Matrix stiffness reverses the effect of actomyosin tension on cell proliferation
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Running Head: Matrix stiffness reverses tension effect
Summary

The stiffness of the extracellular matrix exerts powerful effects on cell proliferation and differentiation, but the mechanisms transducing matrix stiffness into cellular fate decisions remain poorly understood. Two widely reported responses to matrix stiffening are increases in actomyosin contractility and cell proliferation. To delineate their relationship, we modulated cytoskeletal tension in cells grown across a physiological range of matrix stiffness. On both synthetic and naturally-derived soft matrices, and across a panel of cell types, we observed a striking reversal of the effect of inhibiting actomyosin contractility, switching from attenuation of proliferation on rigid substrates to robust promotion of proliferation on soft matrices. Inhibiting contractility on soft matrices decoupled proliferation from cytoskeletal tension and focal adhesion organization, but not from cell spread area. Our results demonstrate that matrix stiffness and actomyosin contractility converge on cell spreading in an unexpected fashion to control a key aspect of cell fate.

Introduction

Cells adhered to a rigid substrate, such as a Petri dish, typically assemble well organized actin networks that associate with myosin to form contractile stress fibers (Cai et al., 2006; Hotulainen and Lappalainen, 2006). These cytoskeletal elements terminate at focal adhesions that link the cytoskeleton to the extracellular matrix via integrins (Geiger et al., 2009). In spread cells, inhibition of RhoA or interference with actomyosin contractility results in the disassembly of stress fibers and focal adhesions, along with broad inhibition of cell proliferation (Assoian and Klein, 2008; Chicurel et al., 1998; Mammoto and Ingber, 2009; Provenzano and Keely, 2011; Straight et al., 2003; Wozniak and Chen, 2009).

Cell spreading is tightly coupled to proliferation (Folkman and Moscona, 1978; Chen et al., 1997). Restricting the spread area of adherent cells can lead to growth arrest, but as the spread area increases, so does proliferation. Cell traction forces and RhoA activity also increase with cell spreading (Califano and Reinhart-King, 2010; McBeath et al., 2004; Tolic-Norrelykke et al.,...
2005; Wang et al., 2002) reinforcing the idea that elevated actomyosin tension promotes cell cycle progression (Huang et al., 1998).

Cell spreading is also controlled by extracellular matrix stiffness. When grown on soft matrices, many cell types exhibit less spreading, as well as reductions in proliferation, traction forces, stress fibers, and focal adhesions (Aratyn-Schaus and Gardel, 2010; Califano and Reinhart-King, 2010; Chowdhury et al., 2010; Fringer and Grinnell, 2001; Fu et al., 2010; Georges and Janmey, 2005; Ghosh et al., 2007; Kong et al., 2005; Levental et al., 2009; Lo et al., 2000; Paszek et al., 2005; Rhee et al., 2007; Saez et al., 2005; Solon et al., 2007; Wozniak et al., 2003). These observations, which recapitulate the effects of reducing cytoskeletal tension in spread cells, suggest that insufficient actomyosin-based contractility may underlie the suppression of cell proliferation on soft matrices. Yet, it has also been shown in some cases that actomyosin contractility is not predictive of cell proliferation (Roca-Cusachs et al., 2008) and that inhibition of Rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK) partially rescues rounded endothelial cells from G1 arrest (Mammoto et al., 2004). In fact, inhibition of nonmuscle myosin II in fibroblasts grown on a physiologically soft matrix rescues their proliferation to levels attained on rigid substrates (Mih et al., 2011). This raises a mystery as to why inhibition of myosin in such contexts should exert such a profound stimulatory effect on cell proliferation, and whether our understanding of tensional control of growth, gleaned mostly from studies on rigid substrates, is incomplete.

Here we examine the relationship between cell tension and proliferation across matrices of varying stiffness, and in doing so, attempt to shed light on why soft matrix environments generally suppress the proliferation of adherent cells. Although we confirm that fibroblasts on soft matrices generate lower contractile forces than on rigid matrices, our data demonstrate that inhibition of actomyosin contractility selectively promotes cell proliferation on soft matrices, suggesting that in such contexts, cell-generated forces act as a brake against proliferation. Moreover, we show that cell spreading and proliferation are tightly coupled, even across large variations in matrix stiffness and contractile force generation. Based on these observations, we propose that the ‘excessive’ generation of contractile forces against insufficiently stable cell-matrix adhesions underlies the restriction of cell spreading and proliferation on soft matrices.
Results

