An unconventional interaction between Dis1/TOG and Mal3/EB1 promotes
the fidelity of chromosome segregation
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ABSTRACT

Dynamic microtubule plus ends interact with various intracellular target regions such as the cell cortex and the kinetochore. Two conserved families of microtubule plus-end tracking proteins, XMAP215/TOG and EB1, play pivotal roles in regulating microtubule dynamics. Here we study the functional interplay between fission yeast Dis1/XMAP215 and Mal3/EB1. Using an in vitro microscopy assay, we find that purified Dis1 autonomously tracks growing microtubule ends and is a bona fide microtubule polymerase. Mal3 recruits additional Dis1 to microtubule ends, explaining the synergistic enhancement of microtubule dynamicity by these proteins. A non-canonical binding motif in Dis1 mediates the interaction with Mal3. X-ray crystallography shows that this novel motif interacts in an unconventional configuration with the conserved hydrophobic cavity formed within the Mal3 C-terminal region that typically interacts with the canonical SXIP motif. Selectively perturbing the Mal3-Dis1 interaction in living cells demonstrates that it is important for accurate chromosome segregation. Whereas in some metazoans the EB1-XMAP215/TOG interaction requires an additional binding partner, fission yeast relies on a direct interaction, indicating evolutionary plasticity of this critical interaction module.
INTRODUCTION

Microtubules (MTs) are structurally polar and highly dynamic tubulin polymers that undergo spontaneous transitions from growing to shrinking phases called dynamic instability (Mitchison and Kirschner, 1984). Such dynamic properties of MTs play an essential role in many cellular processes including intracellular transport, cell polarity and cell division (Desai and Mitchison, 1997). MT dynamics are regulated by a cohort of evolutionarily conserved microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) (Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2008). A subclass of MAPs, microtubules plus-end tracking proteins (+TIPs) have unique properties, as they can specifically interact with the dynamic ends of MTs, thereby playing a decisive role in determining the characteristics of MT plus ends in cells (Buey et al., 2012; Duellberg et al., 2013). In particular, EB1 and XMAP215 are important +TIPs because they can both accumulate autonomously at MT ends independent of other MAPs, however by different mechanisms (Akhmanova and Hoogenraad, 2005).

EB1 family proteins (EBs) bind in a nucleotide-dependent manner to an extended region at the growing MT end, resulting in a comet-like appearance at MT plus ends in cells (Bieling et al., 2008; Bieling et al., 2007; Maurer et al., 2011; Maurer et al., 2014; Maurer et al., 2012; Mohan et al., 2013; Zanic et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2015). EBs can have direct and indirect effects on the MT dynamics (Galjart, 2010); several in vitro experiments suggest that purified EBs promote the MT growth rate and simultaneously increase the catastrophe frequency (Bieling et al., 2007; Li et al., 2012; Mohan et al., 2013; Vitre et al., 2008; Zanic et al., 2013).

In vivo EBs recruit several other MAPs to MT plus ends through direct protein-protein interactions. EBs consist of four functional regions; the N-terminal calponin-homology (CH) domain required for MT binding (Hayashi and Ikura, 2003), the medial coiled-coil region involved in homo-dimerisation (De Groot et al., 2010) followed by the EB homology (EBH) domain and finally the C-terminal EEY/F motif (Duellberg et al., 2013). The EBH domain specifically binds to an SXIP motif found in a variety of +TIPs (Buey et al., 2012; Duellberg et al., 2014; Honnappa et al., 2009), whereas the EEY/F motif at the C-terminus of EBs binds to some CAP-Gly domains found in some MAPs (Duellberg et al., 2013; Honnappa et al., 2006; Weisbrich et al., 2007). MT plus end recruitment of other +TIPs by EBs is responsible for the indirect effects EBs can have on MT behaviour and hence on a variety of MT dependent cellular processes.

Mal3, the sole EB1 homologue in fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, is nonessential for cell division, yet *mal3* deletion mutants display a variety of defects derived
from abnormal MT architectures and dynamics. These include cell polarity defects during interphase (Beinhauer et al., 1997; Browning et al., 2003; Busch and Brunner, 2004; Busch et al., 2004) and chromosome segregation errors during mitosis (Asakawa et al., 2006; Asakawa and Toda, 2006; Asakawa et al., 2005; Beinhauer et al., 1997; Mana-Capelli et al., 2012). Mal3 has been shown to interact with the SXIP and CAP-Gly domain containing MAP Tip1, the fission yeast CLIP-170 orthologue and the Tea2 kinesin, thereby playing a critical role in regulation of interphase MT organisation and cell polarisation (Bieling et al., 2007; Browning et al., 2003; Busch et al., 2004). By contrast, our understanding of how Mal3 regulates mitotic progression remains poorly understood despite several earlier studies (Asakawa et al., 2006; Kerres et al., 2004). Work performed in vitro indicated that Mal3 alone has some impact on MT dynamics (Bieling et al., 2007; des Georges et al., 2008; Katsuki et al., 2009), however is likely that Mal3 cooperates with other +TIPs during mitosis through direct interactions as in interphase.

The XMAP215/TOG family comprises another class of +TIPs that play pivotal roles in many MT-mediated processes (Al-Bassam and Chang, 2011; Kinoshita et al., 2002; Ohkura et al., 2001). Members of this protein family contain N-terminal TOG domains that bind soluble tubulin and a separate microtubule binding site (Al-Bassam et al., 2006; Widlund et al., 2011) which in their combination allow them to act as microtubule polymerases accelerating microtubule growth (Al-Bassam et al., 2012; Ayaz et al., 2014; Ayaz et al., 2012; Brouhard et al., 2008; Li et al., 2012; Podolski et al., 2014; Reber et al., 2013; Takeshita et al., 2013). Consequently, these XMAP215/TOG proteins localise to the very MT end in contrast to EBs that bind to an extended region (Maurer et al., 2014). In the absence of tubulin, XMAP215/TOG has been shown to catalyse MT depolymerisation (Brouhard et al., 2008; Roostalu et al., 2015; Shirasu-Hiza et al., 2003).

Fission yeast contains two XMAP215/TOG orthologues, Alp14/Mtc1 and Dis1 (Garcia et al., 2001; Nakaseko et al., 2001; Ohkura et al., 2001). These two proteins share essential functions; each single deletion mutant is viable, while double deletions are inviable (Aoki et al., 2006; Garcia et al., 2002; Hsu and Toda, 2011; Kakui et al., 2013; Nabeshima et al., 1995; Nakaseko et al., 2001). Whereas Alp14 has been shown to be a microtubule polymerase like other family members (Al-Bassam et al., 2012; Hussmann et al., 2016), a biochemical characterisation of the catalytic properties of Dis1 at MT ends has not been performed yet.

In this study we explore the biochemical and physiological interplay between Dis1 and Mal3. Dis1 is a microtubule polymerase that, different from other XMAP215/TOG
family members also directly binds to Mal3. In combination, the two proteins promote MT
dynamicity synergistically. Intriguingly, Dis1 does not have a canonical EB1 binding motif.
Instead, crystallographic analysis has unveiled a non-canonical binding mode of a novel
motif in Dis1 to the conserved hydrophobic cavity in the EBH domain that is also found in
Mal3; these structural data demonstrate similarities and differences in the interaction between
EB1 and the SXIP motif versus the unconventional EB binding motif in Dis1. Genetic studies
demonstrate that the interaction between Dis1 and Mal3 is of physiological significance.

RESULTS

Fission yeast Dis1 is a microtubule polymerase

We bacterially expressed and purified recombinant full-length Dis1 protein with a
hemagglutinin (HA) tag or eGFP fused to its C-terminus (Fig. S1A). It has been
demonstrated that the ability of XMAP215 to accelerate MT polymerisation is dependent on
its interaction with both soluble tubulin and MTs (Widlund et al., 2011). Like XMAP215,
purified Dis1-eGFP cosedimented with paclitaxel (taxol)-stabilised MTs (Fig. 1A) and also
formed stable complexes with soluble tubulin dimers (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1B). These data
indicate that Dis1 shares the binding characteristics of a microtubule polymerase.

