The Rab GTPase Ypt7 is linked to retromer-mediated receptor recycling and fusion at the yeast late endosome
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Summary
Organelles of the endomembrane system need to counterbalance fission and fusion events to maintain their surface-to-volume ratio. At the late mammalian endosome, the Rab GTPase Rab7 is a major regulator of fusion, whereas the homologous yeast protein Ypt7 seems to be restricted to the vacuole surface. Here, we present evidence that Ypt7 is recruited to and acts on late endosomes, where it affects multiple trafficking reactions. We show that overexpression of Ypt7 results in expansion and massive invagination of the vacuolar membrane, which requires cycling of Ypt7 between GDP- and GTP-bound states. Invaginations are blocked by ESCRT, CORVET and retromer mutants, but not by autophagy or AP-3 mutants. We also show that Ypt7–GTP specifically binds to the retromer cargo-recognition subcomplex, which – like its cargo Vps10 – is found on the vacuole upon Ypt7 overproduction. Our data suggest that Ypt7 functions at the late endosome to coordinate retromer-mediated recycling with the fusion of late endosomes with vacuoles.
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Introduction
Organelles of the endomembrane system are interconnected by vesicular transport, which requires constant fission and fusion reactions at their surface. Whereas fission depends on coat proteins and membrane deformation to generate vesicles, fusion relies on an ordered cascade of tethering and SNARE (soluble NSF attachment protein receptor) complexes to bridge and eventually merge the lipid bilayers. The function of tethering complexes is coordinated by Rab GTPases (Bos et al., 2007). These small Ras-like GTPases are activated by a nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) and interact in their GTP-bound form with their effectors (i.e. tethering complexes). GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) convert Rabs back to their inactive GDP-bound form (Barr and Lambright, 2010).

In the endocytic pathway, two Rab GTPases coordinate fusion and maturation of endosomes into the late endosome. The Rab5 GTPase Vps21 at the endosome binds the CORVET tethering complex, whereas the Rab7 GTPase Ypt7 interacts with the homologous HOPS complex at the vacuole (Peplovska et al., 2007; Seals et al., 2000). Both tethering complexes consist of six subunits. Four class C subunits (Vps11, Vps16, Vps18 and Vps33) are found in both. In addition, CORVET contains Vps3 and Vps8, and homologous subunits Vps39 and Vps41 are found in the HOPS complex (Nickerson et al., 2009; Peplovska et al., 2007). Vps39 binds to Ypt7 independent of the nucleotide load (Ostrowicz et al., 2010; Wurmser et al., 2000). Several studies indicate that endosomal maturation is connected to the exchange of Rab5 for Rab7 (Poteryaev et al., 2010; Rink et al., 2005; Vonderheit and Helenius, 2005). The maturation process includes the retrieval of receptors via the retromer complex back to the trans Golgi network (TGN). The retromer complex consists of two parts: the membrane-remodeling complex contains the BAR domain proteins Vps5 and Vps17, and cooperates with the receptor-binding complex comprising Vps26, Vps29 and Vps35 (Bonifacino and Hurley, 2008; Seaman, 2005). Recent data from mammalian cells indicate that retromer is recruited by Rab7–GTP to late endosomes (Rojas et al., 2008; Seaman et al., 2009). These data suggested the coordination of retrograde transport with the fusion of late endosomes with lysosomes.

As Rab7 GTPase Ypt7 also interacts with the HOPS complex to mediate fusion, we wondered whether we could determine a similar sequence of events in yeast to better understand the regulation of recycling and fusion at the late endosome. Here, we show that Ypt7 overexpression triggers a massive expansion of the vacuole membrane. This expansion is caused by a defect in membrane recycling from late endosomes and increased fusion with the vacuole. Our data indicate that Ypt7 is recruited to late endosomes, interacts with the cargo-recognition complex of retromer, and might have a dual role in membrane recycling and fusion of late endosomes with the vacuole.