To delineate the combined effects of matrix stiffness and modulation of actomyosin contractile function on cell proliferation, we synthesized polyacrylamide (PA) hydrogels of defined stiffness within 96 well glass-bottom plates (Mih et al., 2011). The gels were functionalized with monomeric collagen I at a constant density across all stiffness conditions, allowing for the isolation of matrix stiffness effects. Using this system, we compared cell growth on collagen I-coated rigid (glass) versus relatively soft, physiologically relevant PA gels (1 kPa elastic [Young’s] modulus) (Discher et al., 2005; Klein et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Paszek et al., 2005), and confirmed that inhibition of myosin II with blebbistatin evoked divergent dose-dependent effects on cell number (Fig. 1A), enhancing proliferation on a soft matrix while inhibiting proliferation on glass (Mih et al., 2011). We documented a similar trend of increasing BrdU incorporation, indicative of ongoing DNA synthesis, when blebbistatin was applied to cells on 0.3 and 1 kPa gels, and decreasing BrdU incorporation when blebbistatin was applied on rigid surfaces (Fig. 1B). We next applied inhibitors of Rho and ROCK, which are upstream controllers of actomyosin contractile function, to fibroblasts cultured on glass and 1 kPa gels. Similarly divergent stiffness-dependent effects on cell proliferation were observed with each of these treatments, including the Rho antagonist C3 transferase and two ROCK inhibitors, Y27632 and GSK429286 (Fig. 1C). While the analogous results across a panel of independent treatments was indicative of on-target effects, to directly link the divergent responses to myosin inactivation we employed siRNA targeting non-muscle myosin heavy chain IIa (MYH9). Blots confirming the efficacy of siRNA knockdown are included in supplementary material (Fig. S1). Across a range of siRNA concentrations (0.005-50 nM), we found that partial MYH9 knockdown (0.5 nM) exerted divergent effects on cell proliferation between glass and 1 kPa gels (Fig. 1D), recapitulating the small molecule inhibitor results.

To confirm that the divergent effects of Rho-ROCK-myosin inhibition reflected a bona fide influence of matrix stiffness, we applied a single dose of selected inhibitors to fibroblasts cultured on 0.3, 1, 6, 20 kPa gels and glass (Fig. 1E). In all cases, the proliferation-promoting effects of inhibiting actomyosin tension were reproduced on the softest matrices, and gradually dissipated or reversed as matrix stiffness increased, establishing that the conditional nature of Rho-ROCK-myosin effect on cell proliferation is a function of underlying matrix stiffness.
Extending these observations to other cell types, we found that the divergent matrix stiffness-dependent effects of ROCK inhibition were broadly, though not universally, conserved across a panel of primary and transformed cell lines (Fig. 1F). Primary low passage fibroblasts from human lungs (NHLF), as well as primary human bone marrow-derived (hMSC) and adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hASC), all recapitulated the stiffness-dependent effects of ROCK inhibition. Similarly, IMR-90 and NIH3T3 fibroblast cell lines demonstrated divergent stiffness-dependent responses, as did the RLE6TN rat lung epithelial cell line. In contrast, A549 and MDCKII epithelial cell lines exhibited little response to ROCK inhibition on stiff substrates, with relatively muted increases in cell number on 1 kPa gels. Intriguingly, the breast cancer derived MDA-MB-231 cell line was the most sensitive in our panel to growth inhibition on a stiff matrix, and was the only line that did not increase its growth on 1 kPa gels upon ROCK inhibition. While the panel of cell types tested here is far from exhaustive, the aggregate results point toward a potentially broad relevance of stiffness-dependent actomyosin effects, particularly among non-transformed mesenchymal cell types.

We also applied ROCK inhibitor treatments to fibroblasts growing within three-dimensional Geltrex matrices, which are derived from the basement membrane of Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm tumor cells and have mechanical properties in the range of softest PA gels used above. Similar to our observations on soft, synthetic 2D matrices, we confirmed that Y27632 and GSK429286 stimulated fibroblast proliferation within these soft, biologically-derived 3D matrices (Fig. 1G), switching from a net loss in cell number in the absence of inhibitors to a net gain of cells with ROCK inhibition.

Based on the considerable evidence positively linking cell proliferation to focal adhesions and actomyosin force generation (Assoian and Klein, 2008; Huang and Ingber, 1999; Mammoto and Ingber, 2009; Provenzano and Keely, 2011; Wozniak and Chen, 2009), we first suspected that inhibition of Rho, ROCK, and myosin might be exerting unanticipated effects on these structural and functional features when applied to cells growing on soft matrices. We began by measuring each of these features and their variation across matrix stiffness under baseline culture conditions. We found that increasing matrix stiffness promotes a subtle increase in staining for phosphorylated myosin light chain (Fig. 2A), and a gradual rise in cellular force generation with increasing matrix stiffness (Fig. 2B), as measured by Fourier transform traction microscopy
(Butler et al., 2002; Marinkovic et al., 2012). As expected, increasing matrix stiffness also enhances the organization of increasingly large islands of adhesion proteins, visualized by vinculin (Fig. 2D) and talin (Fig. 2E) immunostaining and quantified by mean focal adhesion length (Fig. 2F). These trends are consistent with prior observations (Califano and Reinhart-King, 2010; Chowdhury et al., 2010; Fu et al., 2010; Ghosh et al., 2007; Kong et al., 2005; Lo et al., 2000; Paszek et al., 2005; Saez et al., 2005).