To observe how Dis1-eGFP localises on dynamic MTs and how it affects their
dynamic properties, we performed a total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRF-
M)-based in vitro assay (Fig. 1C and Movie 1). MTs were grown from immobilised and
GMPCPP-stabilised microtubule seeds (Materials and Methods) in the presence of Cy5-
labelled tubulin and GTP (Bieling et al., 2010). Dis1-eGFP associated weakly with the MT
lattice and accumulated on and tracked growing MT plus ends in a spot-like manner (Fig.
1C), similar to other XMAP215/TOG orthologues (Brouhard et al., 2008; Li et al., 2012;
Podolski et al., 2014); by contrast, Dis1-eGFP was not observed on shrinking MT ends,
similar to Alp14, the other XMAP215/TOG orthologue in fission yeast (Al-Bassam et al.,
2012; Garcia et al., 2001; Hussmann et al., 2016; Nakaseko et al., 2001).

Next, we measured the effect of Dis1 on the dynamics of individual MTs (Fig. 1D).
MT dynamic instability parameters, such as the average growth rate, shrinkage rate and
catastrophe frequency, were quantified for different Dis1 concentrations (Table S1 and Fig.
1E). The strongest polymerase effect was measured for a concentration of 20 nM Dis1, where
it accelerated the growth of MTs by ~threefold, from 0.54 ± 0.02 µm/min (mean ± S.E.M,
n=50) to 1.58 ± 0.09 µm/min (mean ± S.E.M, n=50: p<0.0001 by a student-t test) (Fig. 1E).
By contrast, Dis1 did not make strong impact on shrinkage rate or catastrophe frequency
Interestingly, similarly to XMAP215 and ch-TOG (Brouhard et al., 2008; Roostalu et al., 2015), Dis1 also facilitated the depolymerisation of GMPCPP-stabilised MTs in the absence of free tubulin (Fig. 1F and Fig. S1D). These data show that Dis1 is a bona fide microtubule polymerase.

**Dis1 directly binds to Mal3 and synergistically they alter the dynamic properties of microtubules**

Previous studies have shown evidence for a functional interplay between members of the XMAP215/TOG and EB1 protein families (Li et al., 2012; van der Vaart et al., 2011; Zanic et al., 2013), although there are no reports showing a direct interaction between these two +TIPs. In fission yeast, the Alp14/XMAP215 localisation to MT plus ends is independent of Mal3/EB1 (Al-Bassam et al., 2012); whether this is also the case for Dis1 has not been tested. Hence, we bacterially expressed and purified recombinant full-length Mal3 proteins with or without eGFP or mCherry fused to its C-terminus (Fig. S2A). We found that GST tagged Mal3 (GST-Mal3), but not GST alone, pulled down Dis1-eGFP (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, analytical gel filtration demonstrated that recombinant Dis1 with an HA tag (Dis1-HA) and Mal3 form a stable complex in solution (Fig. 2B and Fig. S2B). These data show that Dis1 directly binds to Mal3.

Next, we examined the effect of Mal3 on the localisation of Dis1-eGFP on dynamic MTs using our TIRF-M assay (Fig. 2C). Whereas 20 nM Dis1-eGFP localised to growing MT ends again in a spot-like manner like XMAP215 (Brouhard et al., 2008; Li et al., 2012; Podolski et al., 2014) (Fig. 2C and Movie 2), upon the addition of 200 nM Mal3, the amount of Dis1-eGFP at MT ends was strongly increased; Dis1-eGFP localised now to a much more elongated region at growing MT ends, rather reminiscent of the appearance of end tracking Mal3-GFP (Fig. S2C and Movie 3) (Maurer et al., 2011). In the presence of the higher concentrations of 1 µM Mal3, Dis1-eGFP bound to the entire MT lattice (except for the GMPCPP seeds) (Fig. 2C and Movie 4), again similar in appearance to the localisation of Mal3-GFP at this high concentration (Fig. S2C).

To simultaneously visualise the localisation of Dis1, Mal3 and MTs, we used triple colour TIRF-M. We observed that Dis1-eGFP and Mal3-mCherry colocalised to the MT end region (Fig. 2D,E and Movie 5). In control experiments, we observed that Mal3 does not directly bind to Alp14 (Fig. S2D). These results demonstrate that Mal3 recruits Dis1, but not Alp14 to the extended EB binding region at growing MT ends.

We then examined the effect of Mal3-dependent Dis1 MT end recruitment on the dynamic properties of MTs. Compared to tubulin alone, 20 nM Mal3 mildly accelerated the
growth rate by 1.6 fold (0.54 ± 0.02 µm/min vs 0.87 ± 0.04 µm/min; mean ± S.E.M, n=50: 
\[ p<0.0001 \] by a student-\( t \) test). 10 nM Dis1 accelerated the growth rate by 2.3 fold (1.22 ± 
0.05 µm/min; mean ± S.E.M, n=50: \( p<0.0001 \)). In the presence of both 20 nM Mal3 and 10 
nM Dis1, the growth rate was even more strongly increased by 4.6 fold (to 2.48 ± 0.08 
µm/min; mean ± S.E.M, n=50: \( p<0.0001 \)), suggesting synergistic action of the two proteins 
(Table S2, Fig. 2F and Fig. S2E), reminiscent of what has been reported for the vertebrate 
homologues of these +TIPs (Li et al., 2012; Zanic et al., 2013). In addition, we found that 
Mal3 and Dis1 together substantially promoted the MT catastrophe frequency (~3.8 fold); 
Mal3 alone had modest impact (~1.9 fold), while Dis1 had no effect on its own (Fig. S2F). It 
is of note that no synergistic effect was observed for shrinkage rate (Fig. S2F). Therefore, the 
increased accumulation of Dis1 at MT ends by Mal3 together with Mal3’s own presence 
strongly enhanced the dynamicity of MTs, in particular increased the growth rate and the 
catastrophe frequency. Taking all these data together, we conclude that Mal3 specifically 
binds to Dis1 but not to Alp14, thereby enhancing MT dynamicity.

The C-terminal tail region of Dis1 is the primary site for Mal3 binding

Although Dis1 directly binds to Mal3 (Fig. 2), there is no SXIP motif or CAP-Gly domain 
within the Dis1 protein. Therefore, we decided to experimentally identify the interaction site 
of Dis1 for Mal3 binding. Using GST pull-down assays with several truncated Dis1 
constructs (Fig. 3A), we found that the C-terminal region of Dis1 (Dis1 C2: 690-882 a.a.) is 
the minimal region that interacts with Mal3 as efficiently as full-length Dis1 (Dis1 FL); the 
preceding coiled-coil region (Dis1 C3: 690-784 a.a.) alone did not bind to Mal3 (Fig. 3A,B). 
This data indicated that the C-terminal tail of Dis1 (784-882 a.a.) is necessary for Mal3 
binding. To test this possibility, we constructed the C-terminally truncated Dis1 that lacked 
this region (Dis1Δtail) and compared the binding to Mal3 between Dis1 FL and Dis1Δtail. 
We found that the binding of Dis1Δtail to Mal3 was significantly reduced compared to that of 
Dis1 FL (Fig. 3A,C).

Consistent with these pull-down assays, TIRF-M assays demonstrated that Dis1 
constructs containing the C-terminal tail could track the MT plus end in the presence of Mal3 
(Fig. S3A,B). Importantly, while Dis1 FL could track the MT end regardless of the presence 
or absence of Mal3, Dis1 C2 (690-882 a.a), which lacked the N-terminal part of Dis1 
required for its autonomous end tracking ability, tracked ends only in the presence of Mal3 
(Fig. S3B). Notably, Dis1 C2 in the presence of Mal3 tracked a more extended region at MT 
ends compared to Dis1-FL alone, which showed a spot-like appearance on the MT ends.
These data strongly suggest that the C-terminal tail region is the primary site for Mal3 binding.

