that was similar to that seen in cells lacking WT Src (SYF Src527F, Fig. 4B,C). These results indicate that there is differential phosphotyrosine signaling in SYF cells with WT Src that supports invadopodia maturation downstream of constitutively active Src.

Cells forming pre- and mature invadopodia have distinct phosphotyrosine signatures

To examine whether distinct tyrosine phosphorylation signatures occur in cells that form pre-invadopodia and mature invadopodia, we conducted a non-biased, comprehensive and quantitative SH2-domain screen (Machida et al., 2007) to identify differences in potential phosphotyrosine-binding proteins under conditions of
expression have exclusively manipulated Src activity in cells containing WT c-Src. Tumor cells that form spontaneous invadopodia presumably have upstream oncogenic signals such as overactivation or overexpression of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), which drives c-Src activation (Xue et al., 2006). Accordingly, increased Src kinase activity (through overexpression of constitutively active Src, or overexpression of WT Src) in tumor cells that form spontaneous invadopodia is associated with increased invadopodia formation and matrix degradation (Artym et al., 2006; Oser et al., 2009). Unlike previous reports (Oser et al., 2009), we did not find that tumor cells overexpressing constitutively active Src had less degradation per invadopodia than spontaneous invadopodia formed in control cells (Fig. 2; supplementary material Fig. S2). However, these differences might be attributed to a much larger capacity for invadopodia formation in UMSSC1/OSC19 cells compared with MtLn3 cells (~25 vs ~two invadopodia formed in control cells, respectively). In HNSCC cells and Src-null fibroblasts, we propose that constitutively active Src acts as an oncogenic ‘trigger’ that promotes pre-invadopodia formation, whereas WT Src acts downstream to direct invadopodia stability and maturation. Although models of spontaneous invadopodia formation are invaluable to the field, our finding that Src cycling is essential for invadopodia maturation could only be completely uncovered through the use of the SYF/SYF+/+ system.
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In this study, we show that WT Src is indispensable for invadopodia maturation driven by elevated Src activity. We hypothesize that distinct, temporally and spatially regulated Src
function is necessary to regulate the phosphorylation of cortactin. It is likely that Src regulates other scaffolding proteins that are important in invadopodia stability and maturation, such as dynamin (Caldieri et al., 2008), Tks5 (Seals et al., 2005), IQGAP1 (Sakurai-Yageta et al., 2008) and paxillin (Bowden et al., 1999). It is possible that Src is activated before its localization with downstream actin-associated substrates in pre-invadopodia. Once pre-invadopodia assembly is complete, Src is inactivated [presumably by C-terminal Src kinase (CSK) acting on pY527 (Okada et al., 1991)] and released to regulate additional proteins involved in actin dynamics and MMP delivery to invadopodia. In this way, Src might act as a regulator of several sequentially coordinated protein–interaction events that direct the diverse array of cellular processes at invadopodia, including actin assembly, membrane trafficking and ECM degradation.

It is known that invadopodia produced by cancer cells and transformed fibroblasts use similar signaling pathways and contain many of the same proteins as podosomes produced by osteoclasts, dendritic cells and macrophages (Linder, 2009). SRC-null osteoclasts have been used to study the role of Src in podosome assembly and dynamics (Destaing et al., 2008). WT Src and Src527F were both able to restore normal podosome organization, whereas Src295M did not. These data in podosomes support our findings in invadopodia, but since this report did not address the functionality of these cells to degrade ECM, it is not known whether WT Src is needed for maturation in this system. These results are particularly interesting because Src has been suggested to act as a ‘molecular switch’ to regulate dynamin–Cbl signaling complexes (Bruzzi and colleagues, 2005) and as a protein with an important (kinase independent) adaptor function (Bruzzi and colleagues, 2009) in osteoclast podosomes. Manipulation of Src activity in WT osteoclasts also suggest a dual function for Src in the regulation of actin dynamics through cortactin phosphorylation in podosome assembly and subsequent maturation into more highly organized structures known as sealing zones (Luxenburg and colleagues, 2006).