When we treated cells with the ROCK inhibitor Y27632, all of these structural and functional readouts changed as expected, without any unusual variation across matrix stiffness conditions. That is, ROCK inhibition attenuated myosin light chain phosphorylation (Fig. 2A) and reduced cell tractions by more than 50% in every condition (Fig. 2B), indicating a loss of cytoskeletal tension and effectively decoupling cell tractions from proliferation (Fig. 2C). Similarly, vinculin and talin staining was reduced by ROCK inhibition on stiff PA gels (Fig. 2D,E), and focal adhesions remained small or undetectable on soft PA gels (Fig. 2F). Therefore, while all of these features naturally vary across matrix stiffness, and in all cases correlate with baseline cell proliferation, our observation that ROCK inhibition on soft matrices stimulates cell proliferation, while each of these features is suppressed or remains absent, indicates that they do not positively regulate matrix stiffness-dependent control of proliferation.

To ascertain how actomyosin tension might act to inhibit cell proliferation on soft matrices, we first noted that at baseline, cells on highly compliant matrices (<1 kPa) exhibit a rounded morphology (Fig. 3A). Although cells on soft substrates tend to interact more with each other and form colonies (Guo et al., 2006), here fibroblasts were seeded sparsely and remained well distributed (Fig. 3A), arguing against contact-inhibition as the primary restraint against proliferation. Strikingly, inhibition of ROCK or myosin II engaged cell spreading on these soft matrices (Fig. 3A), consistent with observations that inhibition of NMM-II causes some adherent cell types to appear more dendritic (Straight et al., 2003) and rescues the spreading and motility of glial cells on highly compliant substrates (Ulrich et al., 2009). Quantifying this spreading effect in cell populations across matrix stiffness conditions, we observed an increase in 2D projected cell area after ROCK inhibition on soft PA gels (Fig. 3B). This area change exceeded that which would be expected from relaxation of cell contraction alone, consistent with initiation of an active cell spreading process upon ROCK inhibition. At baseline, we found that 2D cell
area tracked with matrix stiffness up to a plateau at 20 kPa (Fig. 3B), and was well correlated with the effect of matrix stiffness on cell proliferation. ROCK inhibition induced dramatic cell spreading on soft matrices, up to 10-fold on 0.3 kPa substrates, while evoking comparably little effect on stiff matrices (Fig. 3B). Simultaneous knockdown of ROCK1 and ROCK2 with siRNA also enhanced cell spreading on soft matrices (Fig. 3C) and recapitulated the divergent effects of ROCK inhibitors on cell proliferation between glass and 1 kPa gels (Fig. 3D) and across a range of stiffness conditions (Fig. 3E). When we plotted cell proliferation and cell area across matrix stiffness both with and without Y27632 treatment, these features remained tightly correlated (Fig. 3F), in contrast with the lack of a positive correlation with cell tractions (Fig. 2C).

Time-lapse imaging provided dramatic confirmation of the morphological effects of ROCK inhibition on individual cells cultured on soft matrices (Fig. 3G; see Movie 1 in supplementary material). Upon treatment, cells quickly transitioned from small, roughly round shapes to the extension of prominent dendrite-like projections not unlike those observed in fibroblasts spreading within soft 3D hydrogels (Grinnell et al., 2003; Rhee et al., 2007). This response is analogous to the increase in proplatelet extensions that occurs upon inhibition of myosin II in megakaryocytes (Shin et al., 2011), which was also reported to generate an increase in MK polyploidy. Although we did not observe any multinucleation in the fibroblasts tested here, it is notable that in both contexts inhibition of myosin II leads to increased DNA replication. The transition in cell morphology induced by ROCK inhibition was reversible, as visualized upon washout of Y27632 (Fig. 3G; see Movie 2 in supplementary material), emphasizing the plasticity of cell spreading on soft substrates and the dynamic and transient nature of cell-matrix adhesions formed upon ROCK inhibition.