Three amino acid residues in the C-terminus of Dis1 are critical for binding to Mal3
To identify the Mal3 binding site within the C-terminal tail region of Dis1, we analysed the Dis1-Mal3 interaction by means of a tiling peptide array. A membrane was spotted with 20-residue peptides covering the C-terminal region of Dis1 (505-882 a.a.) with a 2-residue start increment per spot, and then probed with Mal3-HA protein followed by immunoblotting. Remarkably, a single region consisting of 21 amino acid residues (833-853 a.a.) bound to Mal3 (Fig. 3D). To verify this interaction, we synthesised the corresponding peptide (Dis1 peptide) and conducted a competition experiment in the GST pull-down assay. Confirming the importance of the identified peptide for the Dis1-Mal3 interaction, an excess amount of the Dis1 peptide effectively abolished the binding between Mal3 and Dis1 C2 (690-882 a.a.) (Fig. 3E).

To identify the amino acid residues that are most critical for the interaction between Dis1 and Mal3, we further performed alanine scanning mutagenesis against each amino acid and found that the Dis1 residues L841, P844 and F847 are indispensable residues for Mal3 binding (Fig. 3F). To further test the importance of these key residues, we mutated them in full-length Dis1; we constructed two types of Dis1 mutants with substitutions (LAPA: L841A and P844A; LAPAFA: L841A, P844A and F847A) and compared their binding to Mal3. Both Dis1 mutant proteins bound more weakly to Mal3 in comparison to wild type Dis1 (Fig. 3G), confirming that the three amino acid residues L841, P844 and F847 in the Dis1 C-terminal region are critical for the interaction with Mal3. Since the identified binding motif differs from the canonical SXIP motif, Dis1 likely binds to Mal3 in a novel, unconventional manner.

The coiled-coil and EBH domains of Mal3 are necessary and sufficient for binding to Dis1
To identify in turn the Dis1-binding region within Mal3, several truncated Mal3 constructs were made and used for Dis1 binding assays by GST pull-down. We found that the C-terminal half (Mal3 C1: 144-308 a.a.) is responsible for binding to Dis1 (Fig. 4A,B). This C-terminal region consists of the parallel coiled-coil region (144-194 a.a.) followed by the EBH domain (197-247 a.a.) (Slep et al., 2005) (Fig. 4A). We produced fragments corresponding to these two regions (Mal3 C2 and Mal3 C3 respectively) and found that neither bound to Dis1 (Fig. 4C). However, a Mal3 construct that consists of the C-terminal part of the coiled-coil and the EBH domains (Mal3 C4: 174-247 a.a.) was sufficient to bind to Dis1 (Fig. 4A,D).
Introduction of the LAPA mutations (L841A and P844) to the Dis1 C2 construct abrogated the binding of Mal3 C4 (Fig. 4D). Taken together, the dimerised EBH domain within Mal3 is necessary and sufficient for the binding to Dis1.

**Direct interaction between Dis1 and Mal3 is essential for synergistic impact on microtubule dynamics**

We next examined the localisation of 10 nM Dis1-LAPA-eGFP in the presence or absence of 20 nM Mal3 on dynamic MTs using TIRF-M assays. As shown in Fig. 5A, the localisation patterns of Dis1-LAPA to the MT tip were indistinguishable regardless of the presence or absence of Mal3; they displayed thin spot-like appearances rather than thick comets seen in wild type Dis1-eGFP in the presence of Mal3. This firmly established that Dis1-LAPA fails to interact with Mal3 not only in solution but also on MTs.

We then asked whether Dis1 and Mal3 in combination are capable to alter the dynamic properties of MTs without their direct interaction. To this end, we quantified various parameters of the MT dynamics. Remarkably, no additive or synergistic impact was observed between Dis1-LAPA and Mal3 (Fig. 5B and Table S3); growth rate was $1.08 \pm 0.09 \mu m/min$ (mean $\pm$ S.E.M, n=50), which was very similar to that of Dis1-LAPA alone ($1.02 \pm 0.04 \mu m/min$, mean $\pm$ S.E.M), while catastrophe frequency was almost identical to that of Mal3 alone ($0.22 \pm 0.02 \mu m/min$ vs $0.23 \pm 0.01 \mu m/min$; mean $\pm$ S.E.M, n=50). It is of note that authentic activities of Dis1-LAPA on its own towards the MT dynamics are indistinguishable compared to wild type Dis1 (Fig. 5B and Table S3). Collectively, these results have illuminated the marked differences of XMAP215/TOG and EB1 families between fission yeast and vertebrates; while fission yeast Dis1/TOG and Mal3/EB1 need to interact directly in order to exert synergistic impacts on the MT dynamics, vertebrate orthologues are poised to do so without physical binding.

**Crystallographic analysis of the Mal3-Dis1 binding interface**

Previous crystal structures of the EB1 C-terminal domain showed that the highly conserved surface of the EBH domain comprises a hydrophobic cavity, which is important for the binding to SXIP containing +TIPs (Honnappa et al., 2009; Honnappa et al., 2005; Slep et al., 2005). A crystal structure of the corresponding Mal3 EBH domain has not been reported yet. To obtain structural insight into the basis of Mal3-Dis1 interaction, we crystallised Mal3 C4 (174-247 a.a.) alone and in complex with the minimal Mal3-binding Dis1 peptide (833-852 a.a.) (Fig. 3E), and solved their structures (Fig. 6A, Fig. S4A,B and Table S4). The homodimerised EBH domain of Mal3 also displayed a hydrophobic cavity, demonstrating that this structural feature is conserved (Fig. 6B). The Dis1 peptide bound to this
homodimerised EBH domain (Fig. 6A and Fig. S4A,B), and its binding was driven by predominantly by hydrophobic interactions between L841, P844 and F847 in the Dis1 peptide and the conserved hydrophobic cavity on the outside edge of the EBH domain of Mal3 (Fig. 6B). These structural data are fully consistent with the results of alanine scanning and pull-down assay using the mutant Dis1 protein with the disrupted binding motif (Fig. 3F,G).

Next, we carried out a detailed comparison of the interaction interface between Mal3-Dis1 and EB1-MACF (microtubule actin cross-linking factor), an EB1 interactor containing the canonical SXIP motif (Honnappa et al., 2009) (Fig. 6C, D and Fig. S4C,D). We found that the SMLQKP residues in the Dis1 peptide are functionally equivalent to the SLIPTP motif in the MACF peptide, especially the L841 residue in the Dis1 peptide and the corresponding I5479 residue in the MACF peptide fit into the conserved hydrophobic cavity formed by the EBH domain. Intriguingly, however, we noted a clear difference between the individual interaction modes of the two peptides: while the binding interface for EB1-MCAF is provided predominantly by one EB1 monomer, the interface for the Dis1 peptide spans two Mal3 monomers (Fig. 6E). This structural data explains the necessity of dimerisation of the EBH domain for Dis1 binding via the preceding coiled-coil of Mal3 (Fig. 4A,C). These findings indicate that the binding between Dis1 and Mal3 is indeed non-canonical, although the hydrophobic cavity is conserved in Mal3 and EB1.

**Physiological significance of the binding between Dis1 and Mal3**

Given that Dis1 and Mal3 directly interact in vitro, we next examined their in vivo interaction using pull-down by GFP trap from fission yeast lysates. We found that Dis1-2GFP and Mal3-5FLAG indeed formed a complex (Fig. 7A). We then created a mutant strain in which wild type dis1+2GFP was replaced by dis1-LAPA-2GFP (L841A and P844A). Consistent with the in vitro data (Fig. 3F,G), the pull-down assay clearly indicated that Dis1-LAPA-2GFP did not bind to Mal3-5FLAG (Fig. 7A), demonstrating that the identified Mal3 binding site in Dis1 is relevant in the context of living cells.