Results
Overexpression of Ypt7 causes membrane expansion of the yeast vacuole
We previously presented evidence that the Vps41 subunit of the HOPS tethering complex is recruited to the late endosome (Cabrer et al., 2009; Cabrera et al., 2010). Moreover, Vps41 localization was strongly affected by alterations in Ypt7 (Cabrera et al., 2009), indicating that Ypt7 also might operate at the late endosome. Because Ypt7 deletion results in massive vacuole fragmentation...
(Haas et al., 1995), we reasoned that overexpression might provide valuable insights into Ypt7 function at the endosome and vacuole. To our surprise, Ypt7 overproduction resulted in a pronounced change of vacuolar morphology, with the formation of invaginated structures that were further stabilized if the HOPS subunit Vps39 was co-overexpressed (Fig. 1A). If Ypt7 was also GFP tagged, it was found both on the vacuole membrane and partially in the cytoplasm (Fig. 1B), whereas GFP-tagged Ypt7 under the control of the mild PHO5 promoter localizes to vacuoles and does not affect their morphology (Cabrera et al., 2009). Upon additional overexpression of Vps39, a portion of GFP–Ypt7 was shifted to the vacuole membrane (Fig. 1B, bottom row), consistent with its known interaction with Ypt7 (Wurmser et al., 2000). Regardless of the promoter used for overexpression, the medium or the ploidy of the cells, invaginations of the vacuole membrane were observed (Fig. 1B). These invaginations resulted in the formation of mobile tubular structures within the vacuole lumen (Fig. 1C,D). Similar, although more transient invaginations have been described as autophagic tubules (Binda et al., 2009; Muller et al., 2000). If the observed invaginations bud off into the vacuole lumen, we would expect proteolytic digestion of GFP–Ypt7 to the GFP moiety, as observed for the fate of the autophagy marker GFP–Atg8 (see Fig. 2E, below). This was not the case (Fig. 1E), indicating that the observed expansion is unrelated to (micro-) autophagy.

It was possible that Ypt7 overexpression could alter vacuole morphology by interfering with membrane packaging. We therefore replaced the Ypt7 prenyl anchor with a transmembrane domain (TMD) by homologous recombination, and analyzed vacuole morphology (Fig. 1F). Similar to the previously generated Ypt1 and Sec4 TMD proteins (Ossig et al., 1995), anchoring Ypt7 via a TMD resulted in a functional protein, as judged by the intact vacuole morphology, and led to the same invaginated vacuole

---

**Fig. 1. Ypt7 overproduction induces vacuolar invaginations.** (A) Ypt7 overproduction causes vacuolar invaginations. Cells with or without YPT7 and VPS39 under the control of the GAL1 promoter (GAL) were grown in YPG medium to logarithmic phase, stained with FM4-64, harvested, washed with PBS buffer and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Exposure times were kept constant for all mutant strains. (B) Ypt7 localization upon overproduction. N-terminally GFP-tagged Ypt7 under the control of the GAL1 or TEF1 promoter in haploid or diploid strains (2n). Where indicated, Vps39 was also overproduced. (C) Dynamics of the Ypt7-induced vacuolar invaginations. Cells overexpressing GFP–Ypt7 and Vps39 (2n) were grown in YPG overnight, transferred into SGC medium and analyzed for the indicated times by fluorescence microscopy. (D) 3D analysis of membrane invaginations. Cells were grown as in C and analyzed by laser-scanning microscopy. (E) Stability of GFP–Ypt7 upon overproduction. Cells expressing GFP–Ypt7 from the GAL1 promoter were grown in YPD overnight and then transfected into YPG to induce expression. 0.5 OD600 equivalents of cells were lysed, and proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blotting at the indicated time points, using antibodies against GFP. (F) Localization of transmembrane-anchored Ypt7. Ypt7 and GAL1-GFP-Ypt7 were C-terminally tagged with the Vam3 transmembrane segment (TMD). Cells were labeled with FM4-64 and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. (G) Expression of YPT7 nucleotide-locked versions. YPT7Q68L or YPT7T22N mutants were expressed under the control of the native or GAL1 promoter. Where indicated, YPT7 was also GFP tagged. Scale bars (A–D,F,G): 5 μm. DIC, differential interference contrast.
phenotype upon overproduction (Fig. 1F). Ypt7 is, however, no longer restricted to the vacuole, but is found on many membranes in the cell.