If an excess of endogenous actomyosin tension is responsible for impaired spreading and proliferation on soft matrices, then the enhancement of actomyosin tension on relatively soft matrices should further reduce cell spreading and proliferation. Consistent with this hypothesis, we previously observed that the small molecule cantharidin, which increases contractility through inhibition of protein phosphatase I (Knapp et al., 1998), suppresses the proliferation of cells on 1 kPa gels but not on glass substrates (Mih et al., 2011). Here we verified that the effect of cantharidin (1 µM) was dependent on matrix stiffness (Fig. 4A). Using traction force microscopy, we found that cantharidin evoked a modest but significant increase in cell tractions.
on 13 kPa gels, but surprisingly evoked a more mixed effect on 1 kPa gels that did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 4B). Instead, time-lapse imaging on a 1 kPa gel revealed that cantharidin enhanced cell-matrix adhesion failure and cell retraction (Fig. 4C; see Movie 3 in supplementary material), suggesting that endogenous tension had in fact increased, but that adhesions failure was precluding a sustained increase in tractions on this soft matrix. Consistent with this interpretation, cantharidin treatment reduced 2D cell area on 1 kPa gels but not stiffer matrices (Fig. 4D), as did siRNA knockdown of the myosin-binding subunit of myosin phosphatase, MYPT1 (Fig. 4E), providing further evidence of an inverse relationship between actomyosin tension and cell spreading on soft matrices. Knockdown of MYPT1 also suppressed cell proliferation on 1 kPa gels with comparatively little effect on glass (Fig. 4F), recapitulating the stiffness-dependent effect of cantharidin. In agreement with the causal link between cell spreading and proliferation suggested above, cantharidin reduced cell proliferation rates as it reduced spreading, mirroring the ability of Rho-ROCK-myosin inhibition to promote cell spreading and proliferation on soft matrices. When plotted together, growth rates from the various matrix stiffness conditions at baseline and in the presence of Y27632 or cantharidin (Fig. 5A) collapsed onto a single curve, demonstrating a critical limiting role of 2D cell area on potential proliferation rate. This unifying observation was also observed when comparing the effects of knockdown of ROCK1+ROCK2, MYH9, or MYPT1 on cell area and proliferation on rigid and 1 kPa substrates (Fig. 5B). Together, these findings illustrate how manipulations of cytoskeletal tension and matrix stiffness shift cell behaviors along a master curve that relates cell proliferation to spreading.

Discussion

Cells residing in soft matrix environments typically exhibit reduced proliferation or growth-arrest (Klein et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Mih et al., 2011; Paszek et al., 2005; Tilghman et al., 2010; Ulrich et al., 2009). Many cellular features that are expressed on rigid substrates are also downregulated on soft matrices, including cell spreading, traction forces, myosin light chain phosphorylation, and focal adhesion assembly (Aratyn-Schaus and Gardel, 2010; Califano and Reinhart-King, 2010; Chowdhury et al., 2010; Fringer and Grinnell, 2001; Fu et al., 2010;
Georges and Janmey, 2005; Ghosh et al., 2007; Kong et al., 2005; Levental et al., 2009; Lo et al., 2000; Paszek et al., 2005; Rhee et al., 2007; Saez et al., 2005; Solon et al., 2007; Wozniak et al., 2003). Because all of these features rise in concert with increasing matrix stiffness, each is suspected to play a positive role in the regulation of proliferation. However, on soft matrices (E<6 kPa), we found that inhibiting actomyosin contractility led to a robust increase in proliferation (Fig. 1), in some cases rising to maximal proliferation rates attained on rigid substrates. The enhancement of cell proliferation simultaneous with suppression of cell tractions, p-MLC levels, and focal adhesions reveals that in this context, they are not fundamentally coupled with proliferation (Fig. 2). While this finding does not contradict the notion that some or all of these features may support or are necessary for proliferation in rigid environments (Assoian and Klein, 2008; Huang and Ingber, 1999; Mammoto and Ingber, 2009; Provenzano and Keely, 2011; Wozniak and Chen, 2009), they do demonstrate that such relationships break down on soft matrices.