In order to explore the functional significance of the direct binding between Dis1 and Mal3, we examined genetic interactions. It was previously shown that the double mutant between Δdis1 and Δalp14 was synthetically lethal (Garcia et al., 2002) (Nakaseko et al., 2001). Interestingly, while the Δmal3 mutant displayed synthetic lethality with Δalp14, Δmal3Δdis1 was viable (Kerres et al., 2004). This result implies that Dis1 and Mal3 act in the same genetic pathway, which shares essentiality with Alp14 (Fig. 7B). Moreover, dis1-LAPA mutants also showed synthetic lethal interaction with Δalp14 (Fig. 7B,C). It is noteworthy
that unlike Δdis1, which displayed cold sensitivity (Ohkura et al., 1988), dis1-LAPA cells were capable of forming colonies at low temperature (Fig. 7D). This result indicates that failure of interaction between Dis1 and Mal3 did not abolish all the cellular functions of Dis1 (Garcia et al., 2002; George and Walworth, 2015; Sanchez-Perez et al., 2005); instead by forming a complex with Mal3, Dis1 plays an essential role in cell division, which is compensated for by Alp14.

Although a strain containing dis1-LAPA cells grew apparently normally, these cells displayed hyper-sensitivity to the microtubule-destabilising drug thiabendazole (TBZ) (Fig. 7D), which slows down growth in mutants with defects in microtubule regulation and chromosome segregation (Garcia et al., 2001; Toyoda et al., 2002; Umesono et al., 1983); note that Δmal3 and Δdis1 cells were also individually hypersensitive to TBZ (Fig. 7D) as previously shown (Aoki et al., 2006; Beinhauer et al., 1997). We then examined MT integrity and mitotic progression in the dis1-LAPA mutant. No noticeable differences in microtubule structures and intensities were observed; however, a modest yet reproducible increase in the rate of MT elongation during anaphase B (phase III, Nabeshima et al., 1998) was detected (Fig. 7E). Currently the reason for this faster anaphase B progression is unknown; nonetheless, this result uncovered that a direct interaction between Dis1 and Mal3 plays a role in the proper MT dynamics in late mitosis.

Mutations in genes required for chromosome transmission fidelity can be identified by a minichromosome loss assay (Fleig et al., 1996; Niwa et al., 1989; Takahashi et al., 1994). It was previously observed that both mal3 and dis1 mutants displayed a minichromosome loss phenotype (Aoki et al., 2006; Beinhauer et al., 1997). Although it was not as severe as Δdis1 cells (6.9%), a strain containing Dis1-LAPA lost minichromosomes at an elevated rate compared to wild type cells (2.0% vs <0.1%) (Fig. 7F). Taken together, these results indicate that the physical interaction between Dis1 and Mal3 is indeed necessary for accurate chromosome segregation.

DISCUSSION
Our study of the biochemical and physiological interplay between fission yeast Dis1/XMAP215 and Mal3/EB1 has provided five major results. First, Dis1 is a bona fide microtubule polymerase like other XMAP215/TOG family members. Second, Dis1 and Mal3 synergistically control MT dynamics. Third, this is explained by a direct interaction between Dis1 and Mal3 involving a novel EB1 binding motif. Fourth, the binding mode of this motif
in Dis1 to the conserved hydrophobic pocket in the EBH domain of Mal3 is unconventional. Fifth and finally, the Dis1-Mal3 interaction is of physiological significance.

Our *in vitro* reconstitution experiments showed that Dis1 is a microtubule polymerase that autonomously localises to the growing MT plus ends, thereby accelerating the MT growth rate by ~threefold. This behaviour is very similar to that of Alp14, the other XMAP215/TOG orthologue in fission yeast (Al-Bassam et al., 2012; Garcia et al., 2001; Nakaseko et al., 2001). As in other members of XMAP215/TOG such as frog XMAP215, budding yeast Stu2 or fission yeast Alp14 (Al-Bassam et al., 2006; 2012; Hussmann et al., 2016; Widlund et al., 2011), the N-terminal TOG domains of Dis1 are likely to bind tubulin dimers, while the central Ser-Lys-rich (SK-rich) domain of Dis1 is probably responsible for binding to the MT lattice, which is consistent with our results with truncated Dis1-eGFP constructs (Fig. S3B) and also with the detailed domain analysis previously performed (Nakaseko et al., 1996).

The vertebrate proteins *Xenopus* XMAP215 and human EB1 have previously been reported to synergistically increase the MT polymerisation rate *in vitro*, although these two proteins do not interact directly (Zanic et al., 2013). Synergy was proposed to result from the ability of EB1 to potentially alter the curvature of the MT end structure allosterically, allowing XMAP215 to promote MT growth more efficiently (Zanic et al., 2013). Here we have also observed a synergistic enhancement of the microtubule growth rate by fission yeast Mal3 and Dis1, even if to a lesser extent. For the fission yeast proteins, synergy is likely to arise from the enhanced accumulation of Dis1 at microtubule ends as a consequence of its recruitment by Mal3 via direct interaction, as our TIRF microscopy experiments have demonstrated. Consistent with this notion, the Dis1 mutant protein with specific defects in binding to Mal3 (Dis1-LAPA) failed to exhibit additive or synergistic effects on the MT dynamics in combination with Mal3, although Dis1-LAPA on its own still retains normal enzymatic activities to a similar extent to those of wild type Dis1 (Fig. 5B). In this context it is interesting to note that in budding yeast, an interaction between Bim1/EB1 and Stu2/XMAP215 is known; however their mode of interaction is unclear (Wolyniak et al., 2006). This suggests that fungi and vertebrates have developed similar yet distinct regulatory systems by which to regulate the MT dynamics exerted by XMAP215/TOG and EB1 families.

In human cells the protein SLAIN2 acts as a linker mediating an indirect interaction between ch-TOG and EB1 (van der Vaart et al., 2011). The effect of all three human proteins together on microtubule dynamics has not been investigated yet *in vitro*. However, the
indirect interaction between XMAP215 and EB1 proteins is probably conserved in metazoa, as the unrelated Drosophila protein Sentin plays a similar role for Drosophila MspS/XMAP215 and EB1, mediating their indirect interaction (Li et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012). A mild synergistic effect on the growth rate of microtubules exerted by a combination of these three Drosophila proteins was also observed, possibly as a consequence of their tripartite interaction (Li et al., 2012). Taken together, it is possible that members of the XMAP215/TOG and EB1 families act collaboratively at the MT plus ends in many, if not all, eukaryotes, having a synergistic impact on MT dynamics. Their interaction can either be indirect as in metazoans, or direct as in fission yeast, demonstrating evolutionary plasticity of this regulatory module (Fig. 8A,B).

Here we have solved the first X-ray structure of the EBH domain of Mal3, the protein originally used to reconstitute autonomous microtubule end tracking by an EB protein and its recruitment of other +TIPs to growing microtubule ends (Bieling et al., 2007). Our crystallographic analysis shows the two hydrophobic pockets that are formed by the C-terminal part of the Mal3 EBH domains and to which typically recruited +TIPs bind via their canonical SxIP motif. This pocket is conserved, in agreement with the observation of SXIP motif containing proteins being recruited by Mal3 in vitro (Bieling et al., 2007). The polypeptide fold however is unconventional, because a hydrophobic pocket is formed by helices of two Mal3 polypeptides (trans-configuration), whereas in EB1 a hydrophobic pocket is formed by the corresponding helices of a single Mal3 polypeptide (cis-configuration).