Next, we asked whether Ypt7 cycling between GDP and GTP forms is connected to the expansion of the vacuole membrane. Whereas a GDP-locked (T22N) Ypt7 yielded fragmented vacuoles, a GTP-locked (Q68L) version behaved like the wild type (Fig. 1G). However, overexpression of either mutant resulted in vacuole fragmentation, indicating that the altered vacuole morphology phenotype caused by the overexpressed wild-type protein requires cycling of Ypt7 between the GTP and GDP form.

**Ypt7 overexpression causes multiple sorting defects**

We wondered whether the altered vacuole morphology would perturb sorting or recycling of proteins in the endocytic pathway. Initially, we followed Vps10–GFP, a cargo receptor that transports carboxypeptidase Y (CPY) to the vacuole and is then recycled to the Golgi (Marcussen et al., 1994). In wild-type cells, Vps10 is localized to multiple dots proximal to the vacule, reflecting most probably Golgi and endosomal structures (Burda et al., 2002), mislocalized to the vacule in vps26 depletion mutants (Fig. 2A), and trapped at endosomes if Vps21 and the CORVET subunit Vps8 are upregulated (Markgraf et al., 2009) (Fig. 2A). Interestingly, overexpression of Ypt7 caused a clear shift of Vps10 to the vacuole membrane, suggesting a defect in recycling (Fig. 2A, two lowest panels). We then asked whether the sorting of hydrolyses via the endosome would be equally affected. Ypt7 overexpression did not cause any defect in GFP–Cps1 sorting to the vacuole lumen (Fig. 2B), but did perturb CPY sorting, which we followed by analyzing the CPY content in cells (Fig. 2C). This observation is in agreement with the shift of Vps10 to the vacuole under these conditions (Fig. 2A) and its increased proteolytic clipping, which was also observed in vps26Δ cells (supplementary material Fig. S1). Strong sorting defects due to Ypt7 overexpression were also detected for AP-3 sorting using a fusion protein of GFP–Nyv1 with a Snc1 transmembrane anchor (GNS construct). In AP-
3-defective strains, this construct is found on the plasma membrane and is subsequently sorted to the vacuole lumen (Reggiori et al., 2000) (Fig. 2D). Finally, alterations in Ypt7 expression levels caused a clear defect in autophagy, as detected by GFP–Atg8 degradation to free GFP after uptake into the hydrolytic environment of the vacuole lumen (Fig. 2E). We therefore conclude that Ypt7 overexpression not only alters vacuole morphology, but also affects multiple transport events to the vacuole.

A link between Ypt7-mediated alterations of vacuole morphology and endosomal biogenesis

To place the altered vacuole morphology caused by Ypt7 overexpression into the context of trafficking events to the vacuole, we examined morphology in multiple deletion mutants. No effect was observed for several ATG mutants, indicating that the observed process is not a consequence of autophagy (Table 1). Mutations in components of the EGO complex, which regulates Tor1 kinase activity (Dubouloz et al., 2005), also showed no effect. More pleiotropic phenotypes were observed for mutants with altered lipid biosynthesis, such as deletions of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase Vps34, the Atg18 lipid-binding protein, the phosphoinositide 3-phosphate-5-kinase Fab1 or enzymes of ergosterol biosynthesis. Some mutants abolished invaginations (atg18Δ, fab1Δ); others led to vacuole fragmentation (vps34Δ) (Table 1). For three distinct groups of mutants, we observed distinct phenotypes. First, deletions of class D genes such as CORVET subunits VPS3 and VPS8 and the Vps21-GEF VPS9 led to round vacuoles. A similar phenotype was detected for the deletion of the retromer subunit VPS5. A similar phenotype was detected for the deletion of the retromer subunit VPS8 (Figs. 3A,B). This is not a mere relocalization of vacuolar proteins, as vacuole-resident proteins such as Vac8 did not accumulate at class E compartments (not shown). Third, deletions of the casein kinase led to vacuole fragmentation in an enhanced invagination phenotype, with vacuoles reminiscent of large multivesicular bodies (Fig. 3A,B). Thus, Ypt7 is also found at late endosomes and requires endosomal functionality to cause membrane expansion. In agreement with this conclusion, a quantity of Vps41, the HOPS effector subunit, is also localized to class E endosomes (Cabrera et al., 2009; Cabrera et al., 2010).