In contrast, our results show that cell area remains tightly coupled with proliferation across wide variations in matrix stiffness and actomyosin contractility (Fig. 5A,B). Fibroblasts transition from round to dendritic morphologies following inhibition of ROCK or myosin II (Fig. 3A,G), suggesting that the failure of cells to spread on soft matrices is due to a relative excess of actomyosin tension, not a lack thereof. Consistent with this explanation, we observed measurable cell tractions and phosphorylated myosin light chain on soft matrices that were attenuated by inhibition of ROCK (Fig. 2). Time lapse imaging of the reversible and opposite effects of ROCK inhibition and cantharidin treatment on cell tractions and spreading offers further support for our conclusion that a matrix stiffness-dependent failure of cell-matrix adhesions underlies the restriction of cell spreading and proliferation on soft matrices. On rigid substrates, it is known that nascent adhesions normally grow and are reinforced under tension (Aratyn-Schaus and Gardel, 2010; Balaban et al., 2001; Galbraith et al., 2002; Geiger et al., 2009; Kuo et al., 2011). This process can be interrupted by Rho and ROCK inhibition, leading to adhesion disassembly. In contrast, our data suggest that on soft matrices, endogenous actomyosin-generated forces are too strong for nascent adhesions to persist or mature, limiting stable cell spreading. In the soft matrix context, ROCK inhibition appears to permit stable cell spreading through a mechanism that is independent of adhesion maturation (Galbraith et al., 2002; Pasapera et al., 2010), as we did not observe vinculin- or talin-positive focal adhesion assembly in cells that spread following
ROCK inhibition (Fig. 2D,E). The soft matrix adhesions that form remain vulnerable, however, as ROCK inhibitor washout leads to adhesions failure rather than maturation (Aratyn-Schaus and Gardel, 2010), and cells revert to a rounded shape. Together, these observations suggest that the immature and transient nature of adhesions on soft matrices is not due to a deficit in Rho–mediated tension, but on the contrary, arises from the inability of adhesions to reinforce and resist tension.

The robust relationship observed here between cell area and proliferation echoes previous observations of cell proliferation controlled by cell spreading (Chen et al., 1997; Folkman and Moscona, 1978). Similarly, the proliferative status of single endothelial cells can be predicted by nuclear volume, but not cell contractility (Roca-Cusachs et al., 2008). While the mechanism underlying shape-dependent control of cell proliferation is not known, our results are consistent with actomyosin tension acting upstream of cell shape to regulate proliferation on soft matrices, and not vice versa. They are also reminiscent of analogous relationships observed for other cell behaviors such as motility, where the same perturbation can have opposite effects on cells on soft versus stiff surfaces (Ulrich et al., 2009) or on low versus high matrix ligand densities (Palecek et al., 1997). In terms of mechanism, our preliminary efforts to identify cell cycle regulatory genes that control the stiffness-dependent growth effects of ROCK inhibition did not identify obvious candidates, indicating that more work will be needed to elucidate the underlying molecular network controlling this cellular response (Table S1).

Taken together, our results demonstrate that a matrix stiffness-dependent balance between adhesions failure and reinforcement underlies a critical switch in Rho-ROCK-myosin effector functions. This switch occurs at an intermediate range of matrix stiffness (E~6-20 kPa), where the effect of reducing cell tension on proliferation transitions from stimulatory to inhibitory. Below this threshold, cell shape and proliferation appear to be sensitively tuned to perturbations in actomyosin tension and matrix stiffness (Fig. 5). Because the range of matrix stiffness investigated here is relevant to a broad subset of human tissues, cellular processes, and disease conditions (Butcher et al., 2009; Discher et al., 2005; Guilak et al., 2009; Klein et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2010; Wozniak and Chen, 2009), these results may have important implications for our understanding of cell growth control in soft tissue environments.
Materials and Methods

Cell culture and assays. Primary normal human lung fibroblasts (Lonza) were used at passage 3-6. Primary human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (Tulane University, USA) were used at passage 1-3, and human adipose-derived stem cells at passage 1-3 (ZenBio). All other cell types were obtained from ATCC. All cells were cultured in Kaighn’s Modification of Ham’s F12 Medium (F12K) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (all from Cellgro) in a humidified 37°C incubator with 5% CO₂. Y27632, GSK429286, cantharidin, and (+/-) blebbistatin were purchased from Tocris Bioscience, and cell-permeable C3 transferase obtained from Cytoskeleton. Unless otherwise indicated, cells were seeded in serum-free F12K media at 25 cells/mm² in multiwell plates containing polycrylamide hydrogel substrates of defined stiffness. The hydrogels were cast and functionalized with collagen as previously described (Mih et al., 2011). Four hours after seeding, media was replaced with F12K media with 10% serum and the indicated concentrations of drugs. Cell number was evaluated by Cyquant NF Cell Proliferation Assay (Life Technologies), which generates a fluorescent signal that is linearly correlated with cell number.