The interaction of the non-canonical Dis1 peptide with the hydrophobic pocket is also unconventional compared to the binding of SXIP motif-containing peptides. Although both peptides interact strongly with the hydrophobic cavity of the EBH domain, their exact binding interface varies (Fig. 6E). Due to these differences, the binding interface in Mal3-Dis1 is at 90° to the linker between the two helices in the EB monomer, while in EB1-MACF (containing the canonical SXIP motif) it is on the same side (Fig. 6C-E and Fig. S4C,D). Hence, the SXIP motif is not be the sole motif that can interact with the EBH domain of EB1. In fact, previous comprehensive proteomic analysis of EB1-binding proteins identified a fairly large number of interactors that do not contain the canonical SXIP sequence (Jiang et al., 2012; Tamura et al., 2015). Therefore, it is likely that non-canonical EB1 interaction motifs similar to the one we discovered in Dis1 will exist in proteins of other organisms than fission yeast, likely including also humans.
Previous work showed that Dis1 localises to the mitotic kinetochore through interaction with the Ndc80/Hec1 outer kinetochore component and plays a critical role in proper kinetochore-microtubule attachment (Hsu and Toda, 2011). It is, therefore, likely that Dis1 and Mal3 interact at the kinetochore-MT interface upon kinetochore capture by the MT plus ends. Interestingly, Alp14/TOG also localises to the mitotic kinetochore, yet in a different manner; Alp7/TACC binds to Ndc80, thereby recruiting the Alp7-Alp14 complex to the kinetochore (Sato et al., 2004; Tang et al., 2015). The result that Δalp14 and Mal3-binding defective dis1-LAPA display synthetic lethality indicates that these two fission yeast XMAP215/TOG members in concert establish and maintain kinetochore-microtubule attachment by exploiting distinct binding partners, Mal3/EB1 for Dis1/TOG and Alp7/TACC for Alp14/TOG.

Intriguingly, the interaction between XMAP215/TOG and the Ndc80 complex is conserved in budding yeast and humans (Miller et al., 2016). Importantly, XMAP215/TOG orthologues of these two organisms (Stu2 and ch-TOG) regulate kinetochore-microtubule attachment in a tension-sensitive manner. Intriguingly, in vitro work showed that the XMAP215/TOG family members play this critical role without altering MT dynamics (Miller et al., 2016). However, in cells undergoing mitosis, the fine-tuning of MT dynamics and the state of tension should temporally be coupled. We envisage that the EB1 proteins might be important proteins promoting proper kinetochore-MT attachment in response to the state of tension. Further in vitro studies by adding the EB1 proteins (and SLAIN2) to XMAP215/TOG and the Ndc80 complex would be of great interest to explore this possibility.

In conclusion, our work provides novel insight into the unconventional binding mode of Mal3/EB1 with Dis1/TOG, which is likely to indicate the possibility of further modes of interactions for other uncharacterised EB1 interactors. From an evolutionary point of view, the interaction between EB1-XMAP215 family members is probably ubiquitous; intriguingly however, the mechanism by which they interact varies among different organisms, illuminating diversification and remarkable adaptability through evolution.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protein expression and purification

dis1 cDNA optimised for E. coli codon was synthesised (GeneArt Gene Synthesis) and subcloned into the pETM-11 vector (Maurer et al, 2011). The purification of the various Dis1-eGFP constructs was performed as described previously (Maurer et al, 2011) except that Dis1 buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 300 mM KCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM DTT) containing EDTA-free protease inhibitors (Roche) and IgG Sepharose 6 Fast Flow (GE Healthcare) were used. In addition, TEV protease (for Dis1-eGFP) or Precision protease (for Dis1-HA) was used. The purification of the Mal3, Mal3-eGFP and Mal3-mCherry was performed as described previously (Maurer et al, 2011).

The EBH domain (174-247a.a.) of Mal3 was purified by the same procedures described above except that buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol) containing 30 mM imidazole was used for cell suspension and columns washing. In addition, His-tag purification resin (Roche) and buffer A supplemented with 500 mM imidazole were used for affinity purification and elution respectively. The cleavage of oligo-histidine tag was performed as described earlier. Mal3 proteins were further purified by gel filtration using Superose200 10/300 columns equilibrated with buffer B (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT).

Porcine brain tubulin was purified and cycled tubulin fractions were labelled with Cy5 (Lumiprobe) or EZ-link NHS Biotin (Thermo Scientific) by standard methods as described previously (Bieling et al, 2007). Protein concentration was determined by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad).

Microtubule cosedimentation and binding assays

The microtubule cosedimentation assay was performed as described previously (Maurer et al, 2011). 0.2 µM Dis1-eGFP was incubated with taxol-stabilised microtubules at concentrations from 0 to 4 µM in BRB80 supplemented with 85 mM KCl and 85 mM CH3COOK in the presence of 20 µM taxol.

Analytical gel-filtration

5 µM Dis1-eGFP and/or 10 µM tubulin (or 5 µM Dis1-HA and/or 20 µM Mal3) was incubated in gel-filtration buffer (25 mM K-HEPES pH7.5, 200 mM KCl, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl2) before loading on a Superose 6 10/300 (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with gel-filtration buffer. The absorbance of the eluted protein was measure at 280 nm.
TIRF microscopy and image analysis

TIRF microscopy-based dynamic microtubule assays were performed as previously described (Bieling et al., 2010). TIRF assay buffer consisted of BRB80 supplemented with 85 mM KCl, 1 mM GTP, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.1% Brij-35, 0.1% methylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich) and an oxygen scavenger system (glucose, glucose oxidase (Serva) and catalase (Sigma-Aldrich)). For simultaneous dual (or triple)-colour time-lapse imaging of the Cy5 and GFP (and mCherry) channel, imaging was performed at 1s intervals with 100 ms exposure time, using a magnification of x100 at 30 ± 1ºC on a custom TIRF microscope equipped with a Cascade II, cooled charge-coupled device camera (Photometrics), illuminating the sample with 488 nm and 640 nm (and 561 nm) lasers. Image analysis was performed as described previously (Duellberg et al., 2014).

GST pull-down assay

For domain analysis of the Dis1 protein, 1µM of GST or GST-Mal3 and 0.5µM of several truncated Dis1-eGFP proteins were incubated in pull-down buffer (BRB80 supplemented with 85 mM KCl, 0.01% Brij-35 and 1mM DTT). Then, 20 µl of glutathione sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) were added and after incubation for 1 h at 4ºC, beads were washed and eluted with the buffer containing 10mM reduced glutathione. For domain analysis of Mal3, 0.5 µM Dis1 C1-eGFP and 1 µM of several truncated GST-Mal3 proteins were used and the same procedures were followed.

For peptide competition assay, 1 µM of purified GST-Mal3 bound to the glutathione beads were pre-incubated with various concentration of the Dis1 peptide (833-852a.a) (0, 0.5, 2.5, 5.0, 50, 100 µM) in pull-down buffer for 30 min, followed by further incubation with 0.5 µM Dis1 C2-eGFP for 1 h.

Peptide array assay

Peptide array assays were performed as previously described (Hsu and Toda, 2011). The blocked membrane was incubated with 1 µg/ml Mal3-HA protein and bound Mal3-HA was detected by immunoblotting with an anti-HA antibody (diluted 1:1000, 16B12, Covance).

X-ray crystallography

X-ray data were collected on beamlines I04 and I03 of the Diamond Light Source. Data were integrated and scaled using the Xia2 pipeline. The unliganded Mal3 EBH (hereon Mal3) domain was solved using ab initio molecular replacement as implemented in the ARCIMBOLDO-LIGHT package (Sammito et al., 2015). 20-residue α-helical segments were used as a search model. A strong (30.2% origin) native Patterson peak at 0.155, 0.5, 0.5 in the
native data suggested the presence of translational pseudo-symmetry, and appropriate correction was applied in Phaser. The preliminary poly-alanine model was then assigned sequence in Buccaneer, and manually rebuilt and refined with Coot (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004) and Refmac5 (Murshudov et al., 2011). The final model was refined with anisotropic temperature factors applied to all atoms. The Mal3-Dis1 structure was solved by molecular replacement in Phaser (McCoy et al., 2007) using the apo-Mal3 structure as a search model. Model building and refinement were carried out as for the apo-structure. Clear density could be seen for the bound Dis1 peptides in an unbiased difference map at both homodimer interfaces and were built in the final stages of refinement. All data collection and refinement statistics are provided in Table S4.