We further investigated whether the observed invaginated structures would be stable enough to be maintained on isolated vacuoles. Interestingly, vacuoles from wild-type and Ypt7 overexpression strains both showed round vacuoles when isolated (Fig. 3C, inset; supplementary material Fig. S2A). However, quantification of the cross-section area of the vacuoles revealed an up to 50% increase in the surface area of vacuoles from the Ypt7 overexpression strain; this was comparable to the increase caused by deletion of VPS26 (Fig. 3C). When vacuoles were isolated from cells in which overexpressed Ypt7 was also GFP tagged, we could detect some dots on the vacuolar surface and internal dots in yck3Δ cells with overexpressed Ypt7 (supplementary material Fig. S2A). These were absent on vacuoles from retromer mutants. Our data thus reveal that the invaginations of vacuolar membranes seen in vivo are transformed into increased surface area of isolated organelles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Strain with GAL1pr-GFP-YPT7</th>
<th>Vacuole phenotype</th>
<th>GFP–Ypt7 localization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endosome</td>
<td>vps3Δ, vps8Δ, vps9Δ</td>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>VM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retromer</td>
<td>vps5Δ, vps17Δ, vps26Δ, vps29Δ, vps35Δ</td>
<td>Class B, Class A, Class F and A, Class A, Class A</td>
<td>VM, VM, VM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCRT and MVB</td>
<td>vps27Δ, snf7Δ, vps4Δ, doa4Δ</td>
<td>Class E, Class E, Class E</td>
<td>VM and EC, VM and EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuole</td>
<td>yck3Δ, nyy1Δ, cze1Δ</td>
<td>Invaginated and pinched-off vesicles, Class C, Class C, Class D</td>
<td>VM, VM, VM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid metabolism</td>
<td>fab1Δ, vps34Δ, erg1Δ, atg1Δ, atg9Δ, atg18Δ</td>
<td>Class D, Class D, Invaginated, Invaginated, Class A and D, no invaginations</td>
<td>VM, VM, VM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autophagy</td>
<td>vtc1Δ, vtc2Δ, vtc3Δ</td>
<td>Invaginated (diminished), Invaginated, Invaginated</td>
<td>VM, VM, VM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tor and Ego</td>
<td>gtr1Δ, gtr2Δ, ego1Δ, ego2Δ, tor1Δ</td>
<td>Invaginated, Invaginated, Invaginated, Invaginated</td>
<td>VM, VM, VM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Screening of deletion mutants for Ypt7-induced alterations in vacuole morphology