We identify cortactin as a key regulator of invadopodia maturation downstream of WT Src activity. We show that constitutively active Src is sufficient to target cortactin to pre-invadopodia (Fig. 3C, Fig. 4B), but cortactin is targeted independent of its tyrosine phosphorylation (Fig. 6D,E). This is in agreement with previous reports demonstrating that dephosphorylated cortactin acts as a scaffold to recruit Arp2/3, N-WASP and cofilin (Oser and colleagues, 2009). Interestingly, cortactin phosphorylated at Tyr421 is enriched in pre-invadopodia (SYF Src527F) and in matrix-degrading mature invadopodia (SYF+/+ Src527F) (Fig. 6A; supplementary material Fig. S3A). In addition, tyrosine phosphorylation of cortactin is required for invadopodia maturation and ECM degradation (Fig. 6D,E) (Ayala and colleagues, 2008; Desmarais and colleagues, 2009; Webb and colleagues, 2007). These data suggest that phosphorylation of cortactin occurs before ECM degradation. However, in our SYF/SYF+/+ model this initial cortactin phosphorylation is not sufficient to drive maturation in the absence of WT Src, suggesting that cortactin must be dephosphorylated before maturation can occur. Our proposed mechanism of dynamic Src activity on cortactin phosphorylation in invadopodia maturation is consistent with the model recently proposed by the Condeelis laboratory (Oser and colleagues, 2009), whereby cyclical cortactin phosphorylation regulates actin polymerization and invadopodia stabilization.

Here, we show that Src activation and inactivation regulates cortactin phosphorylation during invadopodia maturation. Future studies will be required to determine whether Src kinase is directly responsible for the initial phosphorylation of cortactin to promote invadopodia assembly or in subsequent step(s) to regulate maturation (Huang and colleagues, 1998). Fer (El Sayegh and colleagues, 2005) and Abl family kinases (Boyle and colleagues, 2007) are also present in SYF cells and might act downstream of Src to regulate one or more of these steps. It also follows that tyrosine phosphatases are critically important in the regulation of invadopodia maturation, because cortactin and other possible targets downstream of Src kinase activity in our system require dynamic cycles of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation to drive ECM degradation. Protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B is a good candidate for this process because it is known to regulate Src in invadopodia dynamics (Cortesio and colleagues, 2008) and has subsequently been shown to regulate cortactin dephosphorylation (Stuible and colleagues, 2008). Nonetheless, we show that WT Src kinase is the critical upstream regulator of other downstream kinases and phosphatases important to these processes.

Invadopodia maturation is associated with the delivery of MMPs to invadopodia sites (Artym and colleagues, 2006; Clark and colleagues, 2007). MT1-MMP is cited as the key MMP that regulates ECM degradation at invadopodia (Poincloux and colleagues, 2009) and Src kinase activity is known to regulate phosphorylation of MT1-MMP and proteins associated with its trafficking to the cell membrane (Nyalendo and colleagues, 2008; Nyalendo and colleagues, 2007). Future studies should address whether WT Src is necessary to control trafficking to, or activation of MT1-MMP at invadopodia. These studies are further warranted because it has been proposed that a major role of cortactin in invadopodia function involves the targeting and delivery of MMPs to invadopodia to enhance ECM degradation (Clark and Weaver, 2008; Clark and colleagues, 2007).

This report identifies a dominant oncogene that requires the proto-oncogenic complement to reach its full spectrum of transforming functionality. Unlike tumor suppressor proteins, which often require loss of non-mutated alleles, little is known about the potential effect of the presence or absence of proto-oncogenes on their oncogenic counterparts during tumorigenesis. A function in Ras-induced tumorigenesis has been described for Ras proto-
oncogenes (Singh et al., 2005), where WT Ras has been reported to regulate the functioning of oncogenic Ras with regards to cell proliferation. Our results are consistent with those from the majority of solid human tumors that contain elevated c-Src expression or specific activity due to hyperactivation of upstream regulatory pathways, rather than activating point mutations (Yeatman, 2004). To our knowledge, this is the first description of such a finding. Similar mechanisms might be required for other transforming kinases involved in driving tumor progression.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture
HNSCC cell lines UMSCC1, FADU and OSC19 were obtained from Jeffrey Myers (M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX). These lines along with UMSCC2 (Thomas Carey, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI), 1483 (David Raben, University of Colorado Denver, Aurora, CO) and MSK921 (Peter Sacks, New York University, New York, NY) were cultured as previously described (Rothschild et al., 2006). SYF (Src−/− Fyn−) and SYF⁺/⁺ (Src+/+ Fyn−) cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. Control non-silencing and human Src-specific lentivirus (Santa Cruz) and cultured OSC19 cells stably expressing vector control or H11032-targeted siRNA (5'-AAACCTCCCTGTGCATGACTT-3') were obtained from Dharmacon. OSC19 cells stably expressing vector or SRC-targeted siRNA were created by infection with control or human Src specific lentivirus (Santa Cruz) and cultured according to the manufacturer’s instructions. SYF or SYF⁺/⁺ cells stably expressing GFP-tagged human cortactin (WT or Y421F, Y466F, Y482F (triple point mutants) (TPM)) were created using the Flp-In™ system (Invitrogen). These lines were transfected with siRNA targeting murine cortactin (Ctnm) (5'-GCCTGGAG-AAGATGTCCTTC3') (scCTN, Dharmacon). For transient transfections, 3×10⁵ cells were incubated with 2 µg plasmid construct or siRNA. Fibroblast lines were transfected with the Nucleofector I device (Amaxa Biosystems) and HNSCC lines were transfected with TurboFect™ transfection reagent (Fermentas).