**Crystallisation and structure solution**

Crystals were grown by sitting-drop vapour diffusion. The Mal3\textsuperscript{174-247} proteins at 7 mg/ml were mixed with crystallisation solution comprising 0.2 M NaCl, 30% MPD and 0.1 M sodium acetate at pH4.6. Crystals grew to full size after 5 d and were flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen with no additional cryoprotection. To obtain the Mal3 EBH domain-Dis1 complex, the Mal3\textsuperscript{174-247} protein was incubated with the Dis1 peptide (residues 833-852) in a 1:5 molar ration for 1 hour at 4°C before crystallisation. Crystals were grown by sitting-drop vapour diffusion. The protein complex at 7 mg/ml was mixed with the crystallisation solution consisting of 0.2 M MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 0.1 M HEPES pH 7, 20% PEG 6K. Crystals grew to full size after a week and were flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

**Immunoprecipitation**

Immunoprecipitation was performed as previously described (Hsu and Toda, 2011) except that IP buffer (50 mM Na-HEPES, pH7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% Tween20, 1 mM DTT, protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), GFP-Trap A and an anti-FLAG antibody (diluted 1:2000, Anti-FLAG M2, Sigma-Aldrich) or an anti-GFP antibody (diluted 1:2000, Anti-eGFP 632569, Clontech) was used.

**Fission yeast strains, media, genetic methods, minichromosome loss assay and cell biology**

Fission yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table S5. Standard fission yeast methodologies were followed (Moreno et al., 1991). Spot assays were carried out by spotting 5-10 μl of cells at a concentration of 2×10\textsuperscript{6} cells/ml after 10-fold serial dilutions onto rich YE5S plates with or without a drug (10 μg/ml TBZ). C-terminal tagging and gene disruption were performed using PCR generated fragments as described (Bahler et al., 1998; Sato et al., 2004).
Minichromosome loss assay was carried out as described (Niwa et al., 1989; Tange et al., 2016).

Fluorescence microscope images were obtained using the DeltaVision microscope system (Applied Precision, Inc.) with a cooled CCD camera CoolSNAP.HQ (Photometrics). Live cells were imaged in a glass-bottomed culture dish (MatTek Corporation) coated with soybean lectin.

**Statistical data analysis**

In all the experiments in which statistical data are presented, the number of experiments and sample size are described in the main text, tables and figure legends. Results are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M) or standard deviation (SD). Statistical evaluation was performed using Prism (GraphPad). All p-values are from two-tailed unpaired student t-tests. We followed this key for asterisk placeholders for p-values in the figures:

*p<0.01, n.s. not significant.

**Protein Data Bank**

Atomic coordinates and structure factors of Mal3174-247 and the Mal3174-247:Dis1 peptide have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession codes 5M97 and 5M9E respectively.
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Fig. 1. Fission yeast Dis1 is a microtubule polymerase.

(A) Microtubule binding activity of Dis1-eGFP. Dis1-eGFP concentrations were kept constant at 0.2 µM, while the tubulin concentration was varied (from left to right, 0, 1, 2, 4 µM). Both supernatant (S) and pellet (P) were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue.

(B) Tubulin binding activity of Dis1-eGFP. 5 µM Dis1-eGFP (green), 10 µM tubulin dimers (blue) and their mixture (red) were fractionated by gel filtration column.

(C) Schematic of the assay (top) and dual colour TIRF-M kymographs showing binding events of 10 nM Dis1-eGFP (green in merge) to the ends of growing microtubules.

(D) Dual-colour TIRF-M kymographs showing Cy5-labelled microtubules growing in the absence or presence of Dis1-eGFP (green).

(E) Plot of the mean growth rate. Cy5 labelled tubulin concentration was 8 µM (C-E). Data points, black: error bars are S.E.M. n=50.

(F) Kymographs showing GMPCPP-stabilised Cy5-labelled microtubules. Scale bars, 5 µm (horizontal) and 1 min (vertical) (C, D and F).
Fig. 2. Dis1 directly binds to Mal3.

(A) Binding between Dis1 and Mal3. GST or GST-Mal3 bound to glutathione beads was mixed with Dis1-eGFP.
(B) Analytical gel filtration chromatography. 5 µM Dis1-HA (green), 20 µM Mal3 (blue) or the mixture of both proteins (red) was analysed.

(C) TIRF-M kymographs showing binding events of 20 nM Dis1-eGFP (green) to the ends of growing Cy5-labelled microtubules (red) in the absence or presence of unlabelled Mal3. Cy5-labelled tubulin concentration was 20 µM. Snap shots are shown at the bottom.

(D) Schematic of the assay (top) and triple colour TIRF-M kymographs showing binding events of 10 nM Dis1-eGFP (green in merge) to the ends of growing Cy5-labelled microtubules (blue in merge) in the presence of 200 nM Mal3-mCherry (red in merge) (bottom).

(E) 10 nM Dis1-eGFP accumulates at the ends of a microtubule in a similar manner to 200 nM Mal3-mCherry. Cy5 labelled tubulin concentration was 10 µM (D and E). Scale bars, 5 µm (horizontal) and 1 min (vertical) (C-E).

(F) Plot of the mean growth rate. 10 nM Dis1 and/or 20 nM Mal3 were added in the presence of 8 µM tubulin. Data points, black: error bars are S.E.M. n=50.
Fig. 3. The C-terminal tail region of Dis1 is the primary binding site for Mal3.

(A) A schematic representation and a summary of their binding to Mal3. TOG1, TOG2, TOG homology domains; SK rich, rich in serines and lysines; CC, coiled-coil.

(B) Binding between various truncated Dis1 proteins and Mal3. GST-Mal3 bound to glutathione beads was mixed with truncated Dis1-eGFP.

(C) Binding between the full-length or tail-less Dis1 protein and Mal3.

(D) Peptide array analysis. The arrays cover from 505th to 882nd amino acid residues of Dis1. The arrays were incubated with a solution containing Mal3-HA protein, followed by immunoblotting against an anti-HA antibody. Amino acid sequences corresponding to bound residues (red) are shown on the bottom.
(E) Binding between the tail region of Dis1 and Mal3. GST-Mal3 bound to the glutathione beads were pre-incubated with various concentration of the Dis1 peptide (833-852 a.a.) (from left to right, 0, 0.5, 2.5, 5.0, 50, 100 µM) for 30 min before incubation with 0.5 µM Dis1 C2-eGFP for an additional hour.

(F) Alanine scanning mutagenesis analysis. Each position in the Dis1 peptide was substituted with alanine. Amino acid residues shown in red were sensitive to alternations.

(G) Binding between Mal3 and the wild type or mutated Dis1 protein. Dis1-eGFP proteins (LAPA: L841A and P844A or LAPAFA: L841A, P844A and F847A).
Fig. 4. The coiled-coil and EBH domains of Mal3 are necessary and sufficient for binding to Dis1

(A) A schematic representation of various truncated Mal3 proteins and a summary of their binding to Dis1. CH, calpain-homology domain; CC, coiled-coil; EBH, EB homology.

(B, C) Binding between various truncated Mal3 proteins and Dis1. Dis1 C1 (520-882 a.a.)-eGFP proteins were mixed with various GST tagged truncated Mal3 proteins (B, FL, N, C1 or C, C2, C3), which were bound to the glutathione beads.

(D) Binding between the dimerised EBH domain of Mal3 protein and Dis1. EBH domain-containing Mal3 protein (174-247a.a.) was mixed with wild type or mutated Dis1 C1-eGFP (2A: L841A, P844A) and pulled down by GFP trap.
Fig. 5. Physical binding between Dis1 and Mal3 is required for synergetic impact on microtubule dynamics

(A) TIRF-M kymographs showing Dis1-eGFP (10 nM, green in merge) in the presence or absence of 20 nM Mal3. Cy5-labelled tubulin (red) concentration was 8 µM. Scale bars, 5 µm (horizontal) and 1 min (vertical).
(B, C) Plot of the mean growth rate (B) or catastrophe frequency (C). 10 nM Dis1-eGFP (WT or LAPA) and/or 20 nM Mal3 were added in the presence of 8 µM tubulin. Data points, black: error bars are S.E.M. n=50.
Fig. 6. Molecular details of the Mal3-Dis1 interaction

(A) Ribbon diagram of the complex between the coiled-coil and EBH domains of Mal3 (residues 174-247 forming a homodimer as depicted in blue and pink) and the interacting Dis1 peptide (residues 833-852 as depicted in green). A second peptide binds on the other side of the dimer, but has been omitted from the figure for the sake of clarity.