Mutants expressing GFP–Ypt7 from the GAL1 promoter were stained with FM4-64 and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy as described in Fig. 1A. *Accumulation on vacuolar membrane (VM). †Accumulation in the class E compartment (EC). ‡Dotted accumulations (DA).
As vacuoles undergo osmotic shock during isolation, we measured the membrane section length in cells to estimate the amount of vacuolar membrane in vivo (Fig. 3D). The membrane length of vps26Δ cells increased by 3 μm compared with the wild type and by an additional 2 μm if Ypt7 was overproduced. This shows that the expansion of the vacuole in YPT7-overexpressing cells is not solely caused by the retromer recycling defect (Fig. 2C). We ascribe this further expansion (when compared with the VPS26 deletion) to increased fusion of endosomes with the vacuole (see below). Further quantification shows that the expansion of the vacuole membrane caused by Ypt7 is similar whether or not VPS26 has been deleted in addition. If we assume that the measured circumference corresponds to a sphere, the increase in surface area would be substantial [from 15.2 (wild type) to 28.7 μm² (vps26Δ) and 38.5 μm² (Ypt7 overexpression)]. Thus, Ypt7-induced expansion is probably the result of a retromer recycling defect in combination with enhanced fusion of endosomes with the vacuole. We note, however, that the deletion of vps26 also abolishes the invagination phenotype otherwise observed upon Ypt7 overproduction.

Even though Ypt7 was shifted to vacuolar structures by coexpression of Vps39 (Fig. 1A,B), we did not detect any substantial change in membrane expansion (Fig. 3D). Indeed, we did not observe any sizeable change in Ypt7 levels by subcellular fractionation if Vps39 was overexpressed (supplementary material Fig. S2B).

### Interaction between retromer and Ypt7

Previous experiments in mammalian cells suggested a direct interaction between Rab7 and retromer (Rojas et al., 2008; Seaman et al., 2009), and our data indicate that the expansion of the vacuole membrane might be the result of reduced membrane recycling. We therefore reasoned that an increase in Ypt7 might favor fusion over recycling at the late endosome, and might shift retromer to the vacuole. To test for Ypt7–retromer interaction, we used GTPγS- or GDP-loaded GST–Vps21 or GST–Ypt7 in a pull-down assay. When a detergent extract from cells expressing HA-tagged Vps26 (a subunit of the cargo-recognition complex) was incubated with GST–Rab proteins, Vps26 was detected on beads loaded with GTPγS (Fig. 4A). Tagging of either retromer subunit yielded a functional protein (supplementary material Fig. S3). Binding to Ypt7–GDP or other GST–Rab proteins was reduced to background levels. We then asked whether this interaction is specific to the cargo-recognition subcomplex of the retromer (consisting of Vps26, Vps29 and Vps35) or the Vps5–Vps17 subcomplex. The interaction of Ypt7–GTPγS with Vps26–HA was robust at higher salt concentrations (supplementary material Fig. S4). Because the two retromer subcomplexes are separated at high salt concentrations (Seaman et al., 1998), this result points towards an interaction between Ypt7 and the cargo-recognition subcomplex. In addition, Vps17–HA binding to Ypt7 was strongly reduced, indicating that Rab binding is specific to the cargo-recognition complex (Fig. 4B). Vps41, a known interaction partner of Ypt7–GTP (Brett et al., 2008), was not detected in the pull-down assay (supplementary material Fig. S5).

**Fig. 3. Deletion of class D and E genes inhibits vacuolar invagination.**

(A) Morphology of vacuoles in endosomal mutants upon Ypt7 overproduction. Strains that lack the indicated genes and overexpress GFP–YPT7 were labeled with FM4-64 and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Additional mutants are summarized in Table 1. White arrowheads indicate the class E compartments. Scale bar: 5 μm. (B) Detailed analysis of GFP–Ypt7 localization in snf7Δ and yck3Δ cells. Analysis as in A. Scale bar: 2 μm. (C) Invaginations observed in vivo result in increased surface area of isolated vacuoles. Vacuoles from indicated strains were purified and analyzed by microscopy. The cross-section area of the isolated organelles was measured and analyzed as described in the Materials and Methods. (D) Measurement of vacuolar membrane section length in vivo (see Materials and Methods). (C,D) Mean values ± s.e.m. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences compared with wild type: *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001. Analysis of significance by t-test.
Colocalization of retromer with the Rab GTPases Vps21 and Ypt7 on endosomes