Traction microscopy. IMR-90 lung fibroblasts were used for all traction measurements. The cells were seeded at 10 cells/mm² on 0.3, 1, 6, and 20 kPa PA gels functionalized with collagen I (Purecol, Advanced Biomatrix). Fluorescent sulfate-modified microspheres, 0.2 µm in diameter, were attached to the gel surface and Improved Throughput Traction Microscopy (Marinkovic et al., 2012) was employed to capture the microsphere positions before and after removal of the cell by trypsinization. The pairs of fluorescent images were processed through a two-dimensional cross-correlation algorithm (Tolic-Norrelykke et al., 2002) to obtain the displacement field of the beads underneath the cell, and Fourier Transform Traction Cytometry (Butler et al., 2002) was used to calculate corresponding traction field generated by the cell on the gel surface. In order to compare measured traction fields, both in control and indicated treatment conditions, we computed root-mean-square tractions (RMSTs). The coordinates of the cell boundary required for traction calculation were obtained from the fluorescent images of the cell membrane (CellLight Plasma Membrane-RFP, Invitrogen) by applying a global threshold image processing.
algorithm (Marinkovic et al., 2012). All images were taken at 200x magnification. Cells were cultured on the gels for 24 hours prior to performing baseline traction measurements.

**Immunofluorescence and cell area measurements.** IMR-90 cells were seeded at 25 cells per mm² and cultured for 24 h on PA gels or glass substrate. The cells were fixed in formalin, blocked with 10% normal goat serum in PBS for 1 hour, and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 minutes. The cells were incubated overnight with a mouse monoclonal antibody against vinculin (hVIN-1, Sigma), a mouse monoclonal antibody against talin (TLN01, sc-59882, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies), or a rabbit polyclonal antibody against phosphorylated myosin light chain 2 (S19, Cell Signaling Technologies), all diluted at 1:500. They were then washed 3x for 5 minutes each and incubated for 1 hour with a secondary goat anti-mouse (or rabbit) AlexaFlour 488-conjugated antibody (Life Technologies) diluted 1:500 in PBS, and washed 3x prior to fluorescence imaging. For measurements of cell area, fixed cells were stained with Alexafluor 586-phalloidin and Hoechst (Life Technologies), and fluorescent images were analyzed by CellProfiler (Broad Institute) to calculate 2D projected cell area based on f-actin staining. Focal adhesion morphometry was analyzed by established methods (Dugina et al., 2001) using MetaMorph 6 software. The length of stained FAs was defined by their longest axis in the direction of lamellar spreading and was measured within the lamellar zone of cell edge (Fig. 2B).

**RNA interference.** IMR-90 cells were transfected using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Life Technologies) with an siRNA SMARTpool (Thermo Scientific) containing four siRNAs targeting human MYH9, ROCK1, ROCK2, MYPT1, or a non-targeting SMARTpool (see Table S2 in supplementary material for siRNA sequences). Cells were seeded onto 1 kPa or rigid substrates and exposed to 0.005, 0.05, 0.5, 5, or 50 nM of targeting or non-targeting siRNA. For simultaneous knockdown of ROCK1 and ROCK2, equivalent amounts of each siRNA was added to reach the indicated concentration. Media was replaced after 8 hours and cells were cultured for 6 days. Cell number was determined using the Cyquant assay. Knockdown of each siRNA target was confirmed by Western blot following 72 h of transfection using 1:1000 dilutions of rabbit antibodies against MYH9, ROCK1, MYPT (Cell Signaling Technologies), and ROCK2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Chemiluminescence-based detection was performed using a 1:2000 dilution of secondary HRP-linked goat anti-rabbit antibody (Cell Signaling Technologies).
followed by exposure to SuperSignal West Femto Substrate (Thermo Scientific) and imaging with a high-resolution CCD camera (Syngene).

**3D culture.** IMR-90 cells were mixed with Geltrex reduced growth factor basement membrane extract (Life Technologies) at 1 part cell solution to 9 parts Geltrex and at a final density of 50,000 cells/ml. 50 µl of the mixture was delivered to individual wells of a non-TC-treated, 96 well polystyrene plate and allowed to gel for one hour at 37°C. The hydrogels were overlaid with media and incubated for 4 h prior to addition of ROCK inhibitors. The number of cells after 5 days of culture relative to the initial seeding density at 4h was determined using a cell counting kit (Donjindo) based on the colorimetric detection of formazan. The number of cells attaching to the rigid well bottom was negligible under all conditions.