(B) Details of the key interacting residues. Surface conservation plot of the EBH domain of Mal3 from low (blue) to high (purple) similarity.

(C, D) Close-up view of the interaction seen in the Mal3-EBH dimer (residues 174-247 as depicted in blue and pink) and Dis1 peptide (residues 833-852 as depicted in green) (C) or in the human EB1-EBH dimer (residues 191-260 as depicted in yellow and green) and the SXIP motif containing MACF peptide (residues 5468-5497 as depicted in orange) (D).

(E) Cartoon showing the comparison of the interaction interfaces between Mal3-Dis1 (left) and EB1-MCAF (right). A (A’) and B (B’) represent each EBH domain derived of two dimerised Mal3 molecules.
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**Fig. 7. Dis1 interacts with Mal3 in vivo and its interaction is critical for Dis1 function.**

(A) Interaction between Dis1 and Mal3. Whole cell extracts (1.0 mg) were pulled down with GFP trap. Immunoblotting was performed by anti-GFP and anti-FLAG antibodies. Input: 15 µg.

(B) Summary of genetic interaction. Solid lines, synthetically lethal: dotted line, viable.

(C) Tetrad dissection. Five representative tetrads are shown (parental ditype (1), tetratype (2-4) and non-parental ditype (5)). Double mutants were inviable (red circles).

(D) Spot assay. Indicated strains were serially diluted (10 fold each), spotted on YES and incubated for 4 d or at 26°C for 4 d in the presence of 10 µg/ml TBZ (right).

(E) Mitotic progression and MT dynamics in dis1-LAPA cells. Mitotic progression of wild type (left, n=38) and dis1-LAPA cells (right, n=31) containing a tubulin marker (mCherry-Atb2) was followed in live under fluorescence microscopy at the room temperature (23°C). Phase I (red), the initial stage of spindle elongation; Phase II (yellow), the pre-anaphase stage with constant spindle length; Phase III (blue), anaphase B (Nabeshima et al., 1998). All p-values are from two-tailed unpaired student t-tests (>30 cells). n.s., not significant; p-value, *p<0.01.

(F) Minichromosome loss assay. Indicated strains carrying the minichromosome Ch16 (Niwa et al., 1989) were grown on rich YE plates (lacking adenine) and incubated at 25°C for 6 days. The percentage of red and/or sectored colonies is shown at the bottom (n≥1, 000).
Fig. 8. Interplay between Dis1 and Mal3 and comparison to metazoans

(A) In fission yeast, Dis1 (orange) binds directly to Mal3 (blue), thereby enhancing the MT growth rate and the catastrophe frequency in a synergistic manner (left). By contrast, in metazoans including humans and Drosophila, ch-TOG and Msp (orange) interact with EB1 (blue) via SLAIN2 and Sentin (yellow) respectively (right), thereby accelerating MT dynamics. Dimer formation of EB1/Mal3 is not shown for simplicity.

(B) Summary of individual parameters representing MT dynamics in the presence of Dis1 (wild type or LAPA) and/or Mal3. -, not altered compared to control samples (tubulin only); thin arrow, increased by up to twofold; intermediate arrow, increased by ~threefold; thick arrow, increased by more than fourfold.
Table S1. Kinetic parameters of MT dynamics \textit{in vitro} in the presence of various concentrations of Dis1 (0-40 nM) at 8 µM tubulin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dis1 nM</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Growth rate (µm/min)</th>
<th>Shrinkage rate (µm/min)</th>
<th>Catastrophe frequency (min(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.02 (50)</td>
<td>15.79 ± 0.59 (50)</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.08 (50)</td>
<td>14.93 ± 0.76 (50)</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.05 (50)</td>
<td>12.02 ± 0.78 (50)</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.09 (50)</td>
<td>11.28 ± 0.57 (50)</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.07 (50)</td>
<td>12.19 ± 0.68 (50)</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\('N' represents the number of experiments, while 'n' represents the number of events calculated for growth rate and shrinkage rate. As for catastrophe frequency, at least 30 microtubules were observed per each experiment. Each value is shown in mean±S.E.M. Rescue events were not observed under this condition.

Table S2. Kinetic parameters of MT dynamics \textit{in vitro} in the presence or absence of 10 nM Dis1 and/or 20 nM Mal3 at 8 µM tubulin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>nM</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Growth rate (µm/min)</th>
<th>Shrinkage rate (µm/min)</th>
<th>Catastrophe frequency (min(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.02 (50)</td>
<td>15.79 ± 0.59 (50)</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dis1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.05 (50)</td>
<td>12.02 ± 0.78 (50)</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mal3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.04 (50)</td>
<td>20.09 ± 0.93 (50)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dis1 +</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.48 ± 0.08 (50)</td>
<td>10.40 ± 0.94 (50)</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mal3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\('N' represents the number of experiments, while 'n' represents the number of events calculated for growth rate and shrinkage rate. As for catastrophe frequency, at least 30 microtubules were observed per each experiment. Each value is shown in mean±S.E.M. Rescue events were not observed under this condition.
Table S3. Kinetic parameters of MT dynamics *in vitro* in the presence or absence of 10 nM Dis1 (WT or Dis1-LAPA) and/or 20 nM Mal3 at 8 µM tubulin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>nM</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Growth rate (µm/min, n)</th>
<th>Shrinkage rate (µm/min, n)</th>
<th>Catastrophe frequency (min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.02 (50)</td>
<td>9.16 ± 0.30 (50)</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dis1 WT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.06 (50)</td>
<td>8.97 ± 0.41 (50)</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dis1 LAPA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.01 (50)</td>
<td>8.85 ± 0.53 (50)</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mal3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.02 (50)</td>
<td>8.87 ± 0.68 (50)</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dis1 WT + Mal3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.12 (50)</td>
<td>7.76 ± 0.38 (50)</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dis1 LAPA + Mal3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.09 (50)</td>
<td>8.39 ± 0.63 (50)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘N’ represents the number of experiments, while ‘n’ represents the number of events calculated for growth rate and shrinkage rate. As for catastrophe frequency, at least 30 microtubules were observed per each experiment. Each value is shown in mean±S.E.M. Rescue events were not observed under this condition.
Table S4. Crystallographic data, phasing, and refinement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mal3&lt;sup&gt;174-247&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mal3&lt;sup&gt;174-247&lt;/sup&gt; + Dis&lt;sup&gt;1833-852&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.97949</td>
<td>0.97625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>35.52 - 1.33 (1.378 - 1.33)</td>
<td>80.8 - 2.83 (2.931 - 2.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P 2; 2; 2;</td>
<td>P 6&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell (Å,°)</td>
<td>35.38 37.91 101.64 90 90 90</td>
<td>93.305 93.305 196.545 90 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reflections</td>
<td>401356 (33134)</td>
<td>232502 (23332)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>32149 (3130)</td>
<td>12733 (1186)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>12.5 (10.6)</td>
<td>18.3 (19.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99)</td>
<td>0.97 (1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean I/sigma(I)</td>
<td>16.19 (1.15)</td>
<td>9.13 (1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson B-factor</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>76.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-merge</td>
<td>0.09253 (1.963)</td>
<td>0.1766 (2.937)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-measured</td>
<td>0.09652 (2.063)</td>
<td>0.1819 (3.019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC1/2</td>
<td>1 (0.571)</td>
<td>0.997 (0.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC*</td>
<td>1 (0.852)</td>
<td>0.999 (0.857)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections used in refinement</td>
<td>32148 (3128)</td>
<td>12370 (1186)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections used for R-free</td>
<td>1570 (168)</td>
<td>603 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-work</td>
<td>0.1509 (0.3349)</td>
<td>0.3024 (0.4630)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-free</td>
<td>0.2037 (0.3444)</td>
<td>0.3399 (0.5033)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC(work)</td>
<td>0.958 (0.606)</td>
<td>0.936 (0.552)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC(free)</td>
<td>0.908 (0.658)</td>
<td>0.911 (0.391)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of non-hydrogen atoms</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>2704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>macromolecules</td>
<td>1223</td>
<td>2704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein residues</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS(bonds)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS(angles)</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran favored (%)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran allowed (%)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran outliers (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotamer outliers (%)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clashscore</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B-factor</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>90.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>macromolecules</td>
<td>21.09</td>
<td>90.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solvent</td>
<td>37.97</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S5. *S. pombe* strain list used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Figure used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>513</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 ura4-D18</td>
<td>7A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 his2 ura4-D18</td>
<td>7D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMP31</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 his2 ura4-D18 ma13·5FLAG-hphMX6</td>
<td>7A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMP34</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 ura4-D18 dis1·-2GFP-kanMX6</td>
<td>7A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMP35</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 ura4-D18 dis1·LAPA-2GFP-kanMX6</td>
<td>7A-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMP53</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 ura4-D18 dis1·-2GFP-kanMX6 ma13·5FLAG-hphMX6</td>
<td>7A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMP54</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 ura4-D18 dis1·LAPA-2GFP-kanMX6 ma13·5FLAG-hphMX6</td>
<td>7A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP021</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 ura4-D18 dis1·:hphMX6</td>
<td>7D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSH475</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 his2 ura4-D18 alp14:::ura4'</td>
<td>7C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM023</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 ura4-D18 ma13::kanMX6</td>
<td>7D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMP46</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 his2 ura4-D18 ma13::ura4'</td>
<td>7D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMP38</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 ura4-D18 dis1·-3GFP-kanMX6 aur1R-Pnda3-mCherry-atb2'</td>
<td>7E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMP44</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 ura4-D18 dis1·LAPA-2GFP-kanMX6 aur1R-Pnda3-mCherry-atb2'</td>
<td>7E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR20-1</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 ade6-M210 Ch16</td>
<td>7F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMP56</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 ade6-M210 Ch16 dis1·:hphMX6</td>
<td>7F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMP57</td>
<td>h· leu1-32 ade6-M210 Ch16 dis1·LAPA-2GFP-kanMX6</td>
<td>7F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Fig. S1. Fission yeast Dis1 is a microtubule polymerase.**