Immunofluorescence labeling and confocal microscopy
Cells were plated on FITC-gelatin (Sigma)-coated coverslips as described (Artyom et al., 2006) for 12–24 hours. Cells were fixed with fresh 4% formaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.4% Triton X-100 in PBS. Primary antibodies were diluted with 5% BSA in PBS. Antibodies used were: cortactin 4F11, cortactin EP1922Y (Novo Biologicals), human cort-pY421 (Ammer et al., 2009), Src-pY418 (Biosource), Src GD11 (Upstate), GFP/Cerulean 3E6 (Invitrogen), pY3-100 (BD Biosciences), Alexa Fluor 405 goat anti-rabbit and Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti-mouse (Molecular Probes). F-actin was labeled with Rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (Molecular Probes). Cells were mounted in Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech) and imaged with a Zeiss G1D11 (Upstate) and 4867 goat anti-rabbit (Molecular Probes). Cells were mounted in Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech) and imaged with a Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope using AIM software (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging). A Nikon Swept-Field using Nikon Elements software (Nikon Instruments) was used for imaging of Src–/–C–/–.

Invadopodia and matrix-degradation assays
Cells with invadopodia were identified by the presence of at least one actin and cortactin aggregate within the cell (≥300). Degradation per cell area was analyzed using ImageJ as described previously (Clark et al., 2007). Cells with invadopodia-degrading activity were transfected with TurboFect™ transfection reagent (Fermentas). Transfected cells were maintained in selective medium containing the appropriate antibiotic for 24–48 hours. Cells were transfected with pCMV-TS-L29 vortex into EGFP-N1 using unique EcoRI and BamHI restriction sites.

Human cortactin constructs
A single-stranded primed cDNA library (Invitrogen) was used for cloning human cortactin (CTTN) cDNA. The cDNA was PCR amplified to produce a 965 bp KpnI-HincII fragment and a 688 bp HincII–EcoRI fragment. Fragments were ligated into pcDNA3FLAG2AB that had been digested with KpnI and EcoRI, to generate the 1653 bp full-length CTTN cDNA. The CTTN triple tyrosine mutant (TMY) was generated using the QuickChange kit with primers designed to alter codons 421, 470 and 486 from tyrosine to phenylalanine and confirmed by DNA sequencing. WT and TMY CTTN cDNAs were subsequently amplified as EcoRI–KpnI fragments and subcloned into pEGFP-N1 (WT) or pAcGFP-N1 (TMY). CTTN WT and TMY fragments were amplified by PCR and subcloned into pEF5×FRT5–DsRed2 (Invitrogen) and stable SYF and SYF⁺/⁺ cell lines generated using the Flp-In system (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Immunoprecipitation
Cells were lysed in NP40 Buffer (20 mM HEPEs–KOH, pH 7.8, 50 mM KCL, 1 mM EDTA and 1% NP40). Anti-cortactin (4F11, 5 µg) was incubated with 0.5 mg clarified lysates for 2 hours at 4°C, then incubated with 40 µl Protein A/G Beads (Thermo Scientific) for 1 hour at 4°C. Immune complexes were collected by centrifugation, washed twice with NP40 Buffer, separated by SDS-PAGE and western blotted with antibodies as described.

SH2 and PTB binding assay
SH2 and PTB domain binding assays were performed as described (Dierck et al., 2009; Machida et al., 2007). Briefly, SYF cell lysates were spotted in duplicate on a nitrocellulose membrane in register with the wells of a 96-well chamber plate. Each well was separately incubated with purified GST–SH2 or GST–PTB domains (~100 nm) for 2 hours. Probe binding was detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) (Perkin Elmer) and digitally captured (Kodak Image Station). Two independent experiments were performed in duplicate, providing four quantifiable analysis data points for each probe. The array images were background-subtracted and the integrated density of each spot was measured using ImageJ (v1.40).

Statistical analysis
Differences in mean values between groups were evaluated using a Student’s t-test (two groups) or a one-way ANOVA (multiple groups) followed by Scheffe post-hoc testing.
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