**PCR Array.** IMR-90 fibroblasts were seeded at subconfluency on 1 kPa and glass substrates and cultured for 24 h in F12K media containing 10% FBS. Cells in each condition were then exposed to 10 µM Y27632 or no treatment for 12 h. Total RNA was isolated using a spin-column based kit (RNeasy, Qiagen) with DNase I digestion. RNA (100 ng) was reverse transcribed to cDNA (RT² First Strand Kit, Qiagen), combined with RT² SYBR Green qPCR Mastermix (Qiagen), and delivered to a 96-well, Human Cell Cycle PCR Array (Qiagen). The array was subject to 95°C for 10 min, then 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min using a real-time PCR cycler (Applied Biosystems Model 7300).
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**Figure Legends**

**Fig. 1. Inhibition of Rho-ROCK-myosin switches from suppression to promotion of cell proliferation as matrix stiffness decreases.** (A) Lung fibroblasts were seeded on collagen I-coated 1 kPa and rigid (glass) substrates at low density and cultured in the presence of various concentrations of blebbistatin for 72 h. Cell numbers are normalized to the rigid, no drug condition. Data are means ± s.e.m ($n=3$). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test, *$P<0.05$ vs. no drug for the same stiffness condition. (B) BrdU incorporation over 48 hours normalized to the no drug, rigid condition. Means and individual data points from one experiment are shown. (C) Fibroblasts were treated with C3 transferase and two ROCK inhibitors for 72 h. Data are means ± s.e.m ($n≥3$). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test, *$P<0.05$ vs. no drug for the same stiffness condition. (D) Fibroblasts on 1 kPa and rigid substrates were transfected with different concentrations of siRNA targeting MYH9 and cultured for 6 days. Fold change in cell number is normalized against a concentration-matched negative siRNA control for each stiffness condition. Means and individual data points from one experiment are shown. (E) Effects of blebbistatin (10 $\mu$M), Y27632 (10 $\mu$M), and C3 transferase (100 ng/ml) on cell proliferation across five stiffness conditions. Fold change indicates ratio of cell number in the drug vs. no drug condition after 72 h. Data are means ± s.e.m ($n=3$). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test, *$P<0.0001$ vs. rigid (G=glass) condition. (F) Effect of GSK429286 (1 $\mu$M) on a panel of normal and immortalized cell types cultured on 1 kPa and rigid substrates. Fold change represents cell number in the drug vs. no drug condition following 72 h of exposure. MDA-MB-231, breast cancer cell line; A549, human lung adenocarcinoma cell line; MDCKII, Madin-Darby canine kidney epithelial cell line; IMR-90, normal human fetal lung fibroblast; NHLF, normal human adult lung fibroblast; RLE6TN, rat lung epithelial cell line; NIH3T3, mouse embryonic fibroblast cell line; hASC, human adipose-derived stem cells; hMSC, human bone marrow-derived stem cells. Data are means ± s.d. ($n=3$). (G) Lung fibroblasts were seeded within Geltrex 3D matrices and exposed to Y27632 (10 $\mu$M) or GSK429286 (1 $\mu$M). Fold change represents cell number after 5 days vs. an initial 4 h time point. Means and individual data points from one experiment are shown.

**Fig. 2. Proliferation on soft matrices is decoupled from myosin light chain phosphorylation, cell tractions, and focal adhesions.** (A) Immunofluorescence staining of phosphorylated myosin
light chain in fibroblasts across matrix stiffness. Y27632 (10 µM) was applied for 24 h. (B) Fibroblast root mean square tractions (RMST) measured by Fourier transform traction microscopy. Y27632 (10 µM) was applied for 45 min. Means and data points (n=5 cells) are shown. *P<0.0001 vs. no drug (Student’s t-test). (C) Relationship between cell proliferation and tractions with or without Y27632 (10 µM). Proliferation is expressed as cell number relative to the no drug, rigid condition following 72 h of culture of one representative experiment. (D) Immunofluorescence staining of vinculin and (E) talin across matrix stiffness. Images are at 400x magnification; corresponding images of boxed regions are at 1000x. Scale bar: 25 µm. (F) Effect of matrix stiffness and Y27632 (10 µM) on focal adhesion length. FAs on 0.3 kPa gels were not observed above the detection threshold (0.2 µm). Data are means ± s.d. (n≥47). *P<0.001 vs. no drug (Student’s t-test).