(A) Coomassie Brilliant Blue-stained SDS-PAGE gels of the purified recombinant Dis1 proteins as indicated.

(B) Tubulin binding activity of Dis1-eGFP was measured by analytical gel filtration chromatography. 5 µM purified full-length Dis1-eGFP (green), 10 µM unpolymerised tubulin dimers (blue) and the mixture of 5 µM Dis1-eGFP and 10 µM tubulin dimers (red) were fractionated by gel filtration column (as shown Fig. 1B), followed by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining of each fraction. This data corresponds to Fig. 1B.

(C) Shrinkage rate and catastrophe frequency in the presence of 8 µM tubulin and various concentrations of Dis1. The mean shrinkage rate and catastrophe frequency were plotted against individual Dis1 concentrations. Data points, black: error bars are S.E.M. n=50.

(D) Plot of the mean shrinkage rate as a function of the Dis1 concentration. Data points, black: error bars are S.E.M. n=50. Corresponding kymograph images are shown in Fig.1F.
**Fig. S2. Dis1 directly binds to Mal3.**

(A) Coomassie Brilliant Blue-stained SDS-PAGE gels of the purified recombinant Mal3 proteins as indicated.

(B) Analytical gel filtration chromatography for 5 µM purified full-length Dis1-HA (green), 20 µM purified full-length Mal3 (blue) and the mixture of 5µM Dis1-HA and 20 µM Mal3 (red) were fractionated, followed by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining of each fraction. This data corresponds to Fig. 2B.

(C) Dual-colour TIRF-M kymographs showing Cy5-labelled microtubules (red) growing in the presence of 200 nM or 1 µM Mal3-eGFP (green). Cy5 labelled tubulin concentration was 10 µM. Scale bar, 5 µm.

(D) Binding between Alp14 and Mal3 was tested by GST pull-down assay. Purified GST or GST-Alp14 bound to the glutathione beads was mixed with purified Mal3. Each fraction was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue.

(E) Kymographs showing MT polymerisation with combinations of Mal3 (20 nM) and/or Dis1 (10 nM) in the presence of 8 µM tubulin. Scale bars, 5 µm (horizontal) and 1 min (vertical) (C and E).

(F) Parameters representing MT dynamics in the presence of 8 µM tubulin and various concentrations of Dis1 (10 nM) and/or Mal3 (20 nM). The mean shrinkage rate and catastrophe frequency were plotted. Data points, black: error bars are S.E.M. n=50.
Fig. S3. The C-terminal tail region of Dis1 binds to Mal3.

(A) A schematic representation of various truncated Dis1 proteins and a summary of MT plus end tracking and binding to the MT lattice and Mal3.

(B) TIRF-M kymographs showing binding events of several truncated Dis1-eGFP constructs (20 nM, green in merge) to the plus ends of growing Cy5-labelled MTs (red in merge) in the absence (left) or presence (right) of 200 nM Mal3. Cy5 labelled tubulin concentration was 10 µM. Scale bars, 5 µm (horizontal) and 1 min (vertical).
Fig. S4. Details of the experimental electron density for the Dis1 ligand and the interaction interface between Mal3-Dis1 and EB1-MACF.

(A) Ribbon diagram of the Mal3 EB1 domain dimer (residues 174-247) with an unbiased omit (Fo-Fc) map for the Dis1 peptide (red) contoured at 3σ.

(B) Ribbon diagram of the Mal3 EB1 domain dimer (residues 174-247) with the final refined (2Fo-Fc) map for the Dis1 peptide (blue) contoured at 1.5σ.

(C) 3D-image showing the interaction interface between the Mal3-EBH dimers and the Dis1 peptide. Three amino acid residues of the Dis1 peptide (S839, L841 and P844) are shown. Individual EBH domains are distinguished by blue and pink colours. Snap shot image is shown in Fig. 5C.

(D) 3D-image showing the interaction interface between the EB1-EBH dimers and the MACF peptide. Three amino acid residues of the MACF peptide (S5477, I5479 and P5480) are shown. Individual EBH domains are distinguished by yellow and green colours. Snap shot image is shown in Fig. 5D.
Movie 1: Movie showing the MT plus end tracking of Dis1-eGFP (10 nM, green) in the presence of Cy5-tubulin (8 µM, red).

Corresponding Kymographs are shown in Fig 1C. The time stamp (upper left corner) is in seconds. Scale bar, 5µm.
Movie 2: Movie showing the MT plus end tracking of Dis1-eGFP (20 nM, green) in the presence of Cy5-tubulin (20 µM, red).

Corresponding Kymograph is shown in Fig 2C. The time stamp (upper left corner) is in seconds. Scale bar, 5 µm.
Movie 3: Movie showing the MT plus end tracking of Dis1-eGFP (20 nM, green) in the presence of 200 nM Mal3 and Cy5-tubulin (20 μM, red). Corresponding Kymograph is shown in Fig 2C. The time stamp (upper left corner) is in seconds. Scale bar, 5μm.
Movie 4: Movie showing the MT plus end tracking of Dis1-eGFP (20 nM, green) in the presence of 1 µM Mal3 and Cy5-tubulin (20 µM, red). Corresponding Kymograph is shown in Fig 2C. The time stamp (upper left corner) is in seconds. Scale bar, 5µm.
Movie 5: Movie showing colocalisation between Dis1-eGFP (20 nM, green) and Mal3-mCherry (200 nM, red) in the presence of Cy5-tubulin (10 µM, blue). Corresponding Kymographs are shown in Fig 2D. The time stamp (upper left corner) is in seconds. Scale bar, 5µm.