To follow Ypt7 and the retromer complex in vivo, we constructed functional C-terminal GFP-tagged versions of Vps26 and Vps17 (supplementary material Fig. S3). In wild-type cells, Vps26 and Vps17 are found in multiple dots proximal to the vacuole, which partially colocalize with FM4-64 (Fig. 5A,B). Whereas deletion of VPS21 resulted in less Vps26–GFP and Vps17–GFP localized to endosomes, colocalization in ypt7Δ cells was difficult to assess because of the massive fragmentation of the vacuoles. However, retromer was still detected on endosomal structures in both deletion strains in a subcellular fractionation experiment (Fig. 5C), in contrast to the Rab-dependent localization of mammalian retromer (Rojas et al., 2008; Seaman et al., 2009). This indicates that Rab proteins in yeast are not the sole determinant of retromer localization. Upon Ypt7 overexpression, Vps26–GFP is shifted to vacuoles (Fig. 5A), consistent with the interaction of the receptor complex with Ypt7 (Fig. 4). As yeast late endosomes and vacuoles are poorly resolved by subcellular fractionation, we could not follow this redistribution by subcellular fractionation (not shown).

To investigate the localization of retromer (Vps17–GFP and Vps26–GFP) with endocytic Rab proteins in wild-type cells, we coexpressed them with RFP-tagged Vps21 and Ypt7. Our data indicate that both Rab proteins show partial colocalization with retromer to punctate structures proximal to the vacuole (Fig. 5D,E), which most likely correspond to endosomes. Enlarged images of the vacuole membrane reveal the partial colocalization of Vps21 (or Ypt7) and the retromer subunits. Thus, Ypt7 also functions at the late endosome, consistent with its accumulation in class E compartments (Fig. 3A,B).

We also analyzed whether retromer recruits Ypt7 to the endosomal membrane by analysis of GFP–Ypt7 in different retromer mutants (supplementary material Fig. S5). However, we could not observe any significant differences in the distribution of Ypt7 compared with the wild-type control (see also supplementary material Fig. S2B).

Ypt7 overproduction alters the sensitivity of vacuole fusion to HOPS

To monitor the effect of Ypt7 on fusion, we generated fusion tester strains that overexpressed Ypt7. Fusion was then monitored using vacuoles from two tester strains expressing either the protease Pep4 or its pro-alkaline phosphatase substrate, which is activated by Pep4 after content mixing. Fusion of wild-type vacuoles is insensitive to added HOPS complex, as the endogenous HOPS is already sufficient (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, with increasing HOPS addition, the inhibitory effect of the salt in the buffer reduces fusion significantly. By contrast, vacuoles with excess Ypt7 were clearly stimulated by additional HOPS complex and the inhibitory buffer effect was not observed. These vacuoles fused, however, at a lower rate compared with wild-type vacuoles. We ascribe this to the inefficient sorting of Pho8 by means of the AP-3 pathway, which is defective as a result of Ypt7 overproduction (Fig. 2D).

In summary, we conclude that vacuoles with excess Ypt7 can fuse efficiently and will be readily stimulated by available HOPS complex. Even though we analyzed vacuole fusion, a similar effect might apply to the late endosome, where Ypt7 also functions (Fig. 3, Fig. 5D,E). This would explain the preference for fusion over retrieval at the late endosome, as observed for the relocation of the retromer complex to vacuoles.