**Fig. 3. ROCK inhibition triggers cell spreading on soft matrices to promote proliferation.**

(A) Fibroblasts stained for f-actin (green) and nuclei (blue) across five stiffness conditions following 48 hours of exposure to no drug, blebbistatin (10 µM), or GSK429286 (1 µM). (B) Effect of matrix stiffness and Y27362 (10 µM) on 2D projected cell area. Means and individual data points (n≥29) are shown. *P<0.0001 vs. no drug (Student’s t-test). (C) Effect of combined ROCK1 and ROCK2 (ROCK1+2) siRNA knockdown and non-targeting [(-) control] siRNA on cell area. Means and individual data points (n≥23) are shown. *P<0.0001 vs. (-) control (Student’s t-test). (D) Fibroblasts on 1 kPa and rigid substrates were transfected with various concentrations of siRNA targeting both ROCK1 and ROCK2 and cultured for 6 days. Fold change in cell number is relative to the no siRNA condition for each stiffness condition. Means and individual data points (n=3) from one experiment are shown. (E) Fibroblasts across five stiffness conditions were transfected with 0.25 nM each of ROCK1 and ROCK2 siRNA and cultured for 6 days. For each stiffness condition, fold change in cell number is normalized to a 0.5 nM non-targeting siRNA control. Data are means ± s.d. (n=6). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test, *P<0.0001 vs. rigid (G) condition. (F) Relationship between normalized cell proliferation and mean cell area with or without exposure to Y27632 (10 µM). (G) Time-lapse images of fibroblasts on a 1 kPa substrate following addition of Y27632 (10 µM) and subsequent washout. All images are at 200x magnification. Scale bar: 50 µm.
Fig. 4. Enhancing actomyosin tension leads to cell retraction and reduced proliferation on soft matrices. (A) Effect of cantharidin (1 µM) on cell proliferation across five stiffness conditions. Fold change indicates ratio of cell number in drug vs. no drug samples after 72 h. Data are means ± s.e.m (n=5). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test, *P<0.01, **P<0.0001 vs. rigid (G) condition. (B) RMST at baseline and following two hours of cantharidin (1 µM) or 45 min of Y27632 (10 µM) treatment. Paired observations of individual cells (cantharidin, n=17; Y27632, n=5) are connected. *P=0.0059, **P=0.0002, ***P<0.0001 vs. baseline RMST (Paired t-test). (C) Time-lapse sequence of a fibroblast on a 1 kPa substrate following addition of cantharidin (0.3 µM). Images are at 200x magnification. Scale bar: 50 µm. (D) Effect of cantharidin (1 µM) on mean cell area (n≥29). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test, *P<0.0001 vs. rigid condition. (E) Effect of MYPT1 and non-targeting (–) control siRNA on cell area. Means and individual data points (n≥22) are shown. *P<0.0001 vs. (–) control (Student’s t-test). (F) Fibroblasts on 1 kPa and rigid substrates were transfected with siRNA targeting MYPT1 and cultured for 6 days. Fold change in cell number is relative to the no siRNA condition for each stiffness condition. Means and individual data points (n=3) from one experiment are shown.

Fig. 5. Actomyosin tension and matrix stiffness converge on cell spreading to control proliferation. (A) Relationship between cell proliferation and area across five stiffness conditions. Proliferation is expressed as cell number relative to a control, rigid (G) condition following 72 h of culture. For Y27362 and cantharidin treatment conditions, data are proliferation means ± s.e.m (n≥4) plotted against mean cell areas obtained in Figs. 3B and 4D. Elastic moduli (kPa) corresponding to each data point are indicated. (B) Effect of ROCK1+ROCK2, MYH9, and MYPT1 knockdown on cell area and proliferation. Cell numbers in soft (1 kPa) and rigid (G) conditions were determined 6 days following siRNA transfection. Proliferation is expressed as fold change in cell number relative to the rigid condition containing a matched concentration of non-targeting siRNA. Cell areas were determined 3 days following siRNA transfection. Data are proliferation means ± s.d. (n=3) plotted against mean cell areas from one representative experiment.
Figure 2

A

No drug

+ Y27632

B

Baseline

Y27632

RMST (Pa)

Elastic modulus (kPa)

C

No drug

Y27632

Normalized cell proliferation

Elastic modulus (kPa)

D

No drug

+ Y27632

E

No drug

+ Y27632

F

No drug

Y27632

FA length (μm)

Elastic modulus (kPa)
Figure 3

A. Images showing cellular response to different elastic moduli and treatments:
- **0.15 kPa, 0.3 kPa, 1 kPa, 6 kPa, 20 kPa**
- **No drug**
- **+ Blebbistatin**
- **+ GSK429286**

B. Graph showing cell area (µm²) vs. elastic modulus (kPa) for **No drug** and **Y27632**

C. Graph showing cell area (µm²) for **0.3 kPa, 1 kPa**, and **Rigid** conditions

D. Graph showing fold change in protein for **1 kPa** and **Rigid** conditions

E. Graph showing fold change in cell # for **ROCK1+ROCK2 siRNA**

F. Graph showing normalized cell proliferation and mean cell area (µm²) vs. elastic modulus (kPa)

G. Images showing cellular response to **Y27632** over time:
- **0 min, 2 min, 10 min, 30 min, 60 min**
- **0 h, 0.5 h, 1 h, 2 h, 4 h**
- **Washout**
Figure 5
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