Discussion

We analyzed the role of Ypt7 at the late endosome to vacuole transition. Like its Rab7 homolog, Ypt7 might be involved in both receptor recycling and HOPS-mediated vacuole fusion. To analyze Ypt7 function, we followed the consequences of Ypt7 overexpression for protein sorting and vacuole morphology. We observed a massive expansion of the vacuole membrane, which leads to a dramatic increase in surface area. We ascribe this to increased fusion of endosomes with vacuoles at the expense of efficient recycling (Fig. 2, Fig. 6A). In agreement with this
interpretation, retromer and its cargo Vps10 are shifted to the vacuole membrane. Indeed, retromer is able to bind Ypt7–GTP through its cargo-recognition subcomplex, consisting of Vps26–Vps29–Vps35, as observed for mammalian cells (Rojas et al., 2008). Our data also revealed colocalization of retromer and Ypt7 in wild-type cells and substantial accumulation of Ypt7 at immature class E late endosomes. This suggests that Ypt7 function at the late endosome is regulated directly or indirectly by ESCRT, and is tightly linked to the maturation state of the organelle. We suggest that Ypt7 overexpression overrides this regulation, resulting in membrane expansion of the vacuole. As Ypt7 also interacts with retromer (Figs 4, 5), we postulate that there is cross-talk between retromer, ESCRT and the fusion machinery through Ypt7.

Our data confirm that Ypt7 affects retromer functionality, similar to the observation made in mammalian cells for Rab7 and retromer (Rojas et al., 2008; Seaman et al., 2009). In contrast to mammalian cells, we did not find that the membrane localization of retromer was affected by Ypt7 deletion. This is expected, because the Vps26–Vps29–Vps35 cargo-recognition complex also cooperates with several sorting nexins, such as Snx3, for receptor retrieval at the early endosome (Strochlic et al., 2008; Strochlic et al., 2007). However, the strong interaction of Ypt7–GTP with retromer is restricted to the Vps26–Vps29–Vps35 subcomplex, although we could not identify the direct binding partner. As a consequence of Ypt7-mediated membrane fusion, vacuoles expand and retromer shifts to the vacuole surface. We do not currently know whether this is a direct consequence of Ypt7 binding or is due to enhanced consumption of late endosomes. As retromer might function inefficiently at the vacuole, membrane recycling might be equally affected. Our data would thus suggest that Ypt7 coordinates membrane recycling and fusion at the late endosome.

Ypt7 was previously found primarily on vacuoles. During our analysis of mutants with altered vacuole morphology due to Ypt7 overexpression, two classes showed a substantial decrease in vacuolar invaginations. In class D mutants, Ypt7 localized normally to membranes and the vacuole morphology was normal (class D) (Table 1). Class D vacuoles have endosome and vacuolar properties, and are deficient in membrane dynamics in events such as inheritance, fragmentation and ESCRT-mediated multivesicular body (MVB) formation (Peplowska et al., 2007; Takeda et al., 2007).
Expansion of vacuoles. Indeed, mutants defective in \( \Delta vps26 \) membrane surface area more than doubles if Ypt7 is overproduced (Cabrera et al., 2009). Potentially, Ypt7 would be activated prior to endosomal maturation, interact with retromer to allow receptor recycling and only bind to HOPS once ubiquitin has been removed. However, for Ypt7 overexpression mutants, we observed massive vacuolar invaginations in vivo, which expand into large vacuoles when isolated (Fig. 3C). This indicates that the invagination of the surface could be caused by stabilization of negatively curved membranes. We previously identified the Ypt7-effector subunit Vps41, a component of the HOPS complex, as a target of the casein kinase Yck3 (Cabrera et al., 2009; Cabrera et al., 2010; LaGrassa and Ungermann, 2005). Vps41 mutants strongly accumulate on vacuolar surfaces if Ypt7 is upregulated (Cabrera et al., 2009). We therefore propose that either Vps41 itself or components of or associated with the HOPS complex are involved in stabilizing the altered vacuolar morphology. Indeed, overexpression of Ypt7 in \( yck3 \Delta \) mutants results in even deeper invaginations, which are maintained in isolated vacuoles (Fig. 3B,C; supplementary material Fig. S3). In agreement with these speculations, Wickner and colleagues reported that liposomes, which fused in a HOPS-dependent reaction, showed massive invagination (Mima and Wickner, 2009). We therefore postulate that HOPS contributes to the alteration in the vacuolar membrane observed here. If ESCRT or retromer are lacking, fusion is still favored (Fig. 3D), but membrane-active proteins (potentially HOPS) might not be stabilized nor remain active at the vacuole, and the membrane invagination phenotype is lost.

Our data also show that HOPS subunit Vps39 affects the intracellular localization of Ypt7. Vps39 binds to all forms of Ypt7 (Wurmser et al., 2000) and can cluster Ypt7 at endosomal structures (Ostrowicz et al., 2010). However, Vps39 does not enhance the Ypt7-triggered vacuole surface expansion (Fig. 3D). How Vps39 stimulates Ypt7 clustering is so far unknown.
Our data are consistent with the idea that the endosomal membrane contains microdomains responsible for recycling, downregulation or sorting (Seaman, 2009). We term these structures suborganellar microcompartments, as a more general name to distinguish them from the terminology used for the plasma membrane (Lingwood and Simons, 2010). In the case of Ypt7 overexpression, the balance between these microcompartments is shifted and results in pronounced fusion. How such regulation is mediated at endosomes in wild-type cells will be the focus of future studies.

Materials and Methods

Yeast strains and plasmids

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains used in this study are listed in supplementary material Table S1. Gene deletion and tagging were done by homologous recombination in yeast via PCR fragments. Overexpression of YPT7 or VPS39 with the GAL1 promoter was carried out by integrating a PCR product with flanking regions of the respective gene, amplified from pFA6a-kanMX6-PGAL1, pFA6a-HIS3MX6-PGAL1, pFA6a-HIS3MX6-PGAL1-GFP and pFA6a-TRP1-PGAL1-GFP (Longtine et al., 1998). VPS39 was tagged at the C terminus by amplification of a gene cassette from pFA6a-GFP/PRE651-KanMX4 with flanking regions of VPS30. VPS39 and YPT7 were placed under the control of the GPD1 or TEFL promoter and TEF1pr-yegGF by amplifying cassettes from pYM-N18, pYM-N19 and pYM-N21 with flanking regions of the respective genes (Janke et al., 2004). VPS17 and VPS26 were tagged at their C termini with 3xHA-GFP or the TAP tag by amplification of pYPS26 and pYM24 (3xHA) and pYM-TAP-nNT2 with the respective flanking sequences (Janke et al., 2004). N-terminal tagging with PHO85pr-GFP-PS1 was performed as described (Markgraf et al., 2009). Plasmids pGSN5416 expressing GFP-NYV1-SNC1 and pCJ-GFP-ATG8 were kind gifts from Fulvio Reggiori (University Medical Center Utrecht, The Netherlands). The N-terminal RFP-tagged versions of VPS26 and Ypt7 were generated by amplification of the genes from genomic DNA, digestion with BamHI and ScaI, and insertion into the BamHI and ScaI sites of a p2 plasmid (CEN, PHO5p) that carries DsRed from a pRS5B-DSRedm donor (Markgraf et al., 2009).

C-terminal tagging of YPT7 (without the C-terminal cysteine residues) with the transmembrane domain of Vam3 was carried out by integration of a PCR product made with the plasmid pKS133-6 pFA6b-hphN1 and primers S1 (GCTA-

Fluorescence and laser-scanning microscopy

Cells carrying a GFP or RFP tag were grown to logarithmic phase in yeast extract peptone medium containing 2% glucose or galactose, respectively. For maintenance of plasmids, cells were grown in synthetic medium supplemented with essential amino acids (SDC). Staining of yeast vacuoles with the lipophilic dye FM4-64 was done as described (LaGrassa and Ungermann, 2005). Grown cells were harvested in mid-logarithmic phase in a rich medium, as indicated in the corresponding figure legends. Equivalent amounts of protein were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blotting with the following antibodies: Vps41p, Ypt7p and Ypt8p.

Isolation and statistical analysis of yeast vacuoles

Vacuoles were isolated by DEAE-dextran lysis of cells and Ficoll density gradient flotation (Haas, 1995), and immediately analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Measurement of the cross-section area was performed using ImageJ. Descriptive analysis (Origin software) was applied to each data set (190±200